

The Flock

The Newsletter of Pro Ecclesia et Pontifice

"They may have our buildings - but we still have the faith" - St Athanasius (ca 350)

Summer 2022

CHARTRES PILGRIMAGE POST TRADITIONIS CUSTODES Written by Graham Moorhouse¹

Twenty plus years ago, dear Fr Basden changed mv life, and the life. of my wife immeasurably for the better He forever. did SO by introducing us to the Chartres pilgrimage.



was close to 60-years-old at the time I first walked the pilgrimage.

When one walks to Chartres, one does so in the footsteps of Christendom's greatest saints, scholars, kings, and queens who revered Chartres cathedral as a veritable narthex of paradise. The modern pilgrimage involves some 15,000 traditional Catholics from every corner of the globe, walking from Notre Dame de Paris to Notre Dame de Chartres, a distance of 70 miles, over three days. The late, great Michael Davies acclaimed the Chartres pilgrimage the "most important annual event happening in the Church today." I would go further and claim that the Chartres pilgrimage is the most important event happening in the world today. Thanks to the Chartres pilgrimage, traditional Catholicism has an international face, and can showcase its vitality and youthfulness - I would be surprised to learn that the average age this year was much over 22.

The pilgrims are the sons and daughters of the original traditionalists – the Catholics of the Vendee in Western France who, 200 years ago, laid down their lives for altar and throne against the Masonic onslaught of the French Revolution. Grounded in the Faith planted deep in the forests of the Vendee by St. Louis-Marie Grignion de Montfort, the Vendeans went to war under the banner of the *Sacre Coeur*. The Sacred Heart of Jesus

¹ This article was inspired by an article written by Michael Matt, Editor of the Remnant - indeed, in places I have unashamedly plagiarised Michael - ED

was sewn onto their uniforms and emblazoned on their battle flags. Theirs was a Royal and Catholic Army and their blood watered the tree of Tradition throughout France. One walks today in the company of their sons and daughters, still marching behind banners of the *Sacre Coeur*, *and* marching against that same Revolution.

Notre-Dame de Chartres is a great gothic reliquary of one of Christendom's most precious relics, the Veil of Our Lady – used both to wrap the infant Christ at His birth and to cover His nakedness as He died on the Cross. The Cathedral of Notre-Dame de Chartres is unique among Christendom's cathedrals for many reasons, not the least of which is that there are neither saints nor kings buried in her crypt. It is obvious that love had built this "playhouse of Our Lady." – the playhouse of the Mother of God, the unsigned masterpiece of Christian faith, the castle of hope whose spires still point Heavenward.

In the Middle Ages, crusaders would travel to Chartres for the sole purpose of touching their tunics to Our Lady's Veil before embarking on Crusade. On bended knee, they would pledge themselves to fight in her honour and plead for protection beneath her mantle.

In the shadows of the Chartres Cathedral – kneeling on stones where Louis IX might have knelt and hearing the only Mass Joan of Arc ever knew – one begins to understand the Christocentric majesty of the Catholic Church founded by the God-man, Jesus Christ, in person, rooted in Tradition, sustained by a Christian culture with such synergy that it literally built Western civilization.

For centuries Notre-Dame de Chartres has been a place of education for pilgrims who would come to "be with their mother" and to study the Bible in stone and glass that is the Cathedral. They could not read the printed word, but they could read Chartres Cathedral's catechism of glass and stone, the famous stained-glass windows and stone carvings that teach the story of the Incarnation from Adam to the Apocalypse.

Michael Matt recounts that he once walked through the Cathedral with Malcolm Miller, world renowned expert on Chartres. Miller told him that, though he'd been leading tours of the Cathedral for 50 years, he knew less than half of all there is to know of the mysteries carved into the stone and set in the windows of Chartres. There are even sacred images sculpted into the Cathedral's roof that are visible only from Heaven. Chartres, in other words, is a massive catechism crafted in stone and glass.

On a darker side, when one looks around the Cathedral one may notice, for example, that the statue of St Anne (the mother of Our Lady) is carrying a headless child. Elsewhere the arms of an apostle have been knocked off. This is the work of 18th century vandals, Christophobic mobs, the forefathers of Antifa and Black Lives Matter, the sons and daughters of the Revolution whose souls, then as now, drew sustenance from destruction, division, death, deprayity and hate.

I became a Catholic as a teenager around 1956, before the tsunami of Vat 2 slammed into the Church. I never truly learned to love the new religion of Vat2ism that had been foisted on us, mainly by German bishops. At Chartres I discovered that I was not alone, that there were thousands of Catholics world-wide who, like me, much preferred

the faith of their forefathers, saints and martyrs to the new man-made religion of Vat2ism. That was a huge moment for me. I had found my spiritual home, and regained all my love and enthusiasm for the faith. It was on the road to Chartres that I rediscovered all that had been taken away from us by the Revolution, i.e., our birthright, our identity, our very raison d'être as Catholics. On that first pilgrimage – after three days walking on blistered feet, falling asleep to the songs and evening prayers of the French scouts, getting up at an ungodly hour in the morning, cold and wet to start again, I fell in love anew with the Catholic faith that I had embraced as a young man.

For the last twenty-plus years, I have not missed a single year except for a two-year hiatus caused to the Covid scam. So, my wife and I were determined to participate this year. But there was no official English chapter organized, so I contacted Michael Matt who runs the American chapter and asked if we could become Yanks for the weekend. We were warmly welcomed by our Catholic brothers with open arms. This ensured that we had a canvas roof over our heads and a couple of square meters to lay out our ground sheet and sleeping bag at night. Two friends joined us and we crossed into France Friday afternoon - the pilgrimage starts in Paris with Mass early Saturday morning. We soon discovered other Brits who had made the same journey to join the pilgrimage.

It is difficult to overstate the impact the Chartres Pilgrimage had on me. Standing awestruck beneath the forests of flying buttresses and vast rose windows of Chartres Cathedral, I recall the first time I crossed its threshold. I had been so poorly prepared that I had blisters coming out of Paris and had walked the 70 miles on hot coals. In front of me were three young French girls, probably in their late teens. All three were clearly utterly bushed, but the girl in the centre was so exhausted she was literally dragged over the threshold of the cathedral by her two comrades with her feet trailing behind her. I was so moved by this spectacle that I laughed and wept at the same time. I knew at that moment, watching those three young women, that there was absolutely no way the Revolution could win, either now or ever.

In that defining moment, the blindfold fell away, and I wanted to spend the rest of my life fighting for the restoration of the thing I'd found on the road to Chartres; the Faith and Mass of our fathers, saints and martyrs, the glory of God, and the joy of being Christian - *Je suis chrétien! voilà ma gloire*, a hymn belted out by thousands of young Frenchmen. In the Cathedral, listening to 10,000 voices, the "clans of Christendom", lift the roof with, "*Et unam, sanctam, catholicam et apostolicam ecclesiam*", still leaves me with a giant lump in my throat.

Every year I feel an immense sense of gratitude and awe at the superb work our French comrades-in-arms put into organizing this pilgrimage, as I'm sure do all those involved. This year *Notre-Dame de Chrétienté* (The French group that organises the pilgrimage) celebrated its 40th Anniversary. *Notre-Dame de Chrétienté* did not originate the Pilgrimage to Chartres, they resurrected what had been one of the most important pilgrimages of the Middle Ages. The Pentecost Pilgrimage to Chartres continued uninterrupted down unto modern times, there was a pause during the two World Wars, but it took the Spirit of Vatican II to cancel it completely.

But in 1982, as a labour of love for Our Lady and the Traditional Mass - la Messe de toujours, as the French call it - French traditionalists began researching the old pilgrimage route from Paris to Chartres. On their first Pilgrimage, only 35 pilgrims took part and the doors of Notre Dame de Chartres were firmly barred against them, they were forced to offer the traditional Mass outside. But they persisted and, by the time I walked the Pilgrimage, not only had the doors of the Cathedral been opened to us, but the number of pilgrims had swelled to over 10,000.

The 2022 pilgrimage could be crudely described as a giant two-fingers up to "Traditionis Custodes". It has not been possible for some years now to pack all the pilgrims into Chartres Cathedral for the final Mass, but the overflow crowd is accommodated in the square in front of the cathedral. This year, they could not accommodate us all in the square and we had to "flow" round the sides of the Cathedral. The turnout in recent years has been between 10,000 and 15,000, this year it was nearly 20,000! Just ponder that: in spite of "Traditionis Custodes", in spite if the two year hiatus caused by the globalists' Covid scam, in spite of continuing Covid travel restrictions (for example, our Canadian brothers couldn't join us because the little ferret Justina Truedope wouldn't let them out of Canada), yet still our numbers swelled by 4,000 to 5,000 young traditionalists, that equates to some 30%!

No wonder the Chartres pilgrimage terrifies Pope Francis and the rest of his cabal of aging hippies in Rome. It terrifies them because the pilgrimage manifests to the world the vibrant youth movement that Traditional Catholicism has become. It also terrifies them because it makes visible the uniting of the "clans" of Tradition under the banner of Christ the King, an army of God. Even the SSPX walk the same pilgrimage, howbeit in the opposite direction, and the leaders of *Notre-Dame de Chrétienté* and the SSPX meet at the half-way mark and raise a glass to Tradition.

And on this 40th Anniversary of Notre-Dame de Chrétienté, I thank God for the Pilgrimage to Chartres and for our French brothers and sisters who restored to our sad and desperate world this beautiful event, giving glory and honour to Christ our King: *Chartres sonne, Chartres t'appelle! Gloire, honneur au Christ-Roi.* Ad multos annos (For many more years) please God, Notre-Dame de Chrétienté! Vive le Christ-Roi!

ARCHBISHOP CARLO MARIA VIGANÒ ON THE DECISION TO OVERTURN ROE Copied from The Remnant Website

11.1 C 1 M · W · M · M · M

Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò: Titular Archbishop of Ulpiana, served as the Apostolic Nuncio to the United States from 19 October 2011 to 12 April 2016. He previously served as Secretary-General of the Governorate of Vatican City State from 16 July 2009 to 3 September 2011.

His August 2018 bombshell on clerical sex abuse cover-up within the Church exposed corruption in the Church's human element which extends even to the highest levels of the hierarchy.

His June 2020 Open Letter to Donald Trump was tweeted out by the president along with his gratitude and with a recommendation that everyone read it.

Archbishop Viganò's frequent writings and interviews of the last few years reflect a true pastoral concern for the faithful throughout the world, and a strong commitment to the vows he made on his ordination day.

On June 24, the Supreme Court of the United States of America, overturning the 1973 *Roe v. Wade* decision, healed a constitutional *vulnus* [wound] and at the same time restored sovereignty to the individual States after almost fifty years. The decision of the Supreme Court did not rule the "right to abortion" –



as the mainstream narrative claims – but rather it has overturned its "obligatory legalization in all of the States," returning the power to decide about the "profound moral question of abortion" to "the people and their elected representatives," from whom *Roe v. Wade* had removed it, contrary to the dictates of the U.S. Constitution.

Thus, the attempt to intimidate the Justices of the Supreme Court, which began with the malicious leak of the draft of the decision by members of the pro-abortion lobby, has failed, just as the rhetoric of death of the Democrat left, fuelled by extremist movements and groups financed by George Soros' *Open Society*, has also failed. And it is significant to see how violent and intolerant the reaction has been from self-styled liberals, from Barack and Michelle Obama to Hillary Clinton, from Nancy Pelosi to Chuck Schumer, from the Attorney General Merrick Garland to Joe Biden, not to mention the declarations of politicians and heads of state from across the Western world.

Actually, the following ought to be enough to make people understand the importance of this decision: attacks carried out by "pro-choice" groups, assaults against pro-life organizations, the desecration of churches, scenes of hysteria on the part of supporters of the right to kill the innocent life of the unborn child, emblematically uniting members of the Democratic party financed by Planned Parenthood, which in turn is financed by the government; the Woke Left; the followers of Klaus Schwab's globalism; members of international Freemasonry; ideologues of green change and demographic reduction; propagandists of gender theory, LGBTQ+ ideology, and rainbow flags; adherents of the church of Satan, who consider abortion as one of their "rites" of worship; those who harvest and sell human foetuses from the death clinics; those who sell "vaccines" produced by human foetuses; and those who support the pandemic farce and their grotesque train of "experts," all of whom agree that their cultural hegemony is now threatened, a hegemony that since 1973 has caused the death of 63 million children in the

United States, who have been offered in human sacrifice to the Moloch of political correctness.

The globalist world, which has made the systematic violation of the human body its own ideological flag by imposing an experimental gene treatment on the population against all scientific evidence, is now tearing its garments as it claims the right of women to bodily autonomy and the right to kill the life the mother bears in her womb. This globalist world, in which an elite group of subversive criminals has seized power and ascended to the heights of power in nations and international institutions, has now lost control of the United States of America, thanks to a historic decision handed down by wise Justices, including the ones most recently appointed by President Donald Trump, whose commitment to the defense of the sanctity of human life has today achieved a great victory for America and for those who look to her as a model to imitate. Many American states have already declared abortion illegal, and thanks to the decision of the Supreme Court they will finally be able to recognize and protect the rights of the unborn child.

The Vatican Press Office as well as the U.S. Bishops' Conference are reacting to this historic day with a suspicious moderation, as if it is an embarrassing duty for them. Bergoglio is silent, but he was very talkative when it came to attacking Donald Trump or supporting Hillary Clinton, Joe Biden, and Democratic candidates. His silence in the face of the victory of the Good over the ideology of death of the world without God still echoes the propaganda of the Bergoglian church in support of the so-called vaccines and "Agenda 2030" of the UN, one of the main proponents of "reproductive health" that has been imposed on nations precisely since 1973 with *Roe v. Wade*. Nor should we forget how the Pontifical Academy for Life, founded by John Paul II, has been disfigured over the last ten years by including members who are notoriously in favour of abortion and contraception.

The hatred of President Trump and the network of the deep church's connections and interests with the deep state have brought to light, among other things, the great contradiction of the Bergoglian church, which is committed to doing business with global high finance and the pharmaceutical companies, even as economic and sexual scandals continue to emerge that involve both politicians and prelates.

On the day on which the Church celebrates the Feast of the Most Sacred Heart of Jesus, and also the Birth of Saint John the Baptist, who "leapt in the womb" of his mother Elizabeth (Luke 1:41), the Lord has desired to grant to the United States of America the possibility of redeeming itself, ensuring that its man-made laws are coherent with the natural law impressed by the Creator in the heart of every person. And this is the essential basis that is necessary for a Nation to be blessed by Heaven. *One Nation under God.*

I hope that the American people will know how to treasure this historic opportunity, and that they will understand that there cannot be justice anywhere the right to abortion is recognized, there cannot be peace and prosperity in a society that massacres its own

children, and there cannot be liberty wherever license, vice and pride subvert God's Commandments.

+ Carlo Maria Viganò, Archbishop - Former Apostolic Nuncio to the United States of America - June 24, 2022 - The Most Sacred Heart of Jesus

CHRIST MUST REIGN – OR COUNTRIES DIE The world is dying from contraception and abortion - By Andy Pollard

"Jaw-dropping" exclaimed Christopher Murray, professor at the Institute of Health Metrics & Evaluation (IHME) in Seattle. He was talking about the findings of the institute's global research into human fertility. It revealed that more than half the countries in the world, including the USA, UK, China and Japan, were dying from below replacement fertility, which is caused substantially by contraception and abortion, below replacement fertility is fertility below 2.1 children per woman.

According to the Institute of Health Metrics & Evaluation, the collapse in future working populations caused by below replacement fertility will be truly staggering. By 2100, working populations outside Africa will have fallen by an extraordinary **2 billion** or even more - a fall of 50%. Imagine a world with only half the doctors, nurses and all other kinds of workers we have today, while numbers of elderly will have increased. The Institute of Health Metrics & Evaluation forecasts are broadly similar to projections produced by the UN.

The USA dropped below 2.1 children per woman and started dying in 1972, a year after Canada and a year before the UK. But it is Japan that is leading the way into an unprecedented economic and geopolitical disaster. Its current fertility level is only 1.4 children per woman, and its working age population has fallen continuously for nearly 25 years. Today, the Japanese working population is smaller than it was 50 years ago.

But Japan is not alone in its descent into economic devastation. Its giant neighbour, China, is following it. Its total population is probably falling. China's fertility rate is unofficially but realistically less than 1.5. (Chinese official figures are unreliable). By 2100 its working age population, according to the Institute of Health Metrics & Evaluation and UN forecasts, will have fallen by an extraordinary 650 million – two thirds of its present workforce! China's ambitions to lead the world will be irreparably damaged.

Fertility well below 2.1 as in China and Japan is like a new Black Death, not caused by a deadly pathogen as in the 1300's in Europe but driven today by deadly beliefs and government policies about contraception and abortion.

Is Japan doing anything to stop the precipitous fall in its working population? The answer is not very much. Its government wants to increase the fertility of the Japanese by 2025 from 1.4 to 1.8 – an increase of about 300,000 births annually. Almost certainly this will be an impossible target. Japan faces, almost certainly, economic, social and political ruin later this century.

Catholic apostasy has led to dying countries: Another major cause of dying countries has been tens of millions of Catholics who have abandoned traditional Catholic beliefs

about fertility, which teach that contraception and abortion are serious sins. This massive apostasy took place during and since the Second Vatican Council in the 1960's.

The Catholic Faith about fertility is not just an opinion that can be ignored without serious negative consequences. True belief conforms to objective reality, false beliefs do not. Only the traditional Catholic teaching about fertility conforms fully to objective reality by treating contraception and abortion as serious sins.

In 1917 in Fatima Our Blessed Lady warned the world that "various nations will be destroyed" by what she called "Russia's Errors", which would have included contraception and abortion. Both were introduced by the Bolsheviks as government policies in the years immediately after 1917.

Immigration is not a solution for most countries: Rich countries like USA & UK do not of course want to die. Nor do they want to change their attitudes to or government policies about contraception and abortion. So, they encourage immigration of skilled and unskilled workers, including women of fertile age frequently from poorer countries, in order to fill gaps in their workforce and to boost births. In the UK the National Health Service, for instance, has been "raiding" the workforces of poorer countries for nurses and doctors for decades.

But poorer countries can do little to hold onto their skilled workers. Many of these countries are already suffering from below replacement fertility. Losing important parts of their workforce to rich countries makes poorer countries die even faster. For most countries immigration cannot be a solution because there just aren't enough potential immigrants to supply all countries suffering from below replacement fertility. Rich countries are surviving at the expense of poorer ones.

Dying world urgently needs the traditional Catholic Faith: Professor Murray and his collaborators have produced an eye-opening piece of research, revealing the collapse in working populations caused largely by contraception and abortion.

But inexplicably, they rejected the implications of their own research by insisting that 'reproductive health services' (contraception and abortion) must be 'protected'. Their only solution to this crisis of collapsing working populations was "liberal immigration", but this will only benefit a few rich countries.

There is of course a solution for dying countries: traditional Catholicism. In 2018, US Catholic priest Fr. Donald Kloster compared the attitudes in the USA of traditional Catholics (i.e. Catholics who attend the Traditional Latin Mass and seek to live their faith as their forefathers, saints and martyrs have done for the last 2,000 years) with the attitudes of Vat2ists (i.e. those who attend the New Mass and have embraced the endless novelties of Vat 2, the faction favoured by Jorge Bergoglio and his cabal).

The research revealed huge differences. Just 2% of those attending the Traditional Latin Mass approved of contraception, compared with 89% of Vat2ists. Only 1% of Traditional Latin Mass Catholics approved of abortion compared to 51% of Vat2ists.

The different behaviours of the traditional Catholics and Vat2ists are very important. They strongly suggest that the world will not survive without traditional Catholicism.

Governments themselves can't raise human fertility by much. Secular beliefs and Vat2ists beliefs about contraception and abortion are just delusions. They do not conform to objective reality. As a result, they lead to dying countries. Among large mainstream religions only traditional Catholicism teaches against contraception and abortion. Currently, the traditional Catholic world is small, but it is growing rapidly. Congregations at traditional Masses invariably include young couples with large families.

Dethroning Christ in the area of fertility and replacing Him with the kingship of man is leading the world into an abyss. In order for humanity to survive, Christ must reign, not just in our hearts but in everything we do, and that includes our fertility. His kingdom must come. His will must be done everywhere, or countries will die. That's why most countries are dying from below replacement fertility driven by contraception and abortion.

The choice for all countries is clear: to be a dying country or to practise the traditional Catholic Faith. That's why we all need to be traditional Catholics.

DECLARATION ON THE SUSPENSION OF THE LITURGICAL CELEBRATIONS OF THE INSTITUTE OF CHRIST THE KING SOVEREIGN PRIEST IN THE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO By Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò

[Cardinal Cupich is well known for having been a friend and supporter of Cardinal McCarrick, the disgraced predatory sodomite. - ED]

Cardinal Blaise
Cupich, with the
bureaucratic
authoritarianism that
distinguishes the



officials of the Bergoglian church, has ordered the Canons of the Institute of Christ the King Sovereign Priest who carry out their ministry in the Archdiocese of Chicago to suspend all public functions in the ancient rite beginning at the end of the month of July, revoking the faculties granted to them in accordance with the Motu Proprio Summorum Pontificum.

It is obvious to anyone that this decision is intended to prevent the exercise of a right that no ecclesiastical authority can deny, *a fortiori* conditioning it on the acceptance of doctrinal and liturgical principles that are in blatant conflict with the immutable Magisterium of the Catholic Church.

Indeed, every baptized person has the right to attend Holy Mass and to be administered the Sacraments in the form that Benedict XVI's Motu Proprio *Summorum Pontificum* acknowledged may never be abrogated. Depriving the faithful of Chicago of their right is a very grave abuse, and the fact that Cupich's decision is tacitly approved by the Roman Sanhedrin adds to the embezzlements of the Ordinary the confirmation of a broader plan intended to cancel throughout the entire Catholic world the *sign of contradiction* that is the Apostolic Mass. A sign of contradiction because its very existence is a silent condemnation of decades of doctrinal, moral, and disciplinary deviations.

It is no secret that Bergoglio has a hatred of Tradition, and that he does not miss any occasion to deride and discredit those who want to remain Catholic and are not willing to apostatize from the Faith. Just as well known are his predilections for his collaborators and confidants: they are all united by sodomy, lust for power, and corruption in financial matters. It should therefore be no surprise that one of his pupils – a long-time friend of the serial molester McCarrick along with other no less controversial prelates like Donald Wuerl and Joseph Tobin – returned the favour of his undeserved promotion to the See of Chicago by showing himself to be a loyal executor of his benefactor's orders. A promotion that - permit me to remind you - I strenuously opposed when I was serving the Holy See as Apostolic Nuncio to the United States, and that today appears even more scandalous after the disturbing revelations made by Church Militant regarding Cupich's involvement in the cover up of evidence related to the sexual crimes of Cardinal Joseph Bernardin. In 2019, Cupich was investigated by federal authorities and by the attorney general of Illinois for not having turned over incriminating documentation on Archbishop Bernardin and his accomplices that was in the possession of the Archdiocese of Chicago. And we have also learned that, while Cupich would like to see Bernardin the champion of progressivism canonized, there are actually very serious accusations hanging over Bernardin made by one of his abuse victims, accusations which the Congregation of Bishops, the Secretariat of State, and the Archdiocese of Chicago have never followed up on, despite the fact that these accusations mention the profanation of the Blessed Sacrament during a Satanic ritual with minors carried out in 1957 by the young priest Father Joseph Bernardin and his brother priest Father John J. Russell, who was later consecrated as a Bishop and is now deceased.

It is truly difficult, if not completely impossible, to find any justification for the decision of Cupich, who considers the celebration of the Mass of all time to be a sin of injuring the Council, but who strangely enough knows how to be indulgent and understanding towards sodomites, child molesters, abortionists, and profaners of the Eucharistic Species. *Cupich pro domo sua*. It is Cupich, of course, who, when he was instructed by Bergoglio to preside over the Commission on Sexual Crimes of the American Clergy and was asked about the Memorandum I issued in August 2018, commented with scandalous impudence:

"The Pope has a bigger agenda: he's got to get on with other things, talking about the environment and protecting migrants, and carrying on the work of the Church. We're not going to go down a rabbit hole on this. . . . Years ago, if a Cardinal had allowed himself to respond like this, the whole world would have come down; but today obvi-

ously times have changed. . . . Here we can also allow ourselves a bit of insolence. So much is known that the media will not tear their garments for so little."

You read that correctly: "For so little." In the secular world, if a manager prevented his subordinates from doing their job and encouraged dishonest and corrupt employees by promoting them and covering up their crimes, he would be fired on the spot and asked to pay millions in compensation for the damage caused to the company's image. Instead, on the multicoloured bandwagon of the lavender mafia protected by Bergoglio, these forms of sordid complicity with evil and ferocious aversion to the good have become the norm, confirming that moral corruption is the necessary corollary of doctrinal deviation and liturgical license. The crisis of ecclesiastical Authority – beginning from the very top – is undeniable, as confirmed by the creation of Cupich as Cardinal as well as the names of those to be given the red hat at the upcoming Consistory.

If in temporal matters civic rulers who are obedient to the deep state make use of corrupt officials to carry out the silent coup of the "Great Reset," at the same time on the ecclesial front we see that cardinals and prelates who are no less corrupt and who are obedient to the deep church. With Bergoglio's *placet* they are bringing the subversive plan of Vatican II to completion, which is destined to lead to the Religion of Humanity yearned for by Freemasonry.

But if on the one hand it is a duty to denounce and condemn the intolerable abuses of these renegades who have as their goal the destruction of the Church of Christ and the cancellation of the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass, on the other hand it seems to me that it is necessary to reconsider how certain forms of carefree acceptance of Vatican II on the part of the Institute of Christ the King may have wrongly allowed its members to believe that Rome would have looked the other way regarding buckles and capes as long as they did not criticize the Council or the *Novus Ordo*.

This shows us that – beyond the impromptu ceremonial connotations that are bit too *ancien régime* (which however are very moderate in Chicago and in general throughout the United States) – it is the Tridentine Mass *in itself* that is a formidable profession of Faith and an unflinching refutation of the patched-together reformed liturgy, whether it is celebrated by an old parish pastor or a newly ordained priest, regardless of whether he wears a Roman fiddleback or a medieval chasuble. It is *that* Mass, and the Mass *par excellence*, celebrated in the one Rite that is truly *extraordinary*, not because it is occasional but because it is incomparably superior to the Protestantized imitation that is the Montinian rite, which a Curé of Ars would have looked upon with horror.

This Mass, the Mass of the Holy Church, the Mass of the Apostles and Martyrs of all times, *our* Mass – this is the Mass that truly causes them *scandal*. It is not Roman birettas and bows that scandalize them; it is not the mozzettas and rochets that scandalize them. The real thing that scandalizes them is the Catholic Mass, and this is what they rail against, with the rage of heretics – the same people who preach "welcoming" and "inclusivity," which applies to everyone without condition except for good priests and faithful laity. In reality, this ought to be enough to convince us to totally ignore the last

dying wheezes of a Hierarchy that is blinded in both intellect and will because it is alien to Grace

This umpteenth show of strength by Cupich, who was cynical and ruthless towards the faithful even before the Canons of the Institute of Christ the King, can constitute a healthy moment of reflection on the many omissions and equivocations that need to be clarified, especially in the matter of acceptance of the Conciliar *mens* and the Bergoglian 'magisterium'. I trust that the Canons of Christ the King and all of the *Ecclesia Dei* institutes will be able to see in these days of trial a precious opportunity for purification, courageously witnessing to the necessary coherence between the profession of Faith and its cultic expression in the Mass, and the consequent irreconcilability between these and the doctrinal and liturgical deviations of Vatican II. Because it is not possible to celebrate the Mass of Saint Pius V and at the same time to accept the errors of its enemies.

Cupich knows this very well, and this is why he wants to prevent the celebration of that Mass. He knows how much that Mass is a very powerful exorcism against the servants of the devil, both those who wear mitres and those who do not. He knows how immediately that Mass is understandable to anyone for its supernatural sense of the sacred and divine – the *mysterium tremendum* of Moses before the burning bush – and how that Mass opens the eyes of the faithful, warms their hearts, and enlightens their minds. After decades of unspeakable torments, the faithful are finally able to approach the Majesty of God, to be converted, to change their lives, to educate their children in holiness, and to spread the Faith by their example. What could be more desirable for a Bishop who is truly a Shepherd of the Sheep entrusted to him by the Lord? And what could be more detestable for those who want to see the Sheep be torn to pieces by wolves or fall into the abyss?

The lay faithful, priests, and Bishops have the sacred and urgent duty to rise up against the decisions of these completely discredited characters and to demand, without yielding an inch, that the venerable Tridentine Liturgy remain an inviolable bulwark of doctrine, morality, and spirituality. *We must obey God rather than men* (Acts 5:29), especially when these men have demonstrated by their reprehensible conduct, that they do not love either God or their brothers in the Faith.

THE THEOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF ISLAMIC SLAUGHTER By Graham Moorhouse (Developed from a lecture by Robert Spencer)

During the troubles in Northern Ireland, which lasted approximately 33 years, the IRA killed 1,823 people, which equates to approximately 55 killings per year². So far, this year alone, at the time of writing (June 2022), Muslims have killed 4642 men, women and children in the name of Allah, and left a further 3,401 with life-devastating injuries. That equates to approximately 10,000 per year - over 180 times the numbers killed by

12

^{2 -} https://belfastchildis.com/lost-lives/the-troubles-1969-1998-statistical-breakdown-of-deaths-in-the-troubles/

the IRA³! Furthermore, this Muslim slaughter has been going on, not for 33 years, but for nearly 14 centuries.

In 2017 Darren Osborne drove his van into a crowd gathered outside a Muslim welfare centre in London, killing one elderly man and injuring 11. This outrage received wall to wall coverage from the mainstream media. Compare this response of the mainstream media to its response to the following murderous attacks, which have all taken place on the first half of 2022:

- 1. 07 Jan 2022 (Nigeria) About 200 are massacred by loyalists of Bello Turji⁴ (a further 70 were injured).
- 2. 24 Apr 2022 (Sudan) Janjaweed militia attack a farming community, killing 168 and injuring a further 100.
- 12 Jun 2022 (Burkina Faso) The bodies of 79 villagers are discovered following an Islamic attack.
- 4. 04 Mar 2022 (Pakistan) 68 Muslims at a Shiite mosque are murdered a further 200 injured by a Sunni suicide bomber.
- 10 Apr 2022 (Nigeria) 54 bodies are discovered in a farming village following a Muslim raid.
- 6. 29 Apr 2022 (Afghanistan) 51 killed and 20 injured when a Fedayeen suicide bomber blows the attendees of a rival mosque to bits.
- 7. 25 May 2022 (Burkina Faso) 50 civilians are murdered in cold blood while trying to flee a Muslim roadblock.

The above is just seven of over 900 attacks so far this year; and at the time of writing we are not even half way through the year. Why are none of these mass killings reported by the mainstream media? Note: Muslims don't just slaughter non-believers; two of the mass murder attacks listed above were against fellow



Muslims. Darren Osborne killed one Muslim and it is still being referenced by the

^{3 -} https://www.thereligionofpeace.com/attacks/attacks.aspx?Yr=2022

^{4 -} Bello Turji, as one of the main leaders of bandit gangs in Nigeria, a practicing Muslim and close friend of Ahmad Abubakar Gumi (an Islamic cleric, scholar and former military officer with the rank of captain in the Nigeria Defence Academy). Turji has enforced elements of Sharia law on the towns he controls.

mainstream media five years later, yet the slaughter of 120 Muslims by fellow Muslims isn't even deemed worthy of a paragraph at the bottom of a back page?

In 2021 the Charity Commission initiated quasi-legal proceedings against PEEP for publishing the above facts in the Flock⁵. Does anyone sense an agenda at work here?

This essay explores the theological foundations of this never-ending slaughter. One of the patently daft things you will hear oft repeated by politicians is that groups like ISIS have nothing to do with Islam. Pretty much every leader of the Western World spouts this gibberish. There is an obvious absurdity here, for some 30,000 devout Muslims, mostly young men, travelled from every corner of the globe to Iraq and Syria to fight for the Islamic State (ISIS). And they joined because they believe that the Islamic State is not merely Islamic, but an Islamic ideal, indeed, the most authentic manifestation of Islam to be found in the world at the time.

Introduction

When we discuss Islam here, we are primarily referencing Sunni Muslims. Sunnis comprise nearly 90% of the world's Muslims, Shiites make up the bulk of the remainder. Orthodox Muslims don't merely believe that they have a right to fight nonbelievers, they believe they have the duty to do so. The Quran, which Muslims believe was dictated by the Archangel Gabriel to Muhammad, commands (9:29), "Fight those who believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger, nor acknowledge the religion of Truth, (even if they are) of the People of the Book [i.e. Christians and Jews] until they pay the Jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued⁶" This perpetual war against the unbeliever is called Jihad, and it takes two forms: offensive and defensive Jihad.

In Islamic theology, only the Caliph, the successor of Muhammad and the leader of all Muslims, is authorized to wage offensive Jihad. Indeed, he actually has the duty to declare Jihad against non-Muslim States on a regular basis. More about the Caliph and the Caliphate later. Defensive Jihad, on the other hand, is the responsibility of all Muslims and does not require any authorization from a Caliph. Because the Caliphate and the Caliph was suppressed in 1924 by the secular Turkish government of the time, there is no Caliph - unless you accept the claims of the Islamic State, ISIS - which means that all the Jihads in the world today, to be justified under Islamic law, must be deemed defensive.

This explains why Muslim leaders spout endless lists of grievances to justify their terrorist activities. So, for example, Osama bin Laden wrote about 9-11 and why the Muslims took down the twin towers and attacked the Pentagon on 9-11. He claimed, "Well, it's because you had troops in Saudi Arabia, the sacred site of the two holy

_

^{5 -} PEEP responded by abandoning its charity status rather than accept being muzzled for telling the truth.

^{6 -} Yusuf Ali (Saudi Rev. 1985)

places of Mecca and Medina, and you support Israel and you've done all these other atracities"

But what if all those grievances were swept away, would Jihad go away? Of course not, just think about that verse 9:29 again, "Fight those who believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger, nor acknowledge the religion of Truth, (even if they are) of the People of the Book [i.e Christians and Jews], until they pay the Jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued"

It doesn't say fight against them even if they're of the People of the Book whose foreign policy you deplore; or even if they are of the People of the Book who have founded a new nation on Muslim land; or even if they are of the People of the Book whose immorality disgusts you. No, it's just, "even if they're of the People of the Book" - period. So, even if the Christians and the Jews are polite, virtuous, just, magnanimous and kind to the Muslims, Muslims still have a duty to fight against us and subjugate us, and this has been true for the entire history of Islam.

So, what is the end game of Jihad? Simple, the submission of all people and nations to Islam. What constitutes submission to Islam? Submission to Islam has three basic steps:

- 1. Embrace Islam. This is obviously from the Muslim viewpoint the best option.
- 2. Accept submission to Islam and Muslims, and pay the Jizya, a tax paid by non-Muslims under Islamic rule. This is known as Dhimmi status, basically pay protection money or you, your family and your property will not be safe. Note: this option is only available to Christians and Jews. If you are a Buddhist, Hindu, Animist or some other religion, this option is not available to you, and you go straight to step 3, alongside those Christians and Jews who refuse to submit to Dhimmi status.
- You are deemed an enemy of Islam and should be killed traditionally by beheading.

The Islamic View of Christians

Note, "The Gospel" means something completely different to Muslims than it does to Christians. For the Christian, Jesus Christ, the Second Person of the Blessed Trinity, by His life, death and resurrection reveals the Father to us and redeems us from our sins. And the four Gospels are partial accounts of that revelation, written by Christ's closest associates under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, several years after His crucifixion. The Muslim view of the Gospel (which they claim no linger exists - more on that later) is a book given by God to Jesus. Jesus was merely a messenger, like Muhammad, whose task was to pass on what was in the book that He had received from God.

If you open the Quran at 5:46, you will find a passage that seems to hold out the hope of some common ground between Judaism, Christianity, and Islam:

"Then in the footsteps of the prophets, We^7 sent Jesus, son of Mary, confirming the Torah [the Old Testament] revealed before him. And We gave him the Gospel containing guidance and light and confirming what was revealed in the Torah - a guide and a lesson to the God-fearing."

So, this sounds positive, this seems as if we may really have some common ground. However, a little bit later in 5:65 it says:

"Had the People of the Book [Christians and Jews] only been faithful and mindful 'of Allah', We would have certainly absolved them of their sins and admitted them into the Gardens of Bliss."

So, there is a sting in the tail; if Christians and Jews had accepted what was revealed by Allah in their Scriptures (the Old Testament and the Gospel) they would be just fine. So, the problem is not Jesus and the Old and New Testaments, but Jews and Christians themselves, who are, so the Quran claims, not following the teachings of the Gospel.

But the Quran appears self-contradictory on this point because Allah also instructs Muhammad, to consult Jews and Christians if he is having doubts about the authenticity of the revelations that he was allegedly receiving himself. Quran 10:94 states:

If you 'O Prophet' are in doubt about 'these stories' that We have revealed to you, then ask those who read the Scripture before you. The truth has certainly come to you from your Lord, so do not be one of those who doubt.

But the muddle worsens, Ouran 5:17 states:

Indeed, those who say, "Allah is the Messiah, son of Mary," have fallen into disbelief.

Another translations states, "Indeed committing blasphemy are those who say that God is Christ the son of Mary." Consequently every orthodox Christian is an unbeliever and blasphemer according to the Quran, and this is reaffirmed in verse 72 of the same chapter:

Those who say, "Allah is the Messiah, son of Mary," have certainly fallen into disbelief. (...) Their home will be the Fire [Hell]

So, we now have the somewhat bizarre situation where Muhammad is being told by Allah that if he has doubts about the revelations he is allegedly receiving, he should consult the Christians, but that those same Christians are unbelievers and blasphemers!

The Quran also denies the Trinity, In 5:73 it states:

"those who say, 'Allah is the third of three [the Trinity]' have most certainly committed a blasphemy. There is no god except the One true God,

⁷ - In the Quran, Allah always refers to himself in the first person plural, "we", it's the Royal "we".

Allah! A painful punishment will surely torment those disbelievers who do not quit making such (blasphemous) statements."

It is important to note that Muhammad had a very bizarre understanding of what the Church teaches about the Trinity: the Quran claims that Christians believe that the Holy Trinity consist of God, Jesus and the Virgin Mary. This weird idea raises another question: if the Quran is being dictated by the Archangel Gabriel, which is the claim of Muslims, how come an Archangel didn't know what Christians actually believe? In 5:116, Jesus supposedly appears before Allah, and Allah asks him:

And 'on Judgment Day' Allah will say, "O Jesus, son of Mary! Did you ever ask the people to worship you and your mother as gods besides Allah?" He will answer, "Glory be to You! How could I ever say what I had no right to say? If I had said such a thing, you would have certainly known it. You know what is 'hidden' within me, but I do not know what is within You. Indeed, You 'alone' are the Knower of all unseen.

There's more, the Quran also denies the Crucifixion. In 4:157/158 we read that they [the Christians] are condemned for boasting:

"We killed the Messiah, Jesus, son of Mary, the messenger of Allah." But they neither killed nor crucified him - it was only made to appear so. Even those who argue for this 'crucifixion' are in doubt. They have no knowledge whatsoever - only making assumptions. They certainly did not kill him. Rather, Allah raised him up to Himself. And Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

In Islamic tradition in the Hadith⁸ this idea is amplified to mean that somebody was made to look like Jesus, and he died on the Cross, but it wasn't Jesus. Omit the Cross and you have eradicated the Christian religion. When Christ was crucified, God's plan for the redemption of mankind was fulfilled. Atonement by the blood of Jesus is not a doctrine of Christian faith, it is the Christian faith. Moreover, there are several hundred prophesies of the Crucifixion of Jesus Christ in the Old Testament, even down to details. Isaiah, for example, portrays the sufferings of the crucified Christ and prophesizes His burial in a rich man's tomb. That was written 700 years before the birth of Christ. All four Gospel writers record the Crucifixion.

Now we clearly have some confusion. On the one hand, Muhammad is instructed by the Quran to go to the Christians to verify the truth of what he's hearing. On the other hand, the Quran tells us that the Christians are all blasphemers for embracing false doctrines such as belief in the Holy Trinity, the Divinity of Christ, and the Crucifixion. One simple explanation for this confusion is that Muhammad was almost certainly illiterate, because we know he employed scribes to write down piecemeal his supposed

⁸ The "Hadith" is the collective term for Islamic tradition, which is found in a number of books written by early Muslims supposedly recording the saying and doings of Muhammad, over and above what maybe found in the Quran.

revelations. So, his knowledge of the Christian Scriptures must have been picked up second hand, as it seems unlikely that he read them himself.

Obviously, some of the brighter Muslims are aware of this dichotomy in the Quran, and consequently, attempts are made by Islamic scholars to square the circle. Hence, we find the assertion in Islamic tradition that the Christians and Jews corrupted their Scriptures, that we dared to change the Word of God.

Mohammed lived, according to Islamic tradition, between 570 and 632 AD. Muslim tradition asserts that the original, *uncorrupted* Gospel, given by Allah to Jesus, still existed in Mohamed's time, and this sort of explains why the Quran instructs Mohammed to consult the Christians in order to establish the veracity of his claims. However, at some point in the seventh century, the Christians and Jews destroyed all the original copies of the Gospel and substituted fake corrupted books in its place, and this explains why the Quran condemns all the central beliefs of the Christians. Ask a Muslim where is the true *uncorrupted* Gospel, and he'll respond it's gone, it doesn't exist, the Christians destroyed every last copy, and substituted a fake New Testament. It's an utterly barmy theory for which there is not a scrap of historical evidence, but it is the only way Muslims can reconcile the conflicting diktats they find in the Quran.

If the Christians are rightly guided if they abide by the Gospel, and yet the current Gospel teaches that Jesus is the son of God and the saviour who was crucified and rose from the dead and conquered death by his resurrection, and yet he wasn't really crucified at all and He's not the Son of God and it's an insult to God to say that He is; well, how do you make all that hang together? Simple, the Christians have to be lying. And, what's more, they must know it, for there is no possibility conceded either in the Quran or Islamic tradition that one could reject Islam in good faith. Those who reject Islam are always motivated by hatred, envy, greed, or have some other nefarious motive.

So, according to the Quran, if you are an orthodox Christian, you are under the curse of Allah. Verse 9:30 of the Quran says the Jews say Ezra is the Son of God, which no Jews has ever said, and the Christians say Jesus is the Son of God, which all orthodox Christians do say. So, if this belief is blasphemous, as the Quran asserts, then Allah's curse is upon us.

The Muslim Attitude to Non-Believers

The next step is to understand that there is a fundamental difference between the Christian attitude to non-believers and the Muslim attitude to non-believers. The Christian is taught to love his enemies and to do good to those who persecute him. The Scriptures state: "Vengeance is mine says the Lord, I will repay." However, in Islam, vengeance doesn't just belong to the believer, it his solemn religious duty.

The Quran 9:74 says - talking about unbelievers:

"They swear by Allah that they never said anything 'blasphemous', while they did in fact utter a blasphemy, lost faith after accepting Islam, and plotted what they could not carry out. It is only through resentment that they pay Allah and His Messenger back for enriching them out of His bounty! If they repent, it will be better for them. But if they turn away, Allah will torment them with a painful punishment in this world and the Hereafter, and they will have no one on earth to protect or help them."

You see the unbelievers, they're always scheming against the Muslims, and they're always evil. If you are a renegade, an unbeliever, a believer in the twisted and hijacked version of Christianity that the Church teaches as opposed to the true teaching of Jesus, which was Islam, then you will be punished in this world as well as in the next. In other words, if you are an orthodox Christian who believes in the divinity of Christ, the crucifixion, the resurrection, and Christ's divine sonship, then you are to be punished in this world, as well as in the next.

How is Allah going to punish you in this world? He is going to punish you at the hands of the believers. It's their religious duty to make you suffer. Quran verse 9:14 states

"'So' fight them and Allah will punish them at your hands, put them to shame, help you overcome them, and soothe the hearts of the believers -"

So, if you are an angry, depressed Muslim, then Allah will soothe your heart if you fight against unbelievers and he will punish them by your hand. Quran 9:29 commands Muslims:

"Fight those who do not believe in Allah and the Last Day, nor comply with what Allah and His Messenger have forbidden, nor embrace the religion of truth from among those who were given the Scripture [i.e. Christians and Jews], until they pay the tax, willingly submitting, fully humbled."

Islamic law (Sharia) mandates the second class (Dhimmi) status of Christians and Jews. And the tax referred to here is known as the Jizya. The Jizya is a tax that non-Muslims under Islamic rule have to pay, but Muslims don't have to pay. Under Islamic law Christians cannot build new churches or repair old ones, so their communities are perpetually in a state of decline. Christians cannot hold authority over Muslims, so you can't be the boss even in your own little shop. Christians are relegated to the most menial jobs in society where they're nobody's boss. Further, Muslim men can marry non-Muslim women, but Muslim women are forbidden to marry non-Muslim men. In a society in which a wife becomes part of her husband's chattel, that too ensures that the Christian community will always be in decline.

And all this is because the Christians have dared to tamper with the words of their scriptures and twisted and hijacked the message of Jesus, a Muslim prophet, to create Christianity, a blasphemous, false religion. And because the Muslims are the executors of God's wrath in this world, they have the duty, not just they're exhorted to or encouraged to, but they have the duty before Allah to be the executors of his wrath and to allow Allah to punish the Christians through them. This is the foundation of Jihad, and it is essentially a theological war.

In the beginning, immediately after Muhammad died, there was an extraordinary explosion of aggressive military conquests under successive Caliphs, these rapid con-

quests subjugated over half of the then Christian world stretching all across north Africa, through the Middle East and into Persia, and even into India. Now, according to the standard Islamic tradition, this was all because of the imperative to fight and subdue the unbeliever, an imperative recorded both in the Quran and the Hadith.

The Hadith record Muhammad saying, "I have been commanded to fight against people until they confess that there is no God but Allah and I am his messenger. And if they do, their lives and property are safe from me." Now, think about that for a moment: he's commanded to fight against people (there's no qualification, no distinction, and no exception) fight against all people until they became Muslim. As the Quran reaffirms in 8:39, "Fight against them until there is no more persecution - and 'your' devotion will be entirely to Allah. But if they desist, then surely Allah is All-Seeing of what they do." In other words, if they don't become Muslim or submit to Muslims, then neither their lives nor property can ever be safe.

He also says in another Hadith that when you meet the unbeliever, first, you invite them to accept Islam. Osama bin Laden and other Muslim leaders have done this, they have addressed the American people and invited them to accept Islam. Second, if they refuse to accept Islam, you invite them to pay the Jizya, which means you invite them to pay this tax and accept the submission that is mandated in the Quran and Islamic law for Christians and Jews. If they refuse both, the Muslim is obligated to seek Allah's help and fight them.

Throughout Islamic history, in all the territories conquered by Islam, the Christians and the Jews were immediately subjugated under these laws. Their treatment has been consistent, as per the direction of the Quran "to makes them feel themselves subdued." This was the execution of the wrath of Allah upon them so that every day, in the deprivations and the suffering of their daily lives, they would feel that they had rejected the religion of truth, that they had rejected the Quran, rejected Islam. All you had to do to enjoy equal rights in Egypt or Syria or Iraq was convert to Islam.

There were exceptions; conversion was actually forbidden by several of the Caliphs because it would destroy their tax base. The tax income from Christians and the Jews, in some instances, was so substantial that it was the foundation of the economy. The Caliph Umar the 2nd is recorded in one Hadith, "Be sure always to collect the Jizya because it's the source of the livelihood for yourself and your descendants." The ideal situation is one in which the Muslims don't work and the non-Muslims pay the Jizya, and that supports the Islamic State. Many Muslims living in the West abuse our welfare system because they see it as a form of Jizya, a tax paid by non-Muslims to support Muslims, as commanded in the Quran, and therefore they have a God-given duty to abuse our welfare system.

But what do you do when you've been collecting the Jizya from people who you've denied all rights, and who can only hold low-paid menial jobs, what do you do when you have bled them dry? You have to conquer somewhere else, and that historically has been one of the motives driving Jihad. This is actually the record of Islamic history. If you look at the history of the Caliphates, every time there is a 'golden age' (a

golden age of Islam is where there was a powerful empire. a great military force and a significant cultural force) they all had one thing in common, they had wealthy subject communities of Jews and Christians. But as those wealthy subject communities became impoverished, as is inevitable over time with the discrimination that is mandated in their Dhimmi status, then the empires go into decline.

The last Caliphate, the Ottoman Empire, was known as the sick man of Europe. And the Ottoman Empire was the sick man of Europe because its Jews and Christians were too poor to sustain the wealth that it had previously enjoyed and the power that it had previously exercised. But then, the idea would be to wage Jihad again. And during World War I, one of the last Caliphs declared the war itself to be a Jihad and called upon all the Muslims to fight against the French and the British. But at that point, his authority had become so weakened that the empire fell not long after, but that set the stage for what we see happening today in the Middle East.

The Caliphate and the Caliph

In Sunni Muslim theology, the Caliph is the successor of Muhammad, and therefore the military, political and spiritual leader of all Muslims. There were Caliphs from the death of Muhammad, up through various dynasties until finally the Ottomans. The Ottoman Caliphate lasted from 1517 to 3 March 1924, when it was abolished by secular Turkish leaders, and the last Caliph (Abdulmejid II) and his court was sent into exile. And so, the Caliphate as the sole legitimate government for Muslims, the symbol and source of their unity, that transcends all nationality, all ethnicity, and all other allegiances was gone. This was a major trauma throughout the Islamic world.

It's as if somebody had gone to Rome and deposed the Pope and said, there'll be no more papacy. You can imagine how traumatic that would be for Catholics. This is somewhat like what happened to Islam in 1924 with the abolition of the Caliphate. A mere four years later, in 1928, the Muslim Brotherhood was formed in Egypt with the sole purpose of restoring the Caliphate.

Hamas, Al-Qaeda and other Jihad groups have all grown out of the Muslim Brotherhood. The Muslim Brotherhood came close to restoring the Caliphate when they gained power in Egypt in 2012. But their regime only lasted about a year because they so alienated the people that there were literally millions on the streets protesting, and they were toppled. And then this renegade group of Al-Qaeda in Iraq formed the Islamic State (ISIS) and declared itself to be the Caliphate. ISIS buttressed its claim to be the Caliphate by grounding everything it did on Islamic scripture. This is why ISIS was so brutal to Christians, and Christians, who'd lived there for centuries, were suddenly driven out. Why were they suddenly being massacred in placed where they had been allowed to live in peace for centuries?

When the Ottoman Empire was in decline and the sick man of Europe, the Western powers were concerned about its persecution of Christians, they were particularly concerned about the Greek and Armenian Christians living under Ottoman rule at the time. These Christians were living in squalor and poverty, suffering under all the Islamic laws that mandated their second class and submissive status.

In the middle of the 19th century, the Turks were in conflict with Russia and wanted the French and the British to help them against Russia. The French and the British agreed to help them in what became the Crimean War. But our help came at a price, and that price was the emancipation of the Christians and the abolition of their Dhimmi status. So the Dhimmi status of Christians under Muslim rule was abolished in 1856 in the Ottoman Empire as a result of Western pressure. Subsequently, the Ottoman empire fell and you had a period of colonization in which the French and the British occupied a great deal of former Ottoman territory, including Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, and Egypt.

We then had a succession of secular rulers who paid lip-service to Islam but did not rule according to Islamic law: Nasser in Egypt, followed by Sadat, followed by Mubarak, Saddam Hussein in Iraq, the Assads in Syria. Many of these secular rulers were brutal, hard men. This was somewhat necessary because to rule as a secular ruler in a Muslim country meant that every religious zealot believed it was his God-given duty to assassinate you as a traitor to Islam. These secular rulers were Arab Nationalists. The Arab Nationalist movement was a secular movement that was actually founded by Arabic speaking Christians who wanted to get out from under the degradations in Islamic law. And so, they founded a movement that appealed to the Muslims on the basis of a shared Arabic ethnicity.

This movement in the Middle East was for decades seen as a viable alternative to Islamic law. It was rendered essentially moribund by the toppling of Saddam Hussein. Assad is its last exponent. Under the rule of Arab Nationalists, Christians were not oppressed. For example, Tariq Aziz, a Chaldean Catholic, served as foreign minister and deputy prime minister in the government of Saddam Hussein.

With Saddam Hussein toppled and the Americans leaving, the Sunnis banded together into a fighting force which became the Islamic State, ISIS. They were united by their hatred of the regime in Baghdad that we and the Americans left behind, which was predominately Shiite - the Sunnis hate the Shiites. Further, they hated Assad in neighbouring Syria, because of his secular ideology, and the fact that he was supported by the Iranians who are also Shiites.

In those areas conquered by the Islamic State, Islamic law was enforced, which meant, of course, that Christians were required to pay the Jizya, and accept Dhimmi status. ISIS marked the homes of Christians with the Arabic letter "N" for Nazarene, one of the Quran terms for Christians. This was done so their new Islamic masters knew from whom to collect the Jizya.

All this was very traumatic for Iraqi and Syrian Christians, for they had lived there in peace for centuries. Some may have heard about the Dhimmi status and the Jizya tax from their great-grandparents, but no Christian alive today had paid it. Christian Arabs would have heard stories about the times when Christians had to get off the pavement when Muslims were coming, but it was just a distant memory. And now, the Islamic State was reasserting it on the basis of the Quran, on the basis of Islamic theology. Some refused, and so they were killed because they were deemed infidels who were at

war with Islam because they were rejecting the rightful rule of the Muslims, and were rejecting what the Quran dictates for the Christians. So, if they were not able to flee, they were killed. Those that did remain, very few, all paid the Jizya, i.e. protection money. The Islamic State even published videos of themselves collecting the Jizya as a sign to the world of the Christians' proper abasement and humiliation under Islamic rule.

This is the problem that we face; the Quran demands that Muslims fight until all religion is for Allah. The Quran also demands that Muslims subjugate the Jews and Christians under Muslim rule, and ensure that they feel every day the wrath of Allah. All of this makes sense if one understands Islamic theology. If one does not understand Islamic theology, as is the case with most modern western governments, academia and the press, then you are destined to make endless wrong prescriptions emanating from your ignorance of Islam.

When western governments say that the Islamic State had nothing to do with Islam, they are turning their backs on the only thing that makes coherent what ISIS was doing. For example, recall the depraved videos of beheadings that the Islamic State put out. The whole world was shocked and revolved by these videos. And you had to think, these people are very bad at public relations because they're making everybody hate them, so why were they doing it?

The Quran says 47:4, "When you meet the unbelievers, strike their necks." So, if the Quran, the perfect word of God, says when you meet the unbelievers, strike their necks, how could it be bad to do it? How could it be unwise to behead unbelievers if this is the will of God?

Islam and Women

The Quran in a number of places informs Muslim men that they are entitled to have sexual intercourse with women "Who are those your right hands possess". "Those who your right hand possess" is Quran-speak for sex slaves, i.e. non-Muslim women who you have captured. Mohammed would share out the women he captured in battle among his troops, having had the first picking himself. Even married women are not off bounds if they are captive women: Quran 4:24 "Also 'forbidden are' married women - except 'female' captives in your possession ..." Quran 33:50 makes it even clearer: "O Prophet, We have made lawful for you your wives whose bridal dues you have paid, and the slave-girls you possess from among the prisoners of war ..." And this again is precisely what the Islamic State did.

The Islamic State didn't make this up. The Nigerian Islamic group Boko Haram, well before ISIS, also captured a large number of non-Muslim schoolgirls and was doing exactly the same thing, because they're both working from the same Islamic principles that are set out clearly in the Quran.

⁹ This is Maududi's translation, elsewhere, I have used Dr. Mustafa Khattab's The Clear Quran,

So, what is morally repugnant to us was a marketing tool for ISIS. Young Muslim men who read the Quran and know what's in it, see the Islamic State beheading infidels and sharing out sex slaves among their men, and they think, "At last! Authentic Islam, we want some of this! We now see somebody actually doing what the Quran says instead of bowing to the opinions of Western infidels!" And so, they made recruits, something like 30,000 Muslims from around the world travelled to Iraq and Syria to join the Islamic State because they saw them as the faithful embodiment of what is taught in the Quran.

Islam and Deceit

Unless Western leaders learn to understand these things, they're going to continue making mistakes when dealing with Islam. For example, Quran 3:28 says, "Let not believers take unbelievers as your friends and protectors in preference to believers. Whoever does that has nothing to do with Allah, unless you're doing it to guard yourselves against them ["them" = "us", the infidels]." This means that it is lawful to pretend to be the friend of non-Muslims to further the cause of Islam. In this case, the Muslim may legitimately conceal his faith and behave in such a manner as to create the impression that he is on the same side as his enemies.

Right after 9:11, George W. Bush met with Pervez Musharraf, the President of Pakistan, and agreed to give Pakistan 1.3 billion every year to fight Al-Qaeda and the Taliban. In 2008, it came to light that much of this money was being funnelled by the Pakistani government to Al-Qaeda and the Taliban. So American money was being used by the Islamic government of Pakistan to kill American soldiers in Afghanistan.

Do you think they may have been trying to create the impression they were on the same side as their enemies? This is just one example of the sort of disastrous mistakes Western rulers make when fighting Islam while wearing their liberal rose-tinted glasses, instead of making the effort to understand Islam, instead of making the effort to understand their enemy.

Conclusion

We are currently in a very real battle with Islam. Islamic groups routinely say they will win because they love death more than we love life. But that's the seal of their destruction. Victory is going to come at a great price and we have a great cross to bear. I'm sure victory is coming, because we know Christ, the author of life, will ultimately conquer. And so, we know that insofar as we are on His side, then He will always trample upon death, and the final victory is ours. I hope that we will have the wisdom to understand this is a spiritual battle and we have to confront it as such, realizing and confident that the final victory is ours, but understanding that victory can only come through the Cross. So, keep praying your daily rosary.

"THE GAY SCIENCE"

By Jason Morgan (Article copied from the Remnant)

THE GAY SCIENCE is the book by the German philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche containing Nietzsche's infamous declaration that "God is dead." This is bad enough, but it is actually much worse than it seems. For Nietzsche, the death of God was merely an afterthought. What Nietzsche portends in *The Gay Science*, and in much of his work overall, is the obliteration of all distinction between right and wrong. God's death follows from this—for Nietzsche—much bigger and more important transgression. Nietzsche didn't believe in God, so His "death" was anticlimactic. It was the ruination of morals that Nietzsche was really after.

In case anyone missed this point, one which Nietzsche repeats in many of his other books as well, just before publishing the second edition of *The Gay Science* Nietzsche released a hateful tome titled *Beyond Good and Evil: Prelude to a Philosophy of the Future*. Here, as with *The Gay Science*, we again get the gist in the title. Nietzsche wants a world where there is no more right and wrong, where he with the strongest will may inflict all manner of horror on those without the hardened heart of the Overman.

Who could miss the import now? It is no exaggeration to say that the "philosophy of the future" which Nietzsche darkly limned was National Socialism. This is what Nietzsche means by his glorification of Dionysius and the madness of the pagans. Nietzsche hates soundness of mind, for a sound mind is in danger of following the call of conscience. Nietzsche wants his conscience to die most of all. God will, Nietzsche hopes, die with it. What SS officer would not squeal with glee turning the pages of Nietzsche's works? It is impossible to read Nietzsche's sadistic celebrations of killing the weak and acting with unrepentant cruelty as anything other than preliminary hymns to Hitler.

And yet, despite these and a thousand other blaring tocsins in his books, Nietzsche's broader meaning in *The Gay Science* seems to have escaped some of his translators. For, although some have tried rendering Nietzsche's German into other phrasings, no title in English but "The Gay Science" will do. This is because Nietzsche is explicitly modeling his book on the troubadours, the Provencal singers who invented swooning love and promoted passion to a novel virtue. The gay science was what the troubadours called their madness. Flouting morality and decency was very much their game, and Nietzsche was only too happy—only too giddy and gay—to pick up where they had left off. The gay science had Nietzsche in its thrall because Nietzsche saw in it a sanction and a forerunner of the bedlam he craved in his diseased mind and blackened soul.

In the year 2022, with the horrors of the Second World War some eight decades in the past, one might think that Nietzsche's premonitions about a gay science, a deicidal end to morality, had been realized long ago. All that Nietzsche intuited has come to pass, one might say. The gay science has run its course. Dionysius has gone back into the shadows, and order rules once more.

I disagree. For in the third decade of the twenty-first century, we are just beginning to understand the gay science. It was not only the blackhearted hate of Hitlerism that Nietzsche gleefully foretold. It was what is happening in our societies today, every day. The war of all against all, and in particular of adults against children and all society against the weak of mind and will, is just getting started. Diabolical disorientation was once conspicuous by its difference. One could look at the Nazis and shudder, knowing that they embodied an evil set apart from the normal world. But today, one can find hardly anywhere or anyone untouched by what Nietzsche foretold. The gay science has conquered almost all. The gay science, the upside-down music of depravity and defilement, is the tenor of our anti-culture now.

The gay science culminates not in the brownshirts, in other words, but in the rainbow flag. Nietzsche may have foreseen the rise of Hitler. But he longed most of all for the wreck of all Western culture, a feat which not Hitler, but the gay science, has finally accomplished.

Let me be very clear on this point. I do not mean, at all, that people who experience same-sex attraction are Nazis. It is the opposite. I mean that the celebration of sodomy preys on homosexual people and turns false compassion into the mechanism of societal dissolution. The gay science is the elevation of the passions by the troubadours, but translated into the modern language of rights and equality, inclusion and diversity. The gay science is the idiom by which societies convince themselves to commit suicide. The fuel for this all-consuming machine is the sin which society encourages the vulnerable to commit, and then celebrates after they have committed it. The gay science is pure hate, pure contempt for the human person. Recall the Democrat donor Ed Buck, who styled himself as a "Pride" supporter while killing gay Black men. It is the samesex attracted who suffer most of all. This is precisely the point, for the gay science is transgression of the *imago Dei* as the baseline of human existence. More and more transgression, wider and wider the arc of defiance and hate, until a given society unravels, until all that was ordered comes spinning loose and flying off into a thousand flaming pieces.

All to the soundtrack of Queen and the glitter and bunting of rainbow-coloured pride. All to the sounds of the moans of the AIDS patient, to the wails of the mothers and fathers whose sons lie in early graves.

But one hears none of these notes of discord from the ranks of the gay scientists. One hears high-flown words, silky lies. This is largely because, like nearly all hate and misery in the past hundred years or more, the gay science comes out of the universities. Although the gay science has infected the fake-news media and the Deep State and Deep Church, the universities remain the main drivers of the gay science in the West.

I was involved in an academic tussle recently, the subject of which is not germane to this essay. What struck me was that my opponents—an East Coast beta male and his harem of man-hating feminist Karens—all subscribe to the gay science. The beta male uses his Twitter page to direct women seeking abortions to funding for infanticide. His Karen harem embraces transgenderism as holy writ. This sad brigade speaks to one

another in gay-science code. Voicing support for the dismemberment of children in the womb, the mutilation of the adolescent human body in the service of a hateful ideology, and the wanton hedonism of "pride" is how American academics virtue-signal to one another that they are committed members of the now academia-wide safe space where the gay science hisses uncontested. They reassure one another daily, by means of obsessive tweeting, that they take no moral stance on any issue. In this, they are forbidden by the gay science. No morals, only false love. They chatter like devils in hell, and would surely turn on one another the moment any of them showed any weakness which the others might exploit. But for now, thick as thieves in the darkness of sin-shade, the gay science is their common and only language.

If you wonder why the universities produce nothing but hate and fear, loathing and violence, consider this little episode. The gay science is the inversion of rational thought. And there are, to my knowledge, none in mainstream academia who dare speak against the gay science. The mind is sacrificed to the will to power, just as Nietzsche instructed. One cannot have a reasoned debate with someone who believes, quite literally, that children must undergo genital mutilation in the service of gender ideology. No sane human being holds such views—and yet, it seems hardly anyone in a university is willing publicly to challenge them.

Screeching from Bedlam. Mad shouting from St. Elizabeth's. This mechanism of disorientation is visible in so many of the outbursts of hate which have come to typify the daily news. On July 4th, a standard-issue young American lunatic, tutored like his peers in the society-wide gay science, climbed a ladder to the top of a building in Highland Park (a heavily Jewish suburb of Chicago) and strafed a patriotic parade with rifle fire. He then fled from the scene in drag. It turns out that he had been dressing in drag for a year before his killing spree. The gay science explains all deviancy.

The gay science will encapsulate and justify this transgression, too. A psychiatrist trained in the gay science will surely visit the cross-dressing lunatic in prison and talk him through his madness, helping him to adjust to the Dionysian Hell which Nietzsche unleashed on the world. Surely when the cross-dressing lunatic undergoes the inevitable taxpayer-funded sex-change operation in the penitentiary, another psychiatrist will guide him through the hormone treatments and ease him into his new she-body. (And yet a third psychiatrist will help the "doctor" who castrates and vivisects for a living to cope with his or her or zyr misgivings about such a line of work.) It will all be a triumph of the gay science. It will be transgression at the limits of technological achievement.

If Nietzsche were alive today, he would be a post-operative transsexual, too. And even that would not satisfy his yearning for yet worse and more shocking deeds. Nietzsche in dress and wig would be at the next Drag Queen Story Hour, looking for a kindergartner to molest. Nietzsche with the easy smile would be in Central Park at night, waiting for the strolling couple to rape and kill. And on and on. How gay.

The only seeming way out of the gay science is to go along with the flow, to keep your head down and just nod with the madding crowd. Being as gay as everyone else is the

key to peace in the post-Nietzschean West, one might think. But this is no strategy, and no help at all. For God is dead, and, as Fyodor Dostoevsky very cannily understood, without God and without any notion of a natural or moral law, the world is just as Nietzsche described and hoped for.

Here is how Dostoevsky relates the dreadful idea in *The Brothers Karamazov*, in a quote by the character Pyotr Miüsov recalling a declaration by Ivan Karamazov.

"I will tell you instead, gentlemen, another interesting and rather characteristic anecdote of Ivan Fyodorovitch himself. Only five days ago, in a gathering here, principally of ladies, he solemnly declared in argument that there was nothing in the whole world to make men love their neighbours. That there was no law of nature that man should love mankind, and that, if there had been any love on earth hitherto, it was not owing to a natural law, but simply because men have believed in immortality. Ivan Fyodorovitch added in parenthesis that the whole natural law lies in that faith, and that if you were to destroy in mankind the belief in immortality, not only love but every living force maintaining the life of the world would at once be dried up. Moreover, nothing then would be immoral, everything would be lawful, even cannibalism. That's not all. He ended by asserting that for every individual, like ourselves, who does not believe in God or immortality, the moral law of nature must immediately be changed into the exact contrary of the former religious law, and that egoism, even to crime, must become not only lawful but even recognized as the inevitable, the most rational, even honourable outcome of his position. From this paradox, gentlemen, you can judge of the rest of our eccentric and paradoxical friend Ivan Fyodorovitch's theories."

This is a clear-eyed exposition of the gay science. Once there is no moral law, and once God has been killed as a consequence or else reduced to an anti-scientific fairy tale useful for keeping reluctant apostates in line, then the gay science takes hold, and the falcon turns in an ever-widening gyre. Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world.

Strike up the cymbals, Dionysius. Pour out the wine. Lead along the women and the satyrs with their panpipes. Throw all that is good and decent onto the flames.

In a gay science world, there is no one left who will keep order, for all are corrupted. At a "Pride" parade in England recently, police officers, firefighters, and nurses and doctors working for the National Health Service twirled and sashayed through the streets, accompanied by transsexuals and transvestites tricked out as demons, princesses, and all other manner of carnivalesque. Police officers patrolling the event wore rainbow-colored epaulets and rainbow-themed makeup, even though on duty. They were supported, of course, by the mayor of London, who encouraged those responsible for enforcing the law to throw the law in the face of God and man alike. To call on such as these for "law enforcement" is simply to invite more criminals to take part in a crime.

The rot reaches everywhere. In Madison, Wisconsin, a "pro-choice" devil firebombed a Christian pro-life outreach office in early May, just after the illegal leak of a draft of the *Dobbs vs. Jackson* majority opinion which would go on to overturn *Roe vs. Wade* (a 1973 decision written in the devil's own handwriting and stamped with the gay science

seal of the Sexual Revolution). It was one of a string of fire-bombings of pro-life clinics, Catholic churches, and other Christian houses of worship.

(The Highland Park shooter staked out the local Chabad synagogue on Passover prior to gunning down the July 4th paradegoers—evildoers never have any trouble knowing where to find the good they will defile.

On July 3rd, just one day before the lunatic rampage in Illinois, another crazed *Roe* supporter vandalized a Catholic church in Madison. Surely this will not be the last hate crime in Madison. But let us be frank and admit that the city leaders are not going to prioritize these investigations. The Democrat establishment are exactly the anonymous "Jane" group claiming credit for the terror. I speak from experience when I say that you would be very hard pressed to find, among the Madison professors, any who will publicly condemn these acts of terrorism. Why? Because the professors support it. They preach the gay science for a living.

As in England, so in Wisconsin. Will the Madison police find the culprits? Not likely. The police statement about the anti-Catholic, pro-infanticidal terror attack put the words "pro-life" in scare quotes, as though the phrasing was offensive to the police spokespeople. And when I checked the Madison Police Department's Twitter feed for additional information about the incident, I found that the Madison police, like their British counterparts, had also decked their department out in rainbow-colored anti-Catholic hate decorations. "Violence and hate will never be tolerated in Madison," the Madison Police Department said in a statement released after the *Dobbs* ruling. This is a curious thing to say when one's organization is publicly devoted to the gay science, the subversion of all morality and the death of the Living God.

No word from the Madison police on whether they have any leads in the May fire-bombing of the pro-life group. Or the vandalization of a Catholic church. Perhaps if they asked around at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Gender and Women's Studies Department they might find some clues. But I'm not holding my breath.

This is the gay science. Transgression is the new and only law. These past two years, police officers with Christian and Jewish beliefs have fought against immoral and illegal vaccine mandates. Many left the force. (That was exactly what the vaccine mandates were designed to accomplish.) So, how many men and women of conscience do you think remain in gay science towns after the woke revolution and the rainbowization of what used to be law enforcement agencies? Only gay scientists are in uniform in Democrat-run, gay-science cities now.

In everything we see the acceleration of hate and evil. Former television star Bill Cosby was arrested, tried, and convicted for sex crimes. But it turns out that he was just a pioneer. For sex crimes are part of the curriculum in elementary schools now. Your local public school "teacher" is initiating your children into the gay science as we speak. The gay science is the war of all against all. Mickey Mouse is the back-alley flasher. Perhaps the children straggling out of the public schools will go on to serve on the USNS *Harvey Milk* and bombard the Russians into getting with the gay science program.

When terrorists (who, yes, also come from a culture mired in the gay science) hijacked airplanes and flew them into fields and buildings twenty-one years ago, a typical American professor called the murdered innocent thousands "little Eichmanns". This was a serious misreading of the gay science, however. For the transgression which consumes our land and our world does not operate like Eichmann did. The gay science is not premised on bland acquiescence in a systematized death program. In fact, the gay science makes us, not little Eichmanns, but little bin Ladens. In city after city after city, the gay science turns Americans into terrorists who take their teachers' and professors' advice, live their truth, express themselves, subvert the dominant paradigm, and follow their bliss. Shooting after shooting after shooting. Just as every abortion is a liberation, so every bullet-strewn slaughter is a celebration of individuality, a boost to the self-esteem. How gay is the cross-dresser who baptizes the world in violence! How original is the gay scientist who chooses the time and place of the Dionysian worship! There are no organization men and women working under the sway of the gay science. Like Nietzsche, every gay scientist is a lone wolf entrepreneur.

Consider that master of the gay science Anthony Fauci. Fauci is a psychopath improvising a role as a bureaucrat physician. He has many Nazi qualities. But he is no Eichmann. He is bin Laden. He is an artist of despoil. Fauci has preyed on the weak and the poor - in India, in Africa, in America, and in many other places besides - with real flair. He has as much panache sartorially as bin Laden did, and is as soulful in the eyes. He is a lord of transgression. A Picasso of death in African villages, in Indian towns. He does not serve any system; a system serves him. There are no Eichmanns in Fauci's office. Let us give a creative genius his due.

And then there is the gay science in the Vatican, the transgression against the Most High from the heart of the city that used to be the physical and spiritual capital of His pilgrim Church on earth. Rome has lost the Faith, but has learned in its place the new good news of the gay science. American ambassadors to the Vatican hung a rainbow flag out their window in June. The Vatican made no official protest. (Perhaps Vatican officials were busy at a gay orgy). A Kentucky Catholic Church rolled out the rainbow carpet for an LGBTQ "mass" in honour of at least two mortal sins (sodomy and pride). The Vatican made no official protest. The Kentucky bishop said nothing either.

But why would the Catholic hierarchy dare complain? Pope Francis overruled Archbishop Cordileone when the latter denied Holy Communion to High Priestess of the Gay Science Nancy Pelosi. Not that Francis needed to be subtle - his LGBTQ advisor is Fr. James Martin, a man with, in Martin's estimation at least, veto power over God. Sodomy is off the sin list - thus spake Fr. Martin. This is the gayest gay science of all.

Or maybe not quite. The globalist musician and meddler Bono congratulated the pope for, as Michael Matt reported, "encoding Great Reset principles into the Catholic Church's canon law." Perhaps this, and not Fr. Martin's auto-apotheosis, is the gayest of gay science. But it hardly matters, for the morrow will bring a more hateful transgression, and the day after that something more hateful still. The gay science is relentless, and remarkably consistent.

The gay science is also dead wrong. God is not dead and the moral law has not been repealed. The gay science convinces mankind of both delusions, however. This is just as Nietzsche would have it. But not only Nietzsche. For behind all gay abandon, encoded in Dionysius' song, is the raspy whisper of the gay scientist whom all rapturous transgressors serve.

Jason Morgan is an assistant professor at Reitaku University in Chiba, Japan, where he teaches language, history, and philosophy. He specializes in Japanese legal history. He's published four books in Japanese and two book-length Japanese-to-English translations. His work has also appeared at Japan Forward, New Oxford Review, Crisis, Modern Age, University Bookman, Chronicles, and Clarion Review.

KEEP TAKING THE CLOT SHOTS FOLKS - AND DON'T ASK QUESTIONS

The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System - co-run by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and Centres for Disease Control and Prevention - shows over 29,000 deaths linked to the Covid jabs, which is nearly triple that of all other vaccines combined since 1990! And it is widely agreed that only a small fraction of adverse events are recorded by the US Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System.

In the UK, I have a good friend who is a paediatric consultant who has informed me that he is aware of ten children who have died from cardiac events immediately following the Covid jab, but he has been unable to persuade his hospital to report them.

Last October, Dr. Michael Palmer, a certified medical doctor and microbiologist who was fired from the University of Waterloo for his opposition to the mRNA shots, told LifeSiteNews via email that more deaths were likely to occur if doses kept rolling out, "There is just no way that any competent medical scientist who makes an honest effort to himself could not see that these vaccines – in particular the mRNA vaccines, which are touted as 'the safe choice' – will cause grave harm, and with a sufficient number of cumulative doses certain death "

> Note: The Flock can be viewed, downloaded and printed out at http://www.proecc.com/the-flock

PLEASE REMEMBER THE FLOCK IN YOUR WILL

Help us to carry on the fight against the enemy within the gates and

for the faith of our children

Note: The Flock is produced four times a year and is distributed FREE. If you would like to be put on our mailing list, please contact us at flock@cathud.com, or 0774-614-9815, or at the address below: The Flock, 118 Shepherds Lane, DARTFORD, DA1 2NN

Note: readers are free to publish any article in the Flock, either whole or in part, without requiring or seeking our consent.

