



Autumn 2015

DON'T BE AFRAID TO BE A HERO
What a Beautiful Vocation it is to be a True Catholic
By: Bishop Athanasius Schneider

What a beautiful vocation to fight for the integrity of the Faith, and the commandments of God! What a beautiful vocation to be a Catholic family, a domestic Church! What a beautiful vocation to be a chaste young man, or a chaste young woman! What a beautiful vocation it is to be a seminarian or a priest with a pure and ardent heart!



Bishop Athanasius Schneider

Do not be afraid of the Goliath of our times, that is, the new worldwide anti-Christian ideology. The fire of divine love and the Holy Ghost's gift of fortitude will make us able to conquer the Goliath of our time with the five stones of David's sling.

Come, Holy Ghost and, once again, make many domestic Churches flourish, which will give us the five stones of David to conquer Goliath: that is to say, good Catholic fathers and mothers, pure children, pure young people, pure priests, and courageous bishops.

Come, Holy Ghost, come! Amen

DEAR CHURCHMEN, DO NOT WORRY

[With Cardinal Vincent Nichols celebrating Mass for the "Catholic" wing of the militant homofascist collective, one can only assume that he believes that same-

sex anal copulating is a fabulous pastime for those called to be temples of the Holy Spirit.

The following is a spoof letter from the laity to the clergy that I found on the Internet. I could not find the name of the author, so unfortunately I cannot credit him or her. However, I'm sure Flock readers will agree with me that it hits the nail on the head - Ed]


The stench of sodomy is destroying the Church from the lowest pew to the Vatican. Countless souls are going to hell because of this vice, a vice so awful that even the Devil, having tempted men into committing this mortal sin, looks away in disgust. Unless these poor, wretched, souls confess and receive absolution and amend their lives they will be eternally damned.

There, Your Excellencies, Your Holinesses, Reverend Fathers, we've said it now so you don't have to. Now you can go back to what you were doing and not have to worry any longer about preaching against this vice. You can continue with your photo-ops, your meetings with the high and the mighty, you can revel in all the good press you will receive from the media and sodomite-friendly politicians, and you don't need to be bothered with telling anyone they are living in a state of mortal sin.

We'll do that for you. We laymen, writers, bloggers, and people in the pew ... we'll relieve you of your duty to teach since you apparently aren't terribly interested in doing so. Don't preach sermons telling Catholics that contraception, abortion and sodomy are destroying souls and destroying countries. That's not your job. Your job is to train altar girls, and make sure we're all participating at what you call a Mass and things like that.

We'll take the hits, the lawsuits, the persecutions, the destruction of our livelihoods (perhaps even our lives, I guess) the opprobrium of the media and everyone else. We bakers and photographers will see our businesses ruined, our reputations torn to shreds, our bank accounts disappear simply for the pleasure of relieving you of the burden to teach faith and morals. And you don't even have to visit us in jail if you don't want to.

We'll watch our own churches shun us, our former Catholic friends abandon us and our pastors studiously ignore us. We accept this because we know you don't



wish to get your hands dirty by teaching the Faith. You can continue to golf, go to the movies, sit on your thrones, smell your sheep, get interviewed on TV, and receive the goodwill of impostors, heretics, blasphemers and haters of Christ. Don't worry; we'll do your fighting for you.

You have more important things to do. You have to scurry to Rome and share a glass of wine with the cowards who connive with our oppressors. You have to worry about the environment, about not saying anything Christ-like that might offend rabbis or Hindus (Heaven forbid that you try to convert them!), there are meetings with Happy Clubs and Happy Organizations you must address. You must extol diversity and welcoming. This is what you must do.

So please don't trouble yourselves about having anything to do with Catholicism. Continue to sit on your collective rumps and enjoy the cheers of the crowd.

Because, as I said, I guess we'll have to do your job for you.

FALSE MERCY

As a dog that returneth to his vomit, so is the fool that repeateth his folly
By Graham Moorhouse (with acknowledgement to Ann Barnhardt)

As an antidote to all the talk of [false] "mercy" currently flying around Rome, I enthusiastically recommend the Sermons of St. Alphonsus Liguori; Sermon XLI, On the Abuse of Divine Mercy is specially apt; Pope Francis could do no better then to read it prayerfully. The following is a quote from Section 2:

“When you intend to commit sin, who, I ask, promises you mercy from God? Certainly God does not promise it. It is the devil that promises it, that you may lose God and be damned. “Beware”, says St. John Chrysostom, “never to attend to that dog who promises the mercy of God.” If, beloved sinners, you have hitherto offended God, hope and tremble: if you desire to give up sin, and if you detest it, hope; because God promises pardon to all who repent of the evil they have done. But if you intend to continue in your sinful course, tremble lest God should wait no longer for you, but cast you into hell. Why does God wait for sinners? Is it that they may continue to insult Him? No; He waits for them that they may renounce sin, and that He may thus have pity on them, and forgive them. “Therefore the Lord waiteth, that He may have mercy on you” -Isaiah 30:18. But when He sees that the time which he gave them to weep over their past iniquities is spent in multiplying their sins, He begins to inflict chastisement, and He cuts them off in the state of sin, that, by dying, they may cease to offend Him. Then He calls against them the very time He had given them for re-

pentance. “He hath called against me the time” - Lamentations 1:15.
“The very time”, says St. Gregory, “comes to judge.”

When Chrysostom uses the pejorative “that dog”, it is far from random name-calling. He is referencing the “dog that returneth to his vomit” from Proverbs 26 and 2 Peter 2. The dog that eats his own vomit; the sow that was washed who returns to wallow in mud mingled with her own faeces, that is “mire”, is the unrepentant sinner who has no intention of STOPPING THEIR SINFUL ACTIVITY.

One more quote from section 5 of St. Alphonsus’ sermon:

St. Bernard says that the confidence which sinners have in God’s goodness, when they commit sin, procures for them not a blessing, but a malediction from the Lord. O deceitful hope, which sends so many Christians to hell! They do not hope for the pardon of sins of which they repent; but they hope that though they continue to sin, God will have mercy upon them; and thus they make the mercy of God serve as a motive for continuing to offend Him. [My emphasis]

Could one describe more accurately the Francis/Kasper proposal to admit couples living in adultery to Holy Communion?

**MEDIEVAL TORTURE AND THE SPANISH INQUISITION ARE THE
STUFF OF AN ENGLISHMAN’S NIGHTMARES**
By **Graham Moorhouse**

Anti-Catholicism is in our blood

The problem with discussing Catholicism with many native English-speakers is that they come to the table loaded down with so much baggage. They have been formed by 400 years of anti-Catholic propaganda. Consequently, their negative view of the Catholic religion is in the national subconscious, it is in our blood. If a man actually believes at the level of his sub-conscious that a rosebush is a man-eating tiger, he will jump and break out in a sweat of fear when he passes a rosebush regardless of the fact that at the level of conscious thought he knows that this is absurd.

Catholic Inquisition

One can illustrate the point we are making by invoking the Inquisition. The very word will conjure up nightmare visions of some poor Protestant being racked for the good of his soul, while a sadistic hooded monk looks on gloating. The fact is that the word “Inquisition” simply means “Inquiry”. And there have been scores of inquisitions throughout the Church’s history. When the popes ruled half of Italy, it was the name given to the Church’s legal system. This was

so benign in comparison with the secular legal systems of the time that, in the areas where there was dual jurisdiction, accused would seek to be tried by the Inquisition as opposed to the secular courts.

The Spanish Inquisition (1478 to 1808) is the one people usually mean when they talk about “The Inquisition”. This Inquisition had the misfortune to be operating at a time when the Spanish were our mortal enemies. To understand the Spanish inquisition one should look at France in 1945. At the end of the last war, members of the French resistance and those who, now the occupation was over, claimed to have been active members of the French resistance, were handing out summary justice without trial to people accused of having been quislings and collaborators. Charles de Gaulle, the post-war President of the Provisional Government of France, cracked down hard on this lawlessness and ensured that if any Frenchman were to be punished for collaborating with the occupying power it should be after a fair trial and a proper legal process.

The Spanish Queen, Isabella, and her consort, Ferdinand, were in a not dissimilar situation. They had just ended 700 years of occupation of their country by the Moors. In the civil unrest following the war, the Spanish crown began the Inquisition hoping that religious unity would foster political unity. By the standards of the time, the Inquisition was very enlightened. One may also point out that while the Church was heavily implicated in the Spanish Inquisition, it was a secular, not a Church, inquiry.

Most of the penalties handed down were spiritual, rather than physical. Its severest sentences were reserved for people who bore false witness against others. As for torture, in an age when the secular powers (including England) used torture routinely, the Inquisition was restricted by its rules to using it rarely and in very limited circumstances. And as for persecuting Protestants, not one Protestant was ever arrested by the Inquisition, let alone tried - for one very simple reason, *the Inquisition regarded non-Catholics as outside its jurisdiction.*

Its judicial procedures were far ahead of their time. Such things as the need for witnesses, the rights of the accused to question and challenge their accusers and the right of appeal were all laid down. Inquisitors did not have to be clerics, but they did have to be qualified lawyers. As for the death penalty, it has been notoriously difficult to reach a consensus on the numbers involved, but the highest number supported by serious historians is in the order of 3,000 to ,5000 over the entire 330 years of its operation.

While we may all readily agree that 3,000 to 5,000 was 3,000 to 5,000 too many, it pales into insignificance in comparison to the 150,000 documented witch-burnings in Protestant Britain, Germany and New England over the same period, where often a mere accusation was enough to send one to the stake. The Span-

ish were spared this carnage by the judicial standards of the Inquisition and its absolute requirement for hard evidence.

Queen Mary -v- Queen Elizabeth I

The *Protestant* journalist and reformer, William Cobbett (1763-1835), describing the Tudor deception, stated that, “for every drop of blood (Catholic) Mary shed, (Protestant) Elizabeth shed a pint.” Yet we are conditioned to refer to Mary as “Bloody Mary” and to Elizabeth as “Good Queen Bess”, and while the former sends our blood cold, the latter evokes feeling of national pride in a great monarch.

Raphael Holisend, the *Protestant* historian, wrote that Henry VIII executed 72,000 Catholics, while Elizabeth I killed more than the Spanish and Roman Inquisitions combined did in 300 years! Further, Elizabeth’s warrants frequently dispensed with a trial and proceeded straight to hanging and disembowelling, the penalty for merely being a faithful Catholic priest.

The Enlightenment and the French Revolution

The Rationalists behind the French Revolution, in the two years, 1792-1794, managed to slaughter over 40,000 (At least eight times as many as the Spanish Inquisition managed in 330 years). Many were executed for merely refusing to abandon their Catholic Faith. The Committee of Public Safety under Robespierre, on the 10th June 1794, pushed through a law abolishing the right of the accused to a trial. It was actually argued at the time by Robespierre’s mouthpiece, Georges Couthon, that the right to a trial was no more than a prejudice left over from France’s (Catholic) past.

Nevertheless, our anti-Catholic conditioning has “taught” us to believe that the French Revolution was on balance a good and enlightened thing, notwithstanding the fact that its nearest modern equivalent would have been the Hutu massacre of Tutsis and moderate Hutus in Rwanda in April 1994.

During the Age of Enlightenment, championed by Voltaire and later the French Revolution, people were commonly executed by “breaking on the wheel”. A method of execution which very slowly reduced its victims limbs to pulped flesh with shards of bone sticking through. In our enlightened age,, of course, that sort of bloody end is strictly reserved by secularists for unborn children whose only ‘crime’ is inconveniencing the secular gods of unbridled licentiousness by daring to be conceived.

Modern History

It is also interesting to compare the effect that the word “inquisition” has on the soul of the average Englishman with his relatively bland reaction to the follow-

ing list of facts: 7,000,000 murdered (without trial) by the Nazis (self-confessed pagans); 20,000,000 murdered by Stalin (a militant atheist); a number only exceeded by the Chinese communist (more militant atheists); the nearly 7,000 unarmed priests and nuns murdered by the Bolsheviks (more militant atheists) in a few months in Spain (a slaughter which sparked the Spanish civil war); and one could add the 1,000,000 (a number that would have been nearer 2,000,000 had it not been for the courageous sanctions-busting activities of Irish Catholic missionaries) Biafran *babies and young children*, who, in less than two years, were *starved* to death to serve the oil policies of Harold Wilson's government of secular humanists.

There is a good deal of secularist propaganda to the effect that religion is at the root of all the strife in the world. The facts, as opposed to the propaganda, are very simple. Wars fought by, or on behalf of, the Church (such as the Crusades) have killed about 4,500,000 people over the last 2000 years. Secular humanist, militant atheist and Nazis (i.e. pagans) have accounted for something nearer 200,000,000 in the last 80 years! This means that you are at least a 1,000 times more likely to be slaughtered by a militant atheist in the service of his ideology than by a Catholic in the service of his.

Conclusion

You may wonder why when writing about the Catholic religion we have devoted a whole essay to what is very much a side issue. Well, it is important for English speaking people, if they are to explore the faith of the Church in an open and objective way, honestly to face up to the fact that they are coming to the table loaded down with cultural baggage. Further, unless they have the intellectual insight and courage to consciously divest themselves of some of this accumulated baggage, they are wasting their time.

Many English Catholics also carry some of this baggage, especially the young. Its result is a reduced sense of self-worth, a sort of cultural low self-esteem. It is unavoidable, for anti-Catholicism is in the air we breathe and we take it in with our mother's milk. It is simply that after over 400 years of enculturation we no longer notice that we are doing so.

You may protest that England is no longer a Protestant, but a secular humanist country. However, secular humanism is the daughter of Protestantism (albeit the illegitimate daughter) - it is the antithesis of Catholicism and one of the key ideologies behind the systematic murder of countless millions, born and unborn, in our time.

Postscript

I have concentrated in the above essay on the slaughter carried out in the name of atheism and progress. In another essay we may take a look at the slaughter carried out in the name of Islam. This amounts to something in the order of 270 million killed by jihad in the last fourteen centuries - which makes Hitler, Stalin and Mao combined look like enthusiastic amateurs.

HOW TO DISCERN TRUE FROM FALSE RELIGION **By Graham Moorhouse and Patrick Lawler**

We confidently assert that Catholicism is the one true religion and all other religions are false, and of all the false religions Islam is demonstrably the most demonic.

How can we be so confident? Well, we must start with first principles: Natural Law. Natural Law is the law that God has written on our hearts. You don't have to learn Natural Law; we are born with it hard-wired. That is true whether you are a Catholic, a Hindu, a Muslim, an Atheist, or a primitive Indian living in the rain forest. Natural Law is the reason that when Moses descended from Mount Sinai with the Ten Commandments and read out, "Thou shall not murder ...", the assembled Jews did not slap their foreheads and exclaim. "Blimey, why didn't we think of that one?" We can choose to ignore Natural Law and we can certainly obscure it by sin, but make no mistake, every man has it branded on his soul.

Natural Law is the reason:

1. We don't need the Bible to know with absolute certainty that gunning down teenage boys for watching football on television (as ISIS has done) is an appalling crime.
2. We actually don't need a church to tell us that anal copulation between two men is an unnatural, unhealthy, disgusting perversion.
3. We don't need a written commandment to know that slitting the throat of a woman, because she is a non-Muslim who refuses to enter into a temporary 'marriage', is an evil deed inspired by an evil ideology.
4. We don't need a priest to tell us that tearing 600 Christian teenage girls out of their school and, because they are not Muslims, selling them on as sex slaves, is mind-numbingly evil.
5. We don't need a pope to tell us that killing children in the womb by abortion is a great wickedness.
6. We do not need a Bible to know that adultery is wrong.

7. We need no church to tell us that thieving is wrong - as is lying and bearing false witness.
8. We actually don't need a priest to tell us we should worship God, for all men have sought to do so from the beginning of time.
9. We certainly do not need the Bible to tell us that a fifty four year old man 'marrying' a six year old girl and consummating the 'marriage' when she was nine years old is anything other than a vile act of paedophile rape.
10. And we don't actually need to follow some higher philosophy to know that if we find our neighbour in distress we ought to go to his aid - regardless of his religion, or lack thereof.

Since the Fall, man has been in a constant state of rebellion against the law God has written on his heart. However, usually within a generation or two, Natural Law reasserts itself, albeit imperfectly, but that, sadly, will always be the case in this "vale of tears". The Natural Law reasserting itself is the reason the Nazi gas chambers eventually fell into disuse and the (far more numerous) Soviet death camps were finally abandoned. It is also the reason that legal abortion will eventually fall, man will always, in time, grow tired of feasting on pig swill.

What has all this to do with true versus false (evil) religions? Simple, notwithstanding Francis' recently discovered "God of surprises", God cannot contradict Himself. God could not write His law on my heart, and then hand me a book commanding me to do the exact opposite. So a religion can only be true and holy if it upholds, reinforces and indeed perfects the Natural Law.

Now, let us examine Catholicism in the light of this principle:

1. As for adultery, Christ taught that
 - a. Matthew 5:28: But I say to you, that whosoever shall look on a woman to lust after her, hath already committed adultery with her in his heart.
 - b. Mark 10:11: And he said to them: Whosoever shall put away his wife and marry another, commits adultery against her.
 - c. Mark 10:12: And if the wife shall put away her husband, and be married to another, she commits adultery.
2. As for murder, Christ taught that:
 - a. Matthew 5:44: But I say to you, Love your enemies: do good to them that hate you: and pray for them that persecute and calumniate you.

3. As for worshipping God, the Church teaches that we must keep Sunday holy by offering the holy sacrifice of the Mass.
4. The Christian Scriptures teach that sodomy is a sin crying to Heaven for vengeance.
5. Nothing in the whole of Catholic history has ever sanctioned child brides, the taking of women prisoners as sex slaves or any abuse of women. Indeed, slavery of all stripes was abolished in Catholic Europe. It was reintroduced by Freemasons (i.e. anti-Catholics) in the Americas.

What we see above (and these are just a few examples) is that Christ and His Church take the Natural Law written on men's hearts and reinforces it, take it to a higher level, perfects it. And this is exactly what one would expect if a religion was from God, for in no way could a good God write one law on our hearts and then give us a sacred text or a Church commanding us to act contrary to that law.

Now, in the light of the above logic, let's take a look at Islam and the Koran and the example of Mohammed and his followers.

[NOTE: all of the referenced, indented and italicised quotes that follow are taken from the Koran, the various collections of canonical Hadith – the stories of Mohammed: the History of Islam by al-Tabari and the most authoritative manual of Sharia, Reliance of the Traveller (“Umdat al Salik”)]

Islam and adultery

Mohammed, the false prophet of the false religion of Islam had, at least, eleven wives and an uncounted number of concubines and sex-slaves. But that’s OK, as Allah explains to Mohammed:

“O Prophet! Surely We have made lawful to you your wives whom you have given their dowries, and those whom your right hand possesses out of those whom Allah has given to you as prisoners of war [i.e. sex-slaves], and the daughters of your paternal uncles and the daughters of your paternal aunts, and the daughters of your maternal uncles and the daughters of your maternal aunts who fled with you; and a believing woman if she gave herself to the Prophet, if the Prophet desired to marry her – specially for you, not for the (rest of) believers;” **Koran (33:50)**

This is one of the ‘revelations’ received by Mohammed from Allah that, rather conveniently, grants him the divinely sanctioned right to unlimited sex with just about any female who crosses his path, including members of his own family.

Ordinary Muslims, on the other hand, have to make do with only four wives:

“m5.2 (Imam Ghazali:) One should make love to one's wife every four nights, as is fairest, since the number of wives one may have is four.”

Reliance of the Traveller

Therefore, ‘marriage’ in Islam is **itself** institutionalised adultery (apologists for multiculturalism and liberal Catholic ecumaniacs get rather queasy when you point that out to them).

Islam and murder

Islam has a remarkably free-and-easy attitude towards murdering those who disagree with you....or ‘offend’ you.....or who don’t do what you tell them to. Mohammed was exhorted to wage war against everyone who would not become a Muslim in quite a number of ‘divine revelations’ in the Koran:

“It is not for a Prophet that he should have prisoners of war until he had made a great slaughter in the land...” Koran (8:67)

“I will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Therefore, strike off their heads and strike off every fingertip of them” Koran (8:12)

“So when the sacred months have passed away, then slay the idolaters [i.e. all non-Muslims] wherever you find them, and take them captive and besiege them and lie in wait for them in every ambush,....” Koran (9:5)

“Killing unbelievers is a small matter to us” Tabari (9:69)

Then there are the frequent occasions Mohammed ordered the murder of those who committed such heinous crimes as writing somewhat unflattering poetry about him:

“Allah's Apostle said, "Who is willing to kill Ka'b bin Al-Ashraf who has hurt Allah and His Apostle?" Thereupon Muhammad bin Maslama got up saying, "O Allah's Apostle! Would you like that I kill him?" The Prophet said, "Yes," Bukhari (50:369)

Whenever the latest “honour killing” occurs, the civilisational traitors in the media usually scratch their empty little heads and turn to professional liars (i.e. Muslim “community leaders”) to be reassured that this has (all together now!), “**Nothing to do with Islam**”. Well, that’s a lie and they are liars. The “Reliance of the Traveller”, the most authoritative manual of Sharia, dictates that certain murders are not only acceptable but carry no penalty of sentence or retaliation:

“**01.2** *The following are not subject to retaliation:*

(4) a father or mother (or their fathers or mothers) for killing their offspring, or offspring's offspring”

Just in case you're thinking, “*That can't possibly mean what I think it means! Can it?*”

Yes, it does. **Parents or grandparents are legally allowed to murder their own children or grandchildren.**

And last, but not least, let's not forget that apostasy from Islam is punishable by death:

“The Prophet said, ‘If somebody (a Muslim) discards his religion, kill him’” Bukhari (52:260)

“[In the words of] Allah's Apostle, ‘Whoever changed his Islamic religion, then kill him’” Bukhari (84:57)

“When a person who has reached puberty and is sane voluntarily apostasises from Islam, he deserves to be killed” Reliance of the Traveller 08:1 (08:4 affirms that there is no penalty for killing an apostate)

Islam and worshipping God

“Islam” means “submission” and “muslim” means “one who submits”. The submission of, literally, every single person in the world to Islam is the entire purpose and goal of Islam. The pursuit of that goal is the duty and inescapable obligation of every single Muslim. That goal is to be accomplished through jihad:

(0: Jihad means to war against non-Muslims, and is etymologically derived from the word mujahada, signifying warfare to establish the religion.” Reliance of the Traveller 09

“Jihad is a communal obligation” Reliance of the Traveller 09:1

Islam and sodomy

Islam's approach to sodomy is entirely schizophrenic. On the one hand, there are a number of injunctions against sodomy in both the Koran and the Hadith:

“Of all the creatures in the world, will ye approach males, ‘And leave those whom Allah has created for you to be your mates? Nay, ye are a people transgressing’” Koran (26:165-166)

“The Prophet cursed effeminate men (those men who are in the similitude (assume the manners of women) and those women who assume the manners of men....” Bukhari (72:774)

And homosexuals are routinely executed in various and sundry unpleasant ways almost every day in the Muslim world.

While, on the other hand, as part of the long, lingering descriptions in the Koran and Hadith of the endless alcohol-fuelled sex orgy in Paradise (with 72 perpetual virgins each) awaiting those ‘martyrs’ who die in the act of killing infidels (the only **guaranteed** way of entering Paradise in the Koran, by the way) we find:

“And immortal boys will circulate among them, when you see them you will count them as scattered pearls” Koran (76:19)

Male sodomy is endemic in most Muslim cultures, especially Arab, Afghan and Turk. Pederasty was institutionalised in the Ottoman Empire and remains so today in Saudi Arabia, Afghanistan and Pakistan in particular.

Islam and sex-slavery, child rape and general misogyny

Islam’s view of women can truly be encapsulated by one stark fact; the **only** women mentioned by Mohammed (either in the Koran or Hadith) as being in Heaven, are the 72 “perpetual virgins” who are provided to endlessly sexually service the “*mujahids*” or ‘martyrs’ who die in the act of killing non-Muslims. Whereas Hell is largely populated by women:

“The Prophet said: ‘I was shown the Hell-fire and that the majority of its dwellers were women who were ungrateful.’” Bukhari (2:29)

Mohammed’s favourite wife was Aisha, who was six years old when Mohammed ‘married’ her and nine years old when he “consummated the marriage”:

“Khadija died three years before the Prophet departed to Medina. He stayed there for two years or so and then he married 'Aisha when she was a girl of six years of age, and he consumed that marriage when she was nine years old.” Bukhari (5:58)

According to Islam’s unambiguous declarations in the Koran, Hadith and Sharia:

Women (including Muslim women) are inherently inferior to men:

- Women may only inherit half of what a man may:

“The male shall have the equal of the portion of two females” Koran (4:11)

- In legal disputes a woman's testimony is worth only half that of a man's:

“And call to witness, from among your men, two witnesses. And if two men be not found, then a man and two women” **Koran (2:282)**

- Men may divorce their wives simply by saying, “I divorce thee” three times (a divorced wife has no rights to any financial support from her ex-husband):

“Abu al-Sahba' said to Ibn 'Abbas: Enlighten us with your information whether the three divorces (pronounced at one and the same time) were not treated as one during the lifetime of Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him) and Abu Bakr. He said: It was in fact so, but when during the caliphate of 'Umar (Allah be pleased with him) people began to pronounce divorce frequently, he allowed them to do so (to treat pronouncements of three divorces in a single breath as one).” **Muslim (9:3493)**

- Men may have up to four wives and non-Muslim women may be kept as sex-slaves, there is no limit put upon their number:

“Marry of the women, who seem good to you, two, or three, or four; and if ye fear that ye cannot do justice (to so many) then one (only) or (the captives) that your right hands possess (i.e. female prisoners of war used and sold as sex-slaves)” **Koran (4:3)**

- Men may beat their wives:

“...; and (as to) those [women] on whose part you fear desertion, admonish them, and leave them alone in the sleeping places and beat them;” **Koran (4:34)**

- A wife has no right to deny her husband sex under any circumstances or in any way, i.e. a man may rape or sodomise his wife:

“Your wives are as a tilth (i.e. a field prepared for sowing) unto you; so approach your tilth when or how ye will” **Koran (2:223)**

- A woman who claims to have been raped must provide four male witnesses to the alleged rape, if she fails to do this she will be charged with adultery (punishable by stoning to death in a number of Islamic countries today):

“And those who accuse free women then do not bring four witnesses (to adultery), flog them...” **Koran (24:4)**

At first sight this may seem to favour the woman, in that it takes four male witnesses of an adulterous act to convict a woman of adultery. However, this ruling came about because Aisha, Mohammed's favourite wife, was accused of adultery by three men. Mohammed simply raised the bar to ensure she was not convicted. This ruling is routinely used to nullify rape accusations and persecute the very victims of rape themselves, frequently leading to women who accuse men of rape without four male witnesses being themselves accused of adultery and either being imprisoned or executed.

- A wife is classed as a part of her husband's chattels, i.e. she is an object that he wholly owns and is a tradable commodity:

*“Men are in charge of women, because Allah hath made the one of them to excel the other, and because they spend of their property (for the support of women). **Koran (4:34)***

*“When 'Abdur-Rahman bin 'Auf came to us, Allah's Apostle made a bond of fraternity between him and Sad bin Ar-Rabi' who was a rich man, Sad said, "The Ansar know that I am the richest of all of them, so I will divide my property into two parts between me and you, and I have two wives; see which of the two you like so that I may divorce her and you can marry her after she becomes lawful to you by her passing the prescribed period (i.e. 'Idda) of divorce.” **Bukhari (58:125)***

- Girls may be married at the age of nine:

*“The Prophet wrote the (marriage contract) with 'Aisha while she was six years old and consummated his marriage with her while she was nine years old and she remained with him for nine years (i.e. till his death).” **Bukhari (62:88)***

Hello,Western feminists?.....Anybody there?.....

None of the above is surprising when one learns that there is no such thing as an objective moral code in Islam.

Christianity expresses and perfects the Natural Law in an objective moral code:

Murder is wrong always and everywhere; theft is wrong always and everywhere; sodomy is wrong always and everywhere; lying is wrong always and everywhere, and so on.

Islam decrees that “good” is that which furthers to goal of Islam, i.e. total world domination and “bad” is that which hinders the goal of Islam; there is no objective moral code, only contingent situational ethics in the service of the goal of

Islam, and, quite literally, **anything and everything is permitted in the service of jihad.**

For example, in 2012 a Sheikh issued a fatwa (ruling/judgement) endorsing sodomy as a means of widening the anus in order to be able to pack it with enough explosives to kill bystanders in a suicide bombing. As Sheikh Abu al-Dema al-Qasab put it, "*Jihad comes first, for it is the pinnacle of Islam, and if the pinnacle of Islam can only be achieved through sodomy, then there is no wrong in it.*"

If anything else is required to establish beyond doubt the Satanically inspired nature of Islam, then I am at a loss as to what it could possibly be.

WHY A GENUINE CATHOLIC SHOULD NOT REGULARLY BE READING THE MAINSTREAM CATHOLIC PRESS

Intro: by Graham Moorhouse

A modernist is a Catholic who has lost the faith (that's assuming he ever had it in the first place), alternatively, he is a man so drunk on his own pride that he has failed to notice that he has staggered into the wrong Church. Modernism is a sort of halfway house between Catholicism and atheism. If one once had the faith, losing the faith is always a grave sin, for God does not rob us of the gift of faith, we lose it by carelessness or pride.

This loss of faith of the Modernist can be underlined by a true story. A decade or so ago, the then bishop of Shrewsbury (not the present man, who is a good bishop) issued a mini-Catechism. It was clear from reading it, that the main purpose of this "Catechism" was to rubbish/undermine faith in the Real Presence. PEEP responded by producing a counter-mini-Catechism and distributing it in the diocese (mainly with the aid of good priests). A few months later, we were contacted by a mole in the chancery, who informed us that the two priests who had written the mini-Catechism had both subsequently left the priesthood, and one had even left the Church.

All Modernists, if they had any guts or personal integrity, would of course leave the Church, but many clerical Modernists prefer to remain and continue to live parasitically off the body of Christ. Why? Well one can only speculate: possibly without the "collar" they are such nobodies they would fade into the wallpaper and they fear this anonymity. Yet others of course are sodomites for whom the Catholic priesthood provides a near perfect hidey-hole.

For the Catholic, God is out there, i.e. God exists independent of the Universe and of you and me. For the Modernist, God is co-terminus with the Universe. Christ merely, like Buddha, Mohammed, Krishna and others, realised [allegedly] to a greater extent than most, the god within. However, Christ would still

have been a man of his time, and we can update his teaching, indeed must do so. Thus their Modernist Christ, were He walking the earth today, would embrace women priests, contraception, gay sham marriage, etc.

Over time Modernists develop an intense hatred for the Catholic faith, but they are cunning enough to skilfully conceal this loathing. They expertly keep up a façade of effusive goodwill: all is condescending broad smiles, patronizing bonhomie and ready handshakes. But it is that sham goodwill of the politician rather than that of a Christian soul in a state of grace. Confront and expose their duplicity and the mask will slip and their diabolical intent and the sulphuric stench behind the smiling façade may be glimpsed, if merely for a fleeting moment.

This hatred of the faith explains why our bishops and their bureaucracies are obdurate in their refusal to allow the faith to be taught in the schools over which they have control, and are very content that, whereas before Vatican II eighty percent plus of the children leaving our schools practised their faith, today only three percent do - of course, if these mountebanks could get it down to zero percent, they would be ecstatic.

Fr Ronald Rolheiser is a classic example of the Modernist genre. Fr Ronald Rolheiser has been pretending to be a Catholic while churning out seamless modernist drivel for the Catholic Herald since God was in short trousers - or perhaps it only seems like such a long time. Extracts from a recent article of Fr Ronald Rolheiser are reproduced below and are the subject of part 2 of this article, written by Patrick Lawler, your vice-Chairman.

PART 2 - TRAITORS (by Patrick Lawler)

If you happen to be one of the overwhelming majority of self-described ‘Catholics’ these days who believe that being “nice” is the cardinal virtue, that “*Judge not, that you may not be judged.....*” (Matt’ 7:1) is the only verse of sacred scripture that really counts and that God is, basically, a giant-golden-Labrador-puppy-in-the-sky who just LOVES everybody, no matter what they do or how they live their lives.....you’re really going to be upset by what follows. Good! You need to snap out of your niceness coma before it’s too late!

The majority of Priests, Bishops and Cardinals (including many of the occupants of two entire floors of the sodomite-run Domus Sancta Marthae) are traitors to the Faith. They have betrayed Our Lord and His Church. They have betrayed the lay faithful whose souls are entrusted to their care.

They have no supernatural faith (they have either lost it or they never had it in the first place), they do not believe in the reality of Heaven and Hell and they do not believe in the Real Presence of Our Blessed Lord in the Eucharist - Body,

Blood, Soul and Divinity really and substantially present in the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass.

A very large number of them are practising sodomites (often openly) and predatory homosexual child-molesters. Quite a few of them positively hate Our Lord and Holy Mother Church and are actively engaged in trying to destroy her.

They are responsible for the loss of millions of souls (yes, literally millions) to eternal damnation and suffering in Hell and they themselves will go there if they do not repent and beg God's mercy and forgiveness before they exhale for the last time.

And there is absolutely **no excuse** and no get-out clause for ANY of them regarding ANY of this. None.

Don't even start with, "*Well, that's very unfair! After all, priestly formation since Vatican II has been dumbed down, so most of them don't know any better*". At this point, that is sheer idiocy! Any sentient being with the ability to read, a couple of functioning brain cells and an Internet connection is able to acquaint themselves with Church history and doctrine; it is easier now than at any point in human history for an ordinary person to access the God-given treasures of the One Holy Catholic and Apostolic Church, let alone one who would be a Priest.

They have **ZERO** excuse! They have **chosen** to betray our Lord and His Church, just as Judas **chose** betrayal.

In charity to them and to the unwary people they are influencing, it is time to publicly call them out; to give them an opportunity to repent and to warn the faithful to pray for them and avoid them until they do so as openly as they have betrayed our Lord and His Church and scandalized and misled the faithful.

So, let us turn (distasteful though it is to do so) to 'Father' Ronald Rolheiser. I write 'Father' not because I doubt the validity of his ordination, I have no idea about that, but because he describes himself as "Ron Rolheiser" on his own website and his picture has him in lay dress. So, it seems 'Father' Ron most of the time is more comfortable out of the Roman collar than in it (quelle surprise!).

I was in a state of happy ignorance of the very existence of Ron Rolheiser until the Pro Ecclesia Chairman, Graham Moorhouse, passed me the January 30th copy of the newly tabloidised "Catholic Herald" for the specific purpose of making me aware of Ron's column, "Looking for God Inside our Divisions", in which Ron expounds his (as I now know, usual) theologically illiterate,

philosophically nonsensical and logically incoherent heretical modernism (yes, I know that's a tautology).

The title and the accompanying line drawing of Ron looking relaxed and matey and most definitely not religious (sans ecclesiastical garb) were enough to immediately raise my hackles. The content was enough to make me sick to my stomach and leave me thinking, "Oh, Dear Lord! Where do you even start with this?"

Ron's basic thesis is:

- It is impossible to know the Truth about God.
- All religions are equally valid and lead the sincere follower to God.
- No religion is absolute Truth.
- There is no One, True Faith.
- Scripture, defined dogma and revelation are all inadequate.
- All religious "proselytising is solemn nonsense!" (All right, he didn't actually use that phrase, but it is what he says).

It is impossible to know the Truth about God

Ron says, "*God is beyond all human imagination, conceptualisation, and language.*" Really? If that's the case, why are you even writing this column? No, seriously. If that statement is true, writing this column makes no sense because it is impossible to say anything on the subject.

All religions are equally valid and lead the sincere follower to God

Ron says, "*...all other sincere, authentic religious searchers, will find both God's water and unity with everyone else who is there.*" (at the bottom of the ecumenical 'well')um....but you just said God is beyond concept and language, so how's that supposed to happen?

No religion is absolute (Truth)

Ron says, "*...all religious truth is always partial and limited in its historical expression and cannot claim adequacy.*"hang on....how can "*sincere, authentic religious searchers*" find "*God's water*" and whatnot if none of their religions is even adequate?

There is no One, True Faith

Ron Says, "*No dogma and no religion ever provide an adequate expression of God.*" Gosh, you'd think that God – what with being GOD and all – would've

been able to come up with something a bit better than that by now, wouldn't you?

Scripture, defined dogma and revelation are all inadequate

Ron says, *“All religions, all dogmas and all expressions of theology, irrespective of theology or religion, must humbly acknowledge their incompleteness.”*wait, doesn't this (yet again) contradict that whole “all-religions-are-equally-valid-ways-of-finding-God-and-we'll-all-meet-at-the-omega-point-of-God-consciousness-eventually-by-following-(sincerely and authentically)-our-own-religions-so-there's-no-need-to-convert-anyone” thing?

All religious “proselytising is solemn nonsense!” (all right, he didn't actually use that phrase, but it is what he says)

Ron says, *“Since no one (us included) has the full truth, the way of ecumenism and interfaith dialogue should not be conceived, as it has been so much the case up to the present, of one side winning the other side over: ‘We alone have the truth and you must join us!’ Rather, the way has to be conceived of precisely as ‘digging a well together’, namely, as each of us, with an open heart, longing for those others who are not at our table, refusing all proselytising, becoming engaged through our own religious tradition in the search for deeper conversion”* .”.. so.....let me get this straight.... There's no such thing as absolute truth (that we can apprehend while alive); no religion actually has the truth or can explain the truth about God; all religions are inadequate; it is, in fact, impossible to speak about or conceptualise God in any way yet, at the same time if we ‘sincerely’ and ‘authentically’ follow our own (inadequate and incomplete) religions we will, as Ron Says, *“... move deeper into the mystery of God we will find ourselves more and more one, as brothers and sisters in faith”*

There, in all its glory, you have the narcissistic idiocy of Ron Rolheiser laid out.

Now, while this would simply be laughable and somewhat irritating coming from a bloke at the pub, coming from a Roman Catholic Priest in a syndicated column appearing in a number of Catholic publications in different parts of the English-speaking world, it is horrific.

We need to be completely clear about what this is and what it is not:

- This is not a “dissenting” opinion.
- This is not a “nuanced” critique of Church teaching.
- This is not an “inclusive” and “tolerant” gospel.
- This is not Christianity.

- This is not Catholicism.
- These are not the teachings of the Church established by Our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ.

NO!

- This is heresy.
- This is blasphemy.
- This is apostasy.
- This is diabolical disorientation.
- This is evil.

From “The Syllabus of Errors” a list of condemned propositions advocated by Ron:

5. Divine revelation is imperfect, and therefore subject to a continual and indefinite progress, corresponding with the advancement of human reason. — Allocution "Maxima quidem," June 9, 1862.

15. Every man is free to embrace and profess that religion which, guided by the light of reason, he shall consider true.—Allocution "Maxima quidem," June 9, 1862; Damnatio "Multiplices inter," June 10, 1851.

16. Man may, in the observance of any religion whatever, find the way of eternal salvation, and arrive at eternal salvation.—Encyclical "Qui pluribus," Nov. 9, 1846.

17. Good hope at least is to be entertained of the eternal salvation of all those who are not at all in the true Church of Christ.—Encyclical "Quanto conficiamur," Aug. 10, 1863, etc.

18. Protestantism is nothing more than another form of the same true Christian religion, in which form it is given to please God equally as in the Catholic Church.—Encyclical "Noscitis," Dec. 8, 1849.

21. The Church has not the power of defining dogmatically that the religion of the Catholic Church is the only true religion.—Damnatio "Multiplices inter," June 10, 1851.

77. In the present day it is no longer expedient that the Catholic religion should be held as the only religion of the State, to the exclusion of all other forms of worship.—Allocution "Nemo vestrum," July 26, 1855.

How about the First Commandment?

“I am the Lord thy God; thou shalt not have strange gods before Me.”

Perhaps Ron’s not familiar with The Great Commission?

“And the eleven disciples went into Galilee, unto the mountain where Jesus had appointed them. And seeing him they adored: but some doubted. And Jesus coming, spoke to them, saying: All power is given to me in heaven and in earth. Going therefore, teach ye all nations; baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and behold I am with you all days, even to the consummation of the world. [Matthew 28:16-20]

[18] All power: See here the warrant and commission of the apostles and their successors, the bishops and pastors of Christ's church. He received from his Father all power in heaven and in earth: and in virtue of this power, he sends them (even as his Father sent him, St. John 20. 21) to teach and disciple, not one, but all nations; and instruct them in all truths: and that he may assist them effectually in the execution of this commission, he promises to be with them, not for three or four hundred years only, but all days, even to the consummation of the world. How then could the Catholic Church ever go astray; having always with her pastors, as is here promised, Christ himself, who is the way, the truth, and the life. St. John 14.”

Or this little insignificant detail?

“Jesus saith to him: I am the way, and the truth, and the life. No man cometh to the Father, but by me.” [John 14:6]

And let us not forget

“*Extra ecclesiam nulla salus*”

“Outside the Church there is no salvation” (*extra ecclesiam nulla salus*) is a doctrine of the Catholic Faith that was taught by Jesus Christ to His Apostles, preached by the Fathers, defined by popes and councils and piously believed by the faithful in every age of the Church. Here is how the Popes defined it:

- “There is but one universal Church of the faithful, outside which no one at all is saved.” ([Pope Innocent III, Fourth Lateran Council, 1215.](#))
- “We declare, say, define, and pronounce that it is absolutely necessary for the salvation of every human creature to be subject

to the Roman Pontiff.” ([Pope Boniface VIII, the Bull Unam Sanctam, 1302.](#))

- *“The most Holy Roman Church firmly believes, professes and preaches that none of those existing outside the Catholic Church, not only pagans, but also Jews and heretics and schismatics, can have a share in life eternal; but that they will go into the eternal fire which was prepared for the devil and his angels, unless before death they are joined with Her; and that so important is the unity of this ecclesiastical body that only those remaining within this unity can profit by the sacraments of the Church unto salvation, and they alone can receive an eternal recompense for their fasts, their almsgivings, their other works of Christian piety and the duties of a Christian soldier. No one, let his almsgiving be as great as it may, no one, even if he pour out his blood for the Name of Christ, can be saved, unless he remain within the bosom and the unity of the Catholic Church.”* ([Pope Eugene IV, the Bull Cantate Domino, 1441.](#))

Fr Ladis Cizik states the case clearly in a recent article in “The Remnant”

“Those who call themselves Catholic today, especially leaders who promote religious indifferentism, like the King of Israel in Elijah’s day, should fear the wrath of the One True God and repent of their sin. Repent and turn back to God the Holy Trinity to end our long drought of vocations to the priesthood and religious life! One religion is not as good as another. All religions do not lead to Heaven. The Catholic Church and the Traditional Catholic Faith are the one true Church and the one true Faith, founded by the only-begotten Son of God, the Second Person of the Blessed Trinity, Our Lord and God Jesus Christ.”

And a little reminder to all Synod Fathers for this October

“Every one that putteth away his wife, and marrieth another, committeth adultery: and he that marrieth her that is put away from her husband, committeth adultery.” Luke 16:18

“Because that, when they knew God, they have not glorified him as God, or given thanks; but became vain in their thoughts, and their foolish heart was darkened. [For professing themselves to be wise, they became fools. And they changed the glory of the incorruptible God into the likeness of the image of a corruptible man, and of birds, and of four-footed beasts, and of creeping things. Wherefore God gave them up to

the desires of their heart, unto uncleanness, to dishonour their own bodies among themselves. Who changed the truth of God into a lie; and worshipped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen.

For this cause God delivered them up to shameful affections. For their women have changed the natural use into that use which is against nature. And, in like manner, the men also, leaving the natural use of the women, have burned in their lusts one towards another, men with men working that which is filthy, and receiving in themselves the recompense which was due to their error. And as they liked not to have God in their knowledge, God delivered them up to a reprobate sense, to do those things which are not convenient; being filled with all iniquity, malice, fornication, avarice, wickedness, full of envy, murder, contention, deceit, malignity, whisperers, detractors, hateful to God, contumelious, proud, haughty, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents,

[26] God delivered them up: Not by being author of their sins, but by withdrawing his grace, and so permitting them, in punishment of their pride, to fall into those shameful sins.

Foolish, dissolute, without affection, without fidelity, without mercy. Who, having known the justice of God, did not understand that they who do such things, are worthy of death; and not only they that do them, but they also that consent to them that do them.” [Romans 21-32]

NONE of this, NONE OF IT is up for debate, or unclear in any way.

These are all Divine utterances of Our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ or the defined dogmas of His Spouse, the Church He established for the salvation of souls. If one does not accept these, or even doubts any of them, **he is not a Catholic**. This is not my opinion or me being “judgmental” this is Church teaching and it CANNOT be abrogated.

Not by ‘Father’ Ron Rolheiser.

Not by Cardinal Vincent “Quisling” Nichols.

Not by Walter Cardinal "The-Grinning-Heretic" Kasper.

And certainly not by Bishop of Rome "who-am-I-to-judge" Francis.

Not by anyone ... Ever.

“St Michael the Archangel, defend us in the day of battle!”

POSTSCRIPT
By Graham Moorhouse

The question I would like to ask Ron is: How can you know that no religion holds all truth unless YOU personally hold all the truth you claim none of them do?

For the Ron Rolheisers of this world the Great Commission can be nothing more than a piece of offensive Jewish Chutzpah on the part of some arrogant jumped-up Galilean. What Christ should have said (and no doubt would have said had he been fortunate enough to have our Ron to advise Him) would be something more humble, more self-effacing, something along the following lines:

"And the eleven disciples went into Galilee, unto the mountain where Jesus had appointed them. And seeing him they greeted Him warmly. And Jesus spoke to them, saying: I know some of you may feel that I have had a few cool insights about heaven and earth, but who am I, or you, or anyone else to assert that I'm right? You may therefore go and dig ecumenical wells with others; but do your very best not to convert anyone. Baptize them reluctantly only if they absolutely insist. If you must, you can do so in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost ... or, for that matter, in the name of the Great Thumb if that floats your boat. But for goodness sake don't teach them to observe all, indeed any, of the things I have tentatively suggested; after all, I shan't be around for much longer and, who knows, they and you might be better off with some other guru. After all, aren't we all equally hopelessly up the proverbial gum tree?"

The above article provides a perfect illustration of why a genuine Catholic should not be regularly reading the mainstream Catholic press: i.e. the Tablet, the Universe, the Catholic Times and the Catholic Herald. Just as a healthy man can occasionally eat junk food without it compromising his physical health, but a regular diet of junk food will undoubtedly compromise his physical health, similarly, a well-instructed Catholic can occasionally read the mainstream Catholic press, but a regular diet of this Modernist junk food will seriously jeopardise one's spiritual health - and to carelessly or deliberately place one's faith in danger is a sin.

Don't make the mistake of thinking that Pro Ecclesia et Pontifice is singling out the Herald; the Catholic Times regularly devotes acres of print to the anti-Catholic ramblings of the ill-tempered Modernist, Monsignor Basil Loftus. And the Universe, not so many years ago, carried a column by a priest who went so far as to question whether we ought to be calling Our Blessed Lady the Mother of God!

The mainstream Catholic press is stuck between a rock and a hard place. If they don't lick the bishops' boots they will not be able to sell their papers in Catholic churches. But many, if not most, of our bishops are diehard anti-Catholics. This means that the mainstream Catholic press is forced to serially sell its soul for the proverbial thirty pieces of silver in order to stay in business.

And if you believe that describing our bishops as diehard anti-Catholics is a bit over-the-top, just remind yourself that these men collectively appointed Greg Pope MP - the most pro-actively pro-abortion, pro-contraception, pro-condom, pro-sodomite MP in parliament bar none - to help head up the Catholic education service! That should tell anyone not hopelessly addicted to sticking their head in the sand with their butt in the air all they need to know about the Judas traitors that make up much of the British hierarchy.

If you need further proof, just ask any genuine Catholic who has worked in one of our chanceries to relate their experiences. They will invariably describe an atmosphere of barely concealed hostility towards them from the second it was realised that they are orthodox. And dare to express a liking for the traditional Mass, and that hostility will be open, and it will be very unlikely that it will be very long before an excuse is found to dispense with the services of the person in question.

Indeed, it is only about a decade ago a pro-life speaker was howled down and reduced to tears by the staff of one of our chanceries, egged on by the bishop himself. The bishop later apologised, but only after Life had gone public on this ugly revealing incident.

If a man made a great issue about loving his wife, yet regularly published articles that denigrate and undermine her and reduce the respect for her of her children and those who knew and loved her, wouldn't you smell a slight whiff of hypocrisy? But that is precisely what the editors of the mainstream Catholic press do regularly; they make a great do about their love for the Church, whilst regularly publishing articles by those who seek to rubbish her teachings and undermine the respect and love of Catholics for the Church. "Hypocrisy" is the only word that comes close to describing such behaviour.

Sometimes, otherwise good priests, will encourage us to read the Catholic press, but no responsible father would say to a child, *"Eat up your dinner. Yes, I know that there are several spoonfuls of cyanide in it, but some of what is on your plate is quite nourishing."*

**WHAT IS IT ABOUT THE SECULAR LIBERAL MINDSET THAT
LEAVES IT PARALYZED IN THE FACE OF THE ENEMY, LIKE A
RABBIT CAUGHT IN ONE'S HEADLIGHTS?**

These events are actual events from history. They really happened! Do you remember?

1. In 1968, Bobby Kennedy was shot and killed by ... a **Muslim**. 2. In 1972 at the Munich Olympics, athletes were kidnapped and massacred by ... **Muslims**. 3. In 1972 a Pan Am 747 was hijacked and eventually diverted to Cairo where a fuse was lit on final approach and it was blown up shortly after landing by ... **Muslims**. 4. In 1973 a Pan Am 707 was destroyed in Rome, with 33 people killed, when it was attacked with grenades by ... **Muslims**. 5. In 1979, the US embassy in Iran was taken over by **Muslims**. 6. During the 1980's a number of Americans were kidnapped in Lebanon by ... **Muslims**. 7. In 1983, the US Marine barracks in Beirut was blown up by ... **Muslims**. 8. In 1985, the cruise ship Achille Lauro was hijacked and a 70-year-old American passenger was murdered and thrown overboard in his wheelchair by ... **Muslims**. 9. In 1985, TWA flight 847 was hijacked at Athens, and a US Navy diver trying to rescue passengers was murdered by ... **Muslims**. 10. In 1988, Pan Am Flight 103 was bombed by ... **Muslims**. 11. In 1993, the World Trade Centre was bombed the first time by ... **Muslims**. 12. In 1998, the US embassies in Kenya and Tanzania were bombed by ... **Muslims**. 13. On 9/11/01, four airliners were hijacked; two were used as missiles to take down the World Trade Centres and of the remaining two, one crashed into the US Pentagon and the other was diverted and crashed by the passengers. Over 3,000 people were killed by ... **Muslims**. 14. In 2002, reporter Daniel Pearl was kidnapped and beheaded by - you guessed it - a **Muslim**. 15. In 2013, Boston Marathon Bombing, four Innocent people including a child killed, 264 injured by ... **Muslims**.

Yet according to Obama, Cameron and the Pope, Islam is a religion of peace! It is as if Churchill, having watched the Nazis march into Poland, attack the Soviet Union, annex Czechoslovakia and Austria, roll over the Netherlands and Belgium, and occupy France, was to announce with a straight face, *"Nazis are a peace loving people; it's just a militant minority that gets them a bad name."*

"CRY OUT WITH A HUNDRED THOUSAND TONGUES"

"We're had enough of exhortations to be silent! Cry out with a hundred thousand tongues. I see that the world is rotten because of silence." - St Catherine of Siena

FROM THE MAIL BOX

NB Because of toxic atmosphere in which orthodox priests have to work in the modern Church, we never publish their real names. All priest are called Fr Ignobilis and reside in Stat Veritas for the purposes of this mailbox

"... a convert who has dreadful trouble with the Rosary"

Dear Sirs, Thanks for sending "The Flock". I am impressed. Enclosed is a modest donation - receipt not needed.

I'm a convert who has dreadful trouble with the Rosary, so thanks also for Fr Amorth's article, time for a fresh start, obviously.

Carry on the good work.

Henry Fraser (Bagillt)

Four new members.

Dear Editor, Greetings. And thank you for the latest edition of the Flock. I found it very uplifting in view of all that is happening in the Church today.

Would you please send the Flock to the following [four new readers] who have asked me to give you their names.

Enclosed a small cheque. Thank you for caring and please do pass the above the Flock. Four new members. Best wishes:

Valerie Williams (Callington)

The work that you are doing here to uphold the one true Church is so important

Dear Graham, Many thanks for sending me the latest editions of "The Flock" - I was really glad to receive them.

The work that you are doing here to uphold the one true Church is so important.

I have enclosed a cheque for your trouble. I will send another donation whenever the next issue of your magazine becomes available.

With sincere gratitude.

Benedict Williams (Lewis)

STAR LETTER

"It is time for clergy up and down England and Wales to speak up against CYMFED and how they are destroying the faith of our young people."

Dear Flock, Thank you for pointing out that **Fr Dominic Howarth** is responsible for organising the speaker **Fr Timothy Radcliffe** who supports homosexuality to speak to over 7,000 young people recently at Wembley. That is not the complete picture however, not fully anyhow, also responsible are **John Toryusen**, Director of Southwark Youth Service and **Fr Dermott Donnelly**, Chair of CYMFED and Director of Hexham and Newcastle Youth Service. This was the information given in their event publicity, that these three together formed the CYMFED organising committee for the Flame 2 Youth Event in March 2015.

When one looks at the background of **Fr Dermott Donnelly** and the role models that he presents to Catholic young people it is of great concern. For example he has used the popular media duo Ant and Dec to open the 'youth village' the diocesan youth retreat for young people in Hexham and Newcastle Diocese. Ant Donnelly has boasted of his sexual exploits in the media and finds it no problem cohabiting with his girlfriends, he once said publicly that he is *"sexually very, very hungry and give me half the chance and I'll stuff my face."*

John Toryusen is the producer of 'Life in Christ' a youth catechetical DVD which features numerous well known heretics such as Bishop John Arnold, Bishop Kieran Conry and Sr. Gemma Simmonds. Also featured are surprise, surprise, yes you have guessed it, Fr Timothy Radcliffe and Fr Dominic Howarth.

At the recent Flame 2 conference there was also a place for **Fr Dan Fitzpatrick** and **Fr Marc Lyden-Smith** who according to various Catholic blogs also defend homosexuality.

Fr Dominic Howarth, Fr Dermott Donnelly and John Toryusen of the Flame 2 Organising Committee - what are you playing at? If these are the role models that you are presenting to our young people i.e. people who hate the teaching of Jesus Christ, is it any wonder that young people today no longer take His teaching seriously and no longer regularly attend Mass?

"Whoever causes one of these little ones who believe in me to sin, it would be better for him if a great millstone were hung round his neck and he were thrown into the sea" Mark 9:42

It is time for clergy up and down England and Wales to speak up against CYMFED and how they are destroying the faith of our young people.

Name withheld (By email)

CYMFED have done so, so much to damage young people's faith over the years

Dear The Flock, The old folks in our Church are dying off and we desperately need to raise up the next generation who love Christ and His Church. Please continue to have a 'Youth' focus in the Flock, we desperately need to critique the 'Youth Ministry' world and in particular CYMFED who have done so, so much to damage young people's faith over the years.

We also need to focus on the travesty of diocesan youth retreat centres all around England and Wales who are wasting thousands of pounds of parishioners' money on mumbo jumbo and not fulfilling their remit to properly evangelise and catechise young people in the faith. Many clergy are always complaining about these youth retreat centres but never seem to know what to do about it.

I think in particular of the dreadful youth retreat centre in Hexham and Newcastle, which continues to break liturgical guidelines and invite many heretical speakers such as Sr Helen Prejean. Sr Helen is one of those modernist nuns who when challenged says she never says she supports abortion, but is always ambiguous it. She also is in favour of the ordination of women and does not condemn contraception.

Let us continue to speak out against the destruction of the Church by the modernists and heretics who seek to turn our young people against Christ and His teachings.

Name withheld (By email)

The Church is truly crumbling around us

Dear Mr Moorhouse, Please find enclosed a small donation - long overdue.

What a well written and informative issue; so clearly understood with no punches pulled.

The Church is truly crumbling around us. Intervention (devine) cannot be far away.

God bless: Claire Conlon (Argyll)

Thank you for the recent edition of the Flock

Dear Sir, Thank you for the recent edition of the Flock. It was a splendid one. I found it most interesting and intend to keep it handy to refer to.

Donation enclosed.

Your sincerely

D.H. Kerwick (Gwent)

" ... nothing emboldens the wicked so greatly as the lack of courage on the part of the good"

Dear Flock, Congratulations to the new editor on maintaining the very highest of standards. Some will say that it is a tough line but I have to confess that as a Catholic headmaster for twenty-four years, my biggest regret is that I did not protest more vociferously against abuses. Soft talking got us nowhere and I fear that we let down pupils and parents. Pope Leo XIII wrote: *"To keep silent when from all sides such clamours are raised against truth, is the part of a man either devoid of character or who entertains doubts as to the truths that he professes to believe. In both cases such mode of behaviour is base and insulting to God, and both are incompatible with the salvation of mankind. This kind of conduct is profitable only to the enemies of the faith, for nothing emboldens the wicked so greatly as the lack of courage on the part of the good."*

Mr G.E. Hester, B.A. (Bolton)

The Flock is published by:
Pro Ecclesia et Pontifice
118 Shepherds Lane
DARTFORD
DA1 2NN

PEEP@cathud.com
0132-240-9231

PLEASE REMEMBER PEEP IN YOUR WILL

*Help us to carry on the fight against the enemy within the gates
for the faith of our children*