


THE FIRE IN NOTRE DAME DE PARIS IGNITES THE CHRIST-HATING LEFT - By Graham Moorhouse (with acknowledgement to Douglas Murray)

[Some of the first part of the following article was inspired by Douglas Murray, British author, commentator and public affairs analyst]

The genesis of the modern left is Christ hatred. This was clearly demonstrated in the French Revolution, when the numerous ways of killing Catholics were mockingly given "religious names": drowning Catholic couples in the Loire, for example, was styled "Revolutionary *Baptism*". And by the time their Masonic stirred hatred had been satiated, something in the order of a third of a million Catholics had been murdered.



The left tries to conceal its Christ hatred: Obama and Cameron will claim to be Christians if it will garner a few votes, while at the same time supporting demonic abominations such as abortion. Theresa May trots off to church each Sunday while voting to hand vulnerable children over to braces of sodomites united in empty parodies of

marriage by a mutual addiction to the unnatural, unhealthy and wicked vice of same-sex anal copulating.

Biblical prophecies of the Anti-Christ may or may not refer to an individual living at a particular time in history. I personally tend to see it more as a label for a phenomenon which has been with us since the Garden of Eden. At certain times in history particular events will prod this satanic behemoth into the open, and the mask will drop from its face revealing for a brief period its smouldering demonic fury. The tragic fire in Notre Dame has proved one such event.

The Washington Post recently went so far as to suggest that those who are saddened by the fire in Notre Dame de Paris must be “far-right”! The left has very cleverly weaponised the term “far-right”, such that the label is now perceived by the mob as profoundly derogatory, from which they recoil in a Pavlovian reaction. However, the left cunningly never explain what they mean by far-right, and most of their foot soldiers couldn’t define it even if challenged. What their propagandists have skilfully done is associate the term in the mind of the mob with the German Nazi Party, and thus, by extension, associate right-wingers with the Holocaust.

This is demonically clever, given that the Nazis were manifestly a far-left movement. Even their horrendous treatment of the Jews had its genesis in Marxism. Communists hate capitalism, and the Jew was seen as the quintessential capitalist. Hitler hated Jews for the same reason that Marxists like Corbyn lead parties infested with anti-Semites.

Old style Marxists like Stalin and Lenin believed that capitalists were the exploiters of the working class. Classic Marxism assumed that the working class would inevitably become poorer and the capitalists richer, and this would inexorably lead to a violent uprising of the working class. This never happened, and this failure of the working classes to rise up caused something of a crisis in the left somewhere around the beginning of the twentieth century. Socialists like Hitler, Mussolini and Franklin Roosevelt saw the answer in big government, only big centralised government could bring about the Godless, globalist, socialist order they hankered after.

In reality, to be right-wing is perfectly reasonable and respectable. The term comes from the French Revolution. When the Christ-hating revolutionaries spewed out of their lodges and seized political power, they sat on the left of the French Assembly, so were called “left-wing”. Those deputies who loved the traditions of ancient France, revered their Catholic King and the Church, sat on the right, and were thus called “right-wing”. To be “far-right” is to love one’s homeland and its traditions a great deal, and there is absolutely nothing wrong with that. And it doesn’t make you a racists, because, as Chesterton pointed out, *“Just because you love your own wife, it doesn’t follow that you hate everyone else’s.”*

Immediately after fire had almost destroyed the cathedral of Notre Dame in Paris, the Washington Post ran with the headline, *“The Notre Dame fire ignites the West’s far-right.”*

The author, one Ishaan Tharoor, attacked Fox News host Tucker Carlson for having commented, regarding the Notre Dame fire, that it was, *"In some ways a metaphor for the decline of Christianity in Europe."* It is not at all clear why Carlson saying this should be included in a piece claiming that the "far-right" have been ignited by the fire at Notre Dame - unless you plan to claim that Carlson is "far-right".

Sure enough, this is exactly what Tharoor tries to do next, or (perhaps vaguely aware of libel law) seeks to imply without actually stating it. He writes: *"Fox News host Tucker Carlson, a popular anchor accused by critics of openly embracing white nationalism in his broadcasts, said the Notre Dame fire was 'In some ways a metaphor for the decline of Christianity in Europe.'"* Note the blatant dishonesty of the left here. There is not a scrap of evidence that Carlson is a white racist but Tharoor wants to plant that suggestion in the minds of the mob, so he drops in the casual aside, *"accused by critics of openly embracing white nationalism."* So you only need some mindless meathead to accuse you of white nationalism in a comment box and that will suffice to damn you as far as the Christ-hating left is concerned.

In a sane world, outside of the left-wing asylum, this sort of dishonest garbage ought to end one's journalistic career. After all, I detest Mr Tharoor's work, so why shouldn't I smear him by writing, for example, *"Mr Tharoor, the Washington Post journalist accused by one unidentified source of downloading child porn ..."* I would not do it because integrity and decency ought to matter in journalism as in any other sphere of life. Further, to bear false witness against one's neighbour, however distasteful you find him, is a grave sin from the Catholic perspective.

Tharoor was less subtle with his next smear. He introduced Mark Steyn and could have wrote, *"Mark Steyn, New York Times Best-selling author"* or *"Mark Steyn, syndicated journalist"*, instead Tharoor went straight for the "far-right" canard and introduced him as *"far-right commentator Mark Steyn"*. Ben Shapiro, a practising Jew, got the same treatment for the apparent right-wing crime of writing how terrible it was to watch the destruction of part of Europe's *"Judeo-Christian heritage"*.

After similarly smearing others, Tharoor then got round to Britain's Douglas Murray, a well-respected author, commentator and public affairs analyst. Douglas Murray is, I believe, an atheist, as I assume is Tharoor, nevertheless, it must be recorded that Mr Murray has more personal integrity in his little finger than Tharoor has in the whole of his body:

"Others groused over the decades of supposed neglect looming over the site. 'Civilization only ever hangs by a thread,' right-wing British commentator Douglas Murray wrote. 'Today one of those threads seems to have frayed, perhaps snapped.'"

Douglas Murray did indeed write that, but what a bizarre, vindictive way to write about the expression of such a sentiment. Why should someone lamenting the neglect of one of the world's great buildings be described as *"grousing"*, rather than *"regretting"*? But even more bizarre - and defamatory - is to call people "far-right", or in-

sinuate that they are linked to the far-right merely because they are saddened by the fire in Notre Dame.

It might be tempting to dismiss this article as just another dishonest and dishonourable smear-job attempted by one more Christ-hating jobbing hack. However, a week later the *Washington Post* was at it again. In the aftermath of the appalling Easter Sunday attacks on Christians and tourists in Sri Lanka, the *Washington Post* ran a story, "*Christianity under attack? Sri Lanka church bombings stoke far-right anger in the West.*" According to the authors of that piece - Adam Taylor and Rick Noack - these attacks "*which targeted a religious minority in a predominantly Buddhist country, also resonated abroad – especially in Europe.*" On this occasion, The Post noted that Marine Le Pen of the National Rally party in France had sent out a tweet saying that those killed in the Easter attack had been "*targeted for their faith*", which was of course true (indeed, thus far in 2019 Muslims have killed nearly 6,000 *for their faith* in close to 1,000 separate attacks in 43 countries). Facts don't become non-facts merely because Marine Le Pen states them. Elsewhere, the authors chose to smear former Reagan administration aide Frank Gaffney for saying on his radio show of the murder of Christians, "*All too often, their losses go unremarked.*" That comment is either true or at the very least debatable, but making such a statement doesn't make one "far-right".

Anyone looking at such coverage from outside journalism will find it jaw-dropping. For those who know the journalism business, the degradation exemplified by Tharoor, Taylor and Noack comes as no surprise. It is the consequence of a left-wing mindset that views the world, and the terrible actions of some terrible people in it, through a lens that is not only shallow, narrow, parochial and ideologically pre-programmed. They are also the product of a shrinking industry with shrinking budgets, which cannot afford foreign reporters, so finds itself paying low-grade hacks to sit in America and write about people in Europe who are tweeting about a massacre in Sri Lanka.

Catholics often overlook, or fail to appreciate, just how much richer and deeper is their vision of reality to that of such worldlings. Catholics see reality as multi-layered, like an onion - but a magic onion, for its layers are infinite, and one can keep peeling them away, but one can never exhaust the possibility of going yet deeper. However, for the world, what you see is pretty much it. The world loves data and spreadsheets, but that's it, what it sees is pretty much it. It is almost as if the world sees reality as two-dimensional, while Catholics see reality as multi-dimensional or, put another way, Catholics see the world in technicolour, while non-Catholics see it in black and white.

An obvious example would be the Eucharist; where Catholics see the body, blood, soul and divinity of Christ, by whom all things are made, the God who threw the stars into their orbits and knitted you and me together in our mother's womb - the world sees a biscuit.

The Eucharist, one could legitimately argue, is a special case, but one could give a thousand more mundane examples. Take, for example, marriage and human sexual-

ity. Catholics could fill several large libraries with books, treatises, articles, studies, etc, on marriage and human sexuality. The world, on the other hand, basically sees friction in the groin area, which causes intense pleasure somewhere in the brain, and that's about it. It does vaguely believe that it is a good idea for the most part if those partaking "love" one another, but it can't define love and has little or no idea what it actually means.

Gender would be another example; where the Catholic sees a beautiful, profound mystery gifted to us by God that is replete with depths we can never exhaust this side of the grave, the world can see only a handful of superficial differences, one gender has got a few extra dangly bits than the other, and that's about it. This is why the world can embrace such blasphemous absurdities as the transgender ideology: in its superficial vision of reality, a man is a bit like a Christmas tree, strip off all the baubles and, *et voilà*, he's not a Christmas tree any more.

Sometime in the late nineteenth century a famous poet, whose name unfortunately has slipped my memory, stood in the nave of Notre Dame de Paris for the first time and converted to Catholicism on the spot. André Frossard, the atheist son of a leading French Communist had a very similar experience. He stepped briefly into a Catholic church to shelter from a sudden shower whilst waiting for a friend; Eucharistic adoration was taking place. André subsequently wrote a book, *Dieu existe, je l'ai rencontré* (God exists, I have met Him), in which he described his experience. He explained it in these terms, "*For a moment, I have no idea how long, I stood outside time and I experienced light and colours that were not of this world, and which I cannot begin to describe ...*" He was found still prostrate face down on the floor of the church hours later. André went into that church a Communist and came out a Catholic ... how great is our God!

If the only thing that Notre Dame had ever achieved was the conversion to Christ and the one true faith of that one poet, whose name has slipped my memory, than all the money, toil, blood, sweat and tears expended over every minute of the approximately 200 years of its construction has been repaid a million fold. But you would have to be a genuine Catholic and thus able to see reality in all its multi-layered, multi-dimensional, Technicolor, infinite beauty to even begin to appreciate the truth contained in that last sentence.

Perhaps Catholics, myself included, are too impatient with the world. After all you wouldn't curse a man born blind for not being able to marvel at a sunrise. We need patience and we need to develop a special skill set if we are to convey the beauty and depth of the One True Faith to modern man who has grown accustomed to seeing reality in black and white, two-dimensional terms, to a world that knows the price of everything but the value of nothing.

OPGERUIMD STAAT NETJES¹

“ ... now, the powerful Belgian Cardinal, the self-identified St Gallen mafia member is dead, cold to the touch, decomposing into dust ...”

By Elizabeth Yore²

Francis Says Goodbye to Infamous Cardinal Daneels, hailing Him as ‘Dedicated Catholic Pastor’ [They say you can always judge a man by the company he keeps - Ed]

There he stands, like the smug, self-satisfied sentinel.

Six long years ago, jubilant and triumphant at long last, the covert St Gallen plotters and schemers chose their man, Jorge Bergoglio, and firmly ensconced him in the See of St Peter.

Cardinal Daneels looks down from the loggia over the evening crowd in St Peter’s Square. Surely he's thinking, oh, those poor peasants are clueless of the utter devastation about to befall the Catholic Church.


The St Gallen Mafia pulled off the great heist.

Thankfully, time marches on. Belgian Cardinal Gottfried Daneels died on March 14th 2019. This prince of the Church proudly proclaimed his membership and allegiance in the “St Gallen Mafia.” Unable to contain his ego any longer, Cardinal Daneels published his authorized biography in 2015, where he dropped the bombshell that he was a member of the St Gallen Mafia that opposed Ratzinger’s election as pontiff, and sought to elevate Jorge Bergoglio to the papacy and radically reform the Catholic Church.

“The Sankt-Gallen group is a sort of posh name. But in reality we said of ourselves, and of that group: ‘The Mafia.’ - Daneels.

But now, the powerful Belgian Cardinal, the self-identified St Gallen mafia member is dead, cold to the touch, decomposing into dust, and meeting his Almighty Judge. Will the Lord welcome Gottfried Daneels into the celestial choir of angels because he is a “gentleman”, as John Allen of Crux obsequiously eulogized Daneels?

Really, a *gentleman*?



¹ Dutch for “Good riddance”.

² **Elizabeth Yore** is an international child protection attorney who has investigated clerical abuse. She provided legal and technical advice to the Belgian victim parents of the children abducted and murdered by Belgian paedophile serial killer, Marc Dutroux.

Or did he mean, the gentleman Mafia Don?

Pope Francis also waxed praise for Daneels by issuing a telegram eulogizing Daneels as a "zealous pastor," recalling his "dedication" as president of Belgian bishops and lauded his *attentiveness to challenges of today's Church*.

Be forewarned. Revisionist Church history is underway with Francis calling Daneels "dedicated to the Church" and "a zealous pastor." The destructive record of this power-hungry prelate speaks volumes of his misplaced priorities.

During his 30-year reign from 1979-2010, as primate of Belgium, Cardinal Daneels oversaw the disastrous freefall of Catholicism in the once strongly Catholic country. Daneels presided over the precipitous secularization of Belgium which instituted abortion on demand, same-sex marriage, and euthanasia.

Is this a 'dedicated Catholic pastor,' Francis?

In June 2013, Daneels supported the legal recognition for same-sex couples in Belgium. He incomprehensibly argued that:

"The Church has never opposed the fact that there should exist a sort of 'marriage' between homosexuals, but one therefore speaks of a 'sort of' marriage, not of true marriage between a man and a woman, therefore another word must be found for the dictionary. About the fact that this should be legal, that it should be made legitimate through a law, about this the Church has nothing to say."

Not surprisingly, under Daneels' episcopacy, religious vocations sunk to a historic low and church attendance dropped to a pitiful 6%.

Is this a 'zealous pastor', Francis?

On April 8, 2010, the newly-retired Cardinal Daneels met with the nephew of his good friend, Bishop Roger Vangheluwe. The nephew described a sordid 13-year-long molestation by his uncle, Vangheluwe, the Bishop of Bruges.

Cardinal Daneels advised the nephew not to go public with the sexual abuse. During this meeting, Daneels advised the young man "*not to make a lot of noise*" about the abuse he endured from his uncle bishop because Vangheluwe was scheduled to retire in a year anyway. "*It would be better that you wait,*" advised Daneels, while also urging the young man to forgive his uncle.

Is this an 'attentive pastor' to the challenges of the Church, Francis?

The conversation was tape recorded by the nephew and subsequently released to the press. Cardinal Daneels, the 30-year head of Belgium's Roman Catholic Church, could be heard on tape urging this sexual abuse victim to stay quiet and not disclose the abuse. That is a classic cover-up. After the release of the recording, Daneels did not dispute the authenticity of the conversation.

Bishop/Uncle Vangheluwe admitted to the sexual abuse of his nephew and stepped down from his position shortly after the April 8 meeting. Because of the statute of

limitations law, the Bishop of Bruges was never charged with the crime. However, the Daneels cover-up plot continued to unfold.

The Daily De Standaard newspaper reported that two former Belgian priests, Fathers Rik Deville and Norbert Bethune had personally informed Cardinal Daneels about Bishop Vangheluwe's sexual abuse of children several times between the mid-1990s and early 2000s. Father Deville told the AP that he'd informed Cardinal Daneels about a number of sexual-abuse cases.

"The cardinal sometimes got angry and said it was not my job, that I should not get involved," DeVille said.

Is this your definition of an 'attentive and zealous pastor,' Francis?

Cardinal Daneels' clerical cover-up of the Vangheluwe molestation case dominated European and global headlines for weeks. Yet, the Daneels scandal widened even further. Belgian Police conducted a surprise raid on the Cardinal's residence and office looking for documents relating to clergy abuse and questioned the Cardinal for ten hours. Although the Cardinal was never charged, the Catholic Church's own investigation commission issued a 200-page report on 10 September 2010.

According to the report, the commission heard allegations from 488 complainants, concerning incidents between 1950 and 1990. The report contained testimony from 124 people. Two-thirds of the complainants were men, now in their 50s and 60s. The head of the commission, Dr. Peter Adriaenssens, a prominent and respected psychiatrist, disclosed that Cardinal Gottfried Daneels' name surfaced in 50 cases, not as an abuser, but as someone who knew of the sexual child abuse by the clergy and did nothing.

The body count as a result of Daneels' negligence and cover up of abuse resulted in 13 suicides of victims of clergy abuse in Belgium.

Is this an 'attentive pastor' to the challenges of the Church, Francis?

In 1998, a Catholic catechism textbook for Belgian children called Roeach 3 showed comic-book-style pictures of toddlers asking sexual questions and engaging in sexual play. The Belgian Catholic hierarchy, of which Daneels was the head, stated that the textbook was intended for adolescents, (as if that is a valid and Catholic justification) and that the pictures were meant to convey the idea that *young children experience lust, a prevalent theory in contemporary psychology.*

Catholic parents were enraged and demanded that the Catechism be pulled from classrooms. Daneels repeatedly rebuffed the parents' requests for meetings and removal of the book. Ultimately, the textbook was withdrawn after Catholic parents were required to seek intervention from the Vatican.

It boggles the mind and rattles the soul that this Cardinal who oversaw the precipitous decline and destruction of the Catholic faith in Belgium, promoted the sexualisation of children, served as a consultant on the future of the Catholic family as the personal appointment of Francis to the Synod on the Family in 2014.

That's quite a legacy for a zealous and dedicated pastor!

In the classic tradition of a preening mafia don's funeral, Daneels' requiem will be a lavish display of pomp and circumstance, glowing tributes, with the pipe organ playing his beloved Bach, splendid floral displays, pews full of the princes of the European monarchy, those whom Daneels bowed down to.

Ah, yes, the floral displays honouring Daneels, the mafioso monsignor of St Gallen, will be reminiscent of St Catherine of Siena's bitter denunciation of a similar Cardinal:

"What made you do this? The poison of self-love, which has infected the world. Flowers — you who shed no perfume, but only stench that makes the whole world reek! No lights you placed in a candlestick, that you might spread the faith; but, having hidden your light under the bushel of pride, and become not extenders, but contaminators of the faith, you shed darkness over yourself and others."

"That evil which you have in yourself you wish to infect us with, withdrawing us from obedience to Christ on earth, and leading us into obedience to anti-christ, a member of the devil, as you are too, so long as you shall abide in this heresy."

[One down, but sadly plenty more to go - Ed]

ST BASIL ON FASTING

"Fasting gives birth to prophets and strengthens the powerful; fasting makes lawgivers wise. Fasting is a good safeguard for the soul, a steadfast companion for the body, a weapon for the valiant, and a gymnasium for athletes. Fasting repels temptation, anoints unto piety; it is the comrade of watchfulness and the craftsman of chastity. In war it fights bravely, in peace it teaches stillness."

LUDWIG VAN BEETHOVEN, "WELLINGTON'S VICTORY," AND THE CRISIS OF OUR TIME

By Dr Boyd D. Cathey (copied from the Remnant)

This past December 16, 2018, was a special anniversary, a significant one in the continuum of our Western Christian civilization, but one that went largely unnoticed or un-commemorated by the vast majority of our fellow citizens....but should not be forgotten.

On that date, 248 years ago in 1770, the great musician and composer Ludwig van Beethoven was born in the city of Cologne (Köln) in the Rhineland in what is now the Federal Republic of Germany, then part of the Holy Roman Empire. Travelling to Vienna as a young man, he spent much of his life there as one of the greatest



composers of music of all time. His compositions and his persona continue to resound through the ages - as long as there is a Western and Christian culture to admire and celebrate.

What I wish to do is simply emphasize the importance of cultural giants like Beethoven who have made precious contributions to our Western Christian heritage, a heritage that is critically threatened in our time by demonic and Gnostic demiurges³ who seek nothing less than the complete perversion of two millennia of Christian culture which brought together the salvific gift of the Hebrews, the philosophy of ancient Greece, and the classical traditions of Rome.

Beethoven was an integral part of that continuum. And like so many others who have contributed to our inherited corpus of art, music, architecture, literature, and folklore, he stands above the ages athwart the disintegration and the frenzied assaults on what the poet William Butler Yeats called (in his poem, "The Second Coming") the "rocking cradle" of Bethlehem - that "rocking cradle" of the Christ from which our culture proceeds.

Just as the post-Marxist and Progressivist academics and mobs and their allies in our cowardly political and media elites wish to put all reminders of our past - those monuments and symbols - whether Confederate or colonial, in remote museums, safely away from the inquiring eyes of citizens, so, too, those epigones of Evil, those cultural vandals who would destroy our inheritance, wish to lock up our sacred traditions of music, literature, and the arts away from our population for whom that inheritance is a birthright. Or, separate them and make them practically inaccessible. Or, far worse, "re-interpret" them using new templates based entirely on "race" and "gender" - thus, "women's studies" which views literature and music through the lens of "masculine oppression" and "toxic masculinity" throughout the ages.

In December 1940, at the end of a live national Saturday matinee broadcast of the Metropolitan Opera's production of Gaetano Donizetti's *La Fille du Regiment*, as author Paul Jackson (in his volume, *Saturday Afternoons at the Old Met*) recounts, the famous soprano Lily Pons advanced to the front of the stage, and holding a French flag aloft, sang "La Marseillaise." The audience erupted in emotional enthusiasm ... not just there in New York, but across the entire nation where as many as forty million listeners tuned in and heard her salute to a defeated France. Forty million Americans in every state and territory (out of around 130 million citizens) were united not only in remembering fallen France, but by and in a culture and musical tradition they all shared, and, even if not devotees of opera, which they at least understood to be part of their precious cultural patrimony.

Today that unity no longer exists. It has been rent asunder by the very guardians of that cultural inheritance, those entrusted with its care and transmission, who have suc-

³ *Demiurges - in Gnosticism and other theological systems: a heavenly being, subordinate to the Supreme Being, that is considered to be the controller of the material world and antagonistic to all that is purely spiritual.*

cumbed to the Dark Lord and the enticements that emit from the foulest voices and most fetid enemies of our civilization.

It was the Roman poet Juvenal two millennia ago in his Satires who wrote, “Quis custodiet ipsos custodes?,” which translated means: “Who is guarding us against the guardians?”

Today we must ask the same questions: Who is guarding us against the cabal of our Intelligence Agencies which now serve as instruments of the Deep State? - Who is guarding us against a political class bought and paid for by globalists who have no loyalty to country or to faith, but rather only to their secularized vision of a global Godless Utopia? - Who is guarding us against the academic elites who control our educational system and pollute the minds of our children? - Who is guarding us against the rancid pollution of our cultural and artistic heritage?

In recent months millions of Frenchmen have demonstrated against the pro-European Union statist and anti-Christian government of Emmanuel Macron, who was supposed to be the “new leader” of a united Europe (replacing perhaps the discredited Angela Merkel). Indeed, did we not hear those vaunted Fox All-Stars, the cream of Neoconservative “opinion,” Jonah Goldberg and Marc Thiessen, endorse Macron when he was challenged by Marine Le Pen in the past French national election? Le Pen, you see, was a “Make France Great Again” type person - too much like Trump - too much a “populist,” or to use Goldberg’s hackneyed catch-all epithet for anyone who opposes his globalist lunacy, just maybe a “fascist?”

These Neoconservatives are nothing more than a wing of the same Army of the Night that seeks to undo our civilization. Just consider how they - from Goldberg, the late Charles Krauthammer, Bill Kristol, to so many others - have “evolved” on such issues as feminism, racism, same sex-marriage, and transgenderism, not to mention their own frenzied secular designs to impose a universal egalitarianism on the rest of the world, whether those other peoples desire it or not: a New World Order, without God and His Church, without Grace, and without, most assuredly, Hope.

After an initial enthusiasm for and flirtation with what he thought Napoleon might bring to Europe, Beethoven later in his life became a strong supporter of the Habsburg monarchy ... and an avowed foe of the Napoleonic destruction of the Old Order. He understood what that meant, just as the Blessed Pius IX after the Revolution of 1848 understood the deleterious effects of liberalism and its fanatical desire to destroy Christian civilization.

In 1813 to celebrate the great victory of the Marquess (later Duke) of Wellington over the armies of Napoleon at the Battle of Vitoria in Spain (June 21, 1813), Beethoven composed a wonderful little ceremonial piece - “Wellington’s Victory” - a kind of short visually evocative symphonic poem depicting that momentous battle that freed Spain from Napoleonic tyranny. In it he interpolated both French and British airs and songs, including a rousing version of “Rule, Britannia!” (The Brits were on the “good” side back then.) It stands as a musical symbol of both the cultural response

that Christian Europe then offered to “the great thief of Europe” as well as a paean to military triumph over the forces of Revolution.

Today both Europe and the United States appear prostrate before the even more frenzied offspring of those 19th century revolutionaries who largely dominate our politics and educational system, who have despoiled our artistic heritage, and who have infiltrated and occupied the highest offices in the Church.

For us, then, my wish is that in 2019 we seize upon the signs of Hope - those growing signs of contradiction against Modernism and Progressivism - and, like our ancestors, like Wellington and, yes, like a Beethoven with the scales removed from his eyes, raise up the banners of Christ the King.

While advancing once more beneath His Cross, we intone the *Laudes Regiae*: “Christus vincit! Christus regnat! Christus imperat!” And we could not do much better than letting “Wellington’s Victory” become our symphonic clarion call of militant crusade against our powerful enemies who seek nothing less than the extinction of our culture, our faith and us.

LIFE IN THE SECULAR ASYLUM
TRANS LA-LA-LAND
By Graham Moorhouse

God always forgives, man sometimes forgives, nature never forgives ...

If I surgically remove the testicles and penis from a bull, construct an imitation on it of a cow’s genitalia, stitch on a plastic udder, stuff it full of chemicals to reduce its muscle mass, and then sell it as a cow to someone (who clearly should have gone to Specsavers), my fraud may well earn me a spell in prison. That’s basically what the transgender industry does to men and women - and children - but if you suggest the “bull” is not actually a “cow”, you’re the one you might finish up serving time - such is life in the secular asylum.

April 8, 2019 a "human rights tribunal" in Canada ruled that a man must pay \$55,000 to a provincial politician because he referred to this politician as a "*biological male*". The politician in question, Morgane Oger (born Ronan Oger), *is* a biological male. However, he has since "transitioned," and is living his life as a "*transgender woman*." According to the decision, Bill Whatcott must compensate Oger for injuring the latter's "*dignity, feelings and self-respect*."

The insane precedent set by this case can be illustrated by one flabbergasting fact, the judge refused to allow Whatcott's lawyer to produce evidence showing that, as a matter of fact, Oger *is* a biological male. According to the judge, "*The 'truth' of [the defendant's] statements ... is not a defence*." As such, said the judge, "*evidence is simply not relevant to the legal issue ...*"

"*Evidence is simply not relevant ...*" those five words neatly sum up life in the secular asylum. Whether we are discussing gay “marriage”, the wonders of sodomite adoption, the link between homosexuality and paedophilia, the true gender of transsexuals,

abortion, the “religion of peace”, climate change, etc. don’t waste your time arguing about what’s real and actual because in the Secular Asylum “*facts are simply irrelevant*”, and that’s official.

Point out that two men cannot marry because they do not have complementary genitalia, forget it, “facts are not relevant” in the secular asylum. Point out that the vast majority of convicted paedophiles self-identify as homosexual, forget it, “facts are not relevant” in the secular asylum. Point out that abortion deliberately kills an innocent human being, forget it, “facts are not relevant” in the secular asylum. Point out that their “religion of peace” has carried out over 1,000 attacks resulting in nearly 6,000 deaths and a similar number of life altering injuries in 2019, forget it, “facts are not relevant” in the secular asylum. Point out that CO² levels were dramatically higher when the world was equally dramatically colder, forget it, “facts are not relevant” in the secular asylum.

These musings were kick-started by reading “Trans Life Survivors” by Walt Heyer. Walt is exceptionally qualified to write on this subject, having lived his own life as a “transgender-woman” for eight years. He has now readopted his true (male) gender and has been happily married for twenty-one years and he now runs an outreach mission to people who have been gravely damaged by the transgender industry. His book is for the most part a collection of testimonies from men and women deeply regretting their transition and seeking support and help in reversing the process. I highly recommend this book, it will leave you with a much better understanding and a deep compassion for the tortured souls that are being so horribly exploited by this cruel ideology. It would also be a work of charity to pass a copy on to someone contemplating going down this road.

One of the sad facts that is kept well under wraps by the transgender industry and their water carriers in the mainstream media is that something in the order of 40% of transsexuals attempt or commit suicide post-surgery/mutilation. Whilst I have no statistics to prove this, nonetheless, common-sense tells me that the majority of people do not deal with major regrets in their lives by resorting to suicide, which would suggest that the majority of transsexuals, at some point, profoundly regret what has been done to them. What other area of the medical profession would continue pushing surgery that had that level of devastating regret among its recipients?

One thing they don’t tell you is that “transition” doesn’t necessary alter what the left likes to call ones “sexual orientation”, so a man who “transitions” to a “woman” may still be sexually attracted to women. Furthermore, the majority of trans-“women” still have a complete set of male genitalia. The British Prison Service found this out the hard way. Having come over all PC, they started putting men who had “transitioned” to “women” into prisons alongside real women. The result, that anyone who was not as barmy as a box of frogs, would have foreseen, was an upsurge of sexual assaults on the female occupants. One woman complained that “he exposed his penis to me in the showers,” and was ordered to rewrite it as, “she exposed her penis to me in the shower.” The Prison Service has now backtracked and set aside a wing of a men’s

prison for men claiming to be women. This, has of course, got the transsexual activist screaming blue murder, apparently the British Prison Service is now deemed to be transphobic.

Reading through the scores of messages received by Walt Heyer one begins to build a much clearer picture of what drives this lunacy. What the male transsexual⁴ is trying to do is not become a woman but rather cease to be who he is. It is just that the most radical way one can cease to be who one is, is to change one's gender. The real issue here, which is not being addressed, is, why one hates one's real persona so much that one is willing to pursue such a radical, expensive, and ultimately futile solution.

The answer is not always simple, but there are number of common themes. Mental illness, plain and simple, is just one. Childhood trauma, such as the loss of both parents, is another. A more common theme is sexual or physical abuse as a child. Walt traces his own journey to this, having been sexually abused by an uncle and physically abused by a violent father. Another cause is poor parenting; perhaps a parent lavishes all their affection on a girl, leaving the boy child imagining that if he was a girl, he might also enjoy some of the affection he craves. Outrageous decisions by the authorities to place a child in the care of a pair of sodomites will also self-evidently leads to gender confusion.

The profound regret comes from the realisation that one is a fake, an acceptance of the reality that a man can never be a woman and a woman can never be a man. The realisation and acceptance that one is bogus, a sham, a make-believe, a counterfeit, a mockery, for some will hit them the moment they awake from surgery, for others it can take decades, but when it does, it is always devastating. One of the things that makes it so much worse, and drives people to suicide, is the loneliness. You will be demonised by the trans-community and by LGBT activists. You, like ex-homosexuals, are not supposed to exist. You are a living refutation of their lies, and consequently an object of the sort of hate that few but the Godless left can muster.

Kyle Scanlon, the famous transsexual activist committed suicide and Alexis Arquette, the American actress, cabaret performer, and transgender activist, summed it up just before he died of AIDS at the age of 47, "It's all bullshit!" he remarked bitterly to a close friend.

It is surely humbug that in an age when we are



⁴ What I write here applies to women "transitioning" to "men" as much as men "transitioning" to "women", but I reference the latter not just because they are the majority but also for the sake of brevity.

rightly prosecuting Muslims for genitally mutilating their daughters to serve their ideology, we are now legally mutilating the genitalia, and much else besides, of perfectly healthy children to serve the latest obscene ideology of the sexual left.

I will conclude these musings with an email from a gentleman crying out for help (Michael is suicidal a mere 18 months after surgery):

Hi Walt, I'm so glad I came across your website. After 10 months of post-op psychotherapy, I know now my problems were great depression, unresolved issues as you said (I was sexually abused by my grandfather at 3 years old, father was killed in the Air Force when I was 5, grew up thinking I must be gay, had sex with men and was disgusted, and cross dressed most of my life.) My new therapist is calling it a Transvestic Fetish that went terribly wrong, coupled with GID [Gender Identity Disorder]. Why we couldn't get to this prep? It's just a sick money making industry as I see it.

I have already removed the breast implants and will be restarting testosterone soon. I have destroyed my career, my finances, my marriage and alienated my family. The pain as you know is so great! It feels like a knife in my heart. I can't sleep; I pace the house looking in my shorts for my manhood. I am so disgusted with myself. How could a smart, successful guy get so lost? I had it all. Now I'm watching it slowly fade away.

You and all the people who give me words of encouragement are the only thing keeping me going. I have a rope, and I know where all the next gun shows are; I don't want to live like this. My therapist is going to recommend me to gender therapists; to get a surgical solution I can live with. At 46, I don't want all those forearm, body scars. I just hope I have the strength to get there; my batteries are drained. I have not read your book; but, I am willing to listen to your thoughts and ideas. Have a good day. Thank you.

We are currently in the process of putting some links on PEEP's website to organisations that are willing to help and support people like Michael.

... THE "WHITENESS OF HIS SHINS," AND THE "WHITENESS OF HIS THIGH," AND THE "WHITENESS OF HIS LEG," AND EVEN, BELIEVE IT OR NOT, THE "WHITENESS OF HIS ARMPITS"!

By: Graham Moorhouse (heavily based on a lecture by David Wood).

One of the ploys used by Muslims to deflect criticism is to play the race victim card. They will say something like, "*The only reason you oppose Islam is because it is a black man's religion - you're against it because you are a racist.*" This "black man's religion" claim is one well worth exploring.

Many people who haven't read the Muslim sources assume that Muhammad was dark-skinned. However, Arabs are Caucasians, and they can exhibit a variety of shades and tones. So if we want to know what Muhammad looked like, we'll have to

study the descriptions of his appearance found in the Hadith. Now, if Muhammad were sitting in a crowd of his fellow Arabs, how would we pick him out?

Sahih al-Bukhari, Number 63:

Narrated Anas bin Malik: While we were sitting with the Prophet in the mosque, a man came riding on a camel. He made his camel kneel down in the mosque, tied its foreleg and then said: “Who amongst you is Muhammad?” At that time the Prophet was sitting amongst us leaning on his arm. We replied, “This *white* man reclining on his arm.”

Sahih Muslim 6081:

It was narrated that Abu Juhaifah said: “I saw the Messenger of Allah with a *white* complexion and some *white* hairs.”

Sahih Muslim 6071:

It was narrated from Al-Jurairi from Abu At-Tufail: “I said to him: ‘Did you see the Messenger of Allah?’ He said: ‘Yes, he was *white* with an elegant face.’”

In fact, the Muslim sources go out of their way, to the point of absurdity, to emphasize just how *white* Muhammad was. They tell us about the “*whiteness* of his shins,” and the “*whiteness* of his thigh,” and the “*whiteness* of his leg,” and the “*whiteness* of his stomach,” and the “*whiteness* of his forearms,” and the “*whiteness* of his cheeks,” and even, believe it or not, the “*whiteness* of his armpits”!

Muhammad also owned black slaves:

Sahih al-Bukhari 7263:

Narrated Umar: I went to (the house of the Prophet) and behold, Allah’s Messenger was staying in a Mashruba and a black slave of Allah’s Messenger was at the top of its stairs. I said to him, “Tell (the Prophet) that here is Umar bin al-Khattab.” Then he admitted me.

Sahih al-Bukhari 6161:

Narrated Anas bin Malik: Allah’s Messenger was on a journey and he had a black slave called Anjasha, and he was driving the camels (very fast, and there were women riding on those camels). Allah’s Messenger said, “Wai-haka, O Anjasha! Drive slowly the camels with the glass vessels (i.e., the women)!”

Sunan an-Nasai 3858 (Here’s one about a black slave who was killed while unloading Muhammad’s luggage):

It was narrated that Abu Hurairah said: “We were with the Messenger of Allah in the year of Khaibar, and we did not get any spoils of war except for wealth, goods, and clothes. Then a man from Banu Ad-Dubaib, who was called Rifa’ah bin Zaid, gave the Messenger of Allah a black slave who was called Mid’am. The Messenger of Allah set out for Wadi al-Qura. When we

were in Wadi al-Qura, while Mid'am was unloading the luggage of the Messenger of Allah, an arrow came and killed him. The people said: 'Congratulations! You will go to Paradise,' but the Messenger of Allah said: 'No, by the One in Whose hand is my soul! The cloak that he took from the spoils of war on the day of Khaibar is burning him with fire.'"

Just to be clear, Muhammad had regular sex with a nine-year-old child, robbed caravans, and beheaded hundreds of Jews, but, according to Islam he's the best man who has ever lived. Yet his black slave bagged a cloak before the spoils were divided, so he's headed to hell. Welcome to Islam.

In "Provisions of the Afterlife," pages 30-31, Ibn Qayyim Al-Jawziyya lists twenty-eight of Muhammad's male slaves and twelve of his female slaves. Some of these slaves were black, some were not. Muhammad nicknamed one of his black slaves "Safina," which means "Ship." He called this black slave "Ship" because he would load up this slave like a ship. We know that Muhammad had sex with his female slaves, because he impregnated one of them. But don't worry: a white leader getting a slave-girl pregnant is only sinister when Thomas Jefferson does it.

And it appears Muhammad regarded black slaves as worth less than Arab slaves, since he once traded two of his black slaves for an Arab slave he wanted to set free because the Arab had converted to Islam.

Obedying Muslim leaders was so important to Muhammad that when he wanted to emphasize obedience, he told his followers that they had to obey even the worst leader imaginable. We find Muhammad's worst-case scenario in Sahih al-Bukhari Narrated Anas bin Malik: Allah's Messenger said, "You should listen to and obey your Imam even if he was an Ethiopian slave whose head looks like a raisin."

Muhammad gives a similar example in Sahih Muslim 3138, where he commands his followers to obey their leader, even if he's a black slave with missing limbs. So, the worst possible leader of a community of Muslims, according to Muhammad, would be a black slave. For the sake of clarity, I should point out that women are excluded from the hierarchy of possible leaders. Muhammad was adamant that a nation will never be successful with a woman as a leader.

But it gets even worse when Muhammad tells his followers what Satan looks like. In Ibn Ishaq, p. 243. The apostle said, "*Whoever wants to see Satan let him take a look at Nabtal ibn al-Harith!*" - he was a sturdy black man with long flowing hair, inflamed eyes, and dark ruddy cheeks.

So, how did a religion that was inaugurated by a white prophet who owned black slaves and referred to Ethiopians as "raisin heads" and said that Satan looks like a black man, ever acquire the reputation of being a black man's religion?

Well, here in the West, there's a general atmosphere of ignorance about Islam. People are deliberately kept uninformed by the cultural Marxists who dominate our education system and the mainstream media, and rarely know even the most basic facts about Muhammad and the Qur'an. And this allows Muslim apologists to get away

with murder, because no one's going to challenge them. So if a Muslim preacher is talking to a woman who's interested in women's rights, "Muhammad was a champion of women's rights!" If he's talking to someone who has a high regard for science, "The Qur'an is a scientific masterpiece, filled with miraculous scientific insights that were only verified centuries later!" If he's talking to someone who's concerned about racial justice, "Islam is the religion that liberates slaves and establishes racial equality!"

It's all complete nonsense and barefaced lies. But people convert to Islam because they believe what they're told and don't bother reading the Muslim sources to see if the huckster's story checks out. Now to those of you who believed the Muslim preacher when he said, "*Hey, if you really want to stick it to whitey, you need to convert to Islam*" - the religion of a white man who bought, sold, and traded African slaves, and whose followers institutionalized black African slavery centuries before Europeans, and who continue to enslave black Africans even today. If you fell for this, you've been well and truly conned, stitched up.

But now that you've read the Muslim sources for yourself, now that you know you've been deceived, you have a choice. You can either leave Islam, or you can continue serving a man whose conduct as described in Islam's most trusted sources has legitimized race-based slavery for fourteen centuries.

Just remember that if you continue honouring a slave trader who was celebrated for his light complexion, who owned black slaves, referred to Ethiopians as "raisin heads" and said that Satan looks like a black man, you're not a freedom fighter, or a social justice warrior, or a champion of civil rights; you are the ultimate sucker.

And just in case you'd like to know just how seriously Muhammad's *whiteness* was taken in the Muslim world, I'll leave you with a quotation from Ash-Shifa (one of Islam's most popular and respected books on Muhammad's life and teachings):

Ahmad ibn Sulayman, Sahnun's companion, said that whoever says that the Prophet was black *is killed*.

So there you have it. Muhammad was not black, and merely suggesting that he was brought upon yourself the death penalty. I repeat: to call Muhammad black was regarded as such an offensive insult by Muhammad and his followers, that the penalty was death. Let that sink in all you left-wing justice warriors endlessly bleating about "Islamophobia"⁵.

⁵ "*Islamophobic is a word created by fascists, and used by cowards, to manipulate morons.*" Christopher Hitchens

END OF A FAIRY TALE:

No “Collusion with Russia” (as if we didn’t know)

by Christopher A. Ferrara

For almost three years the DNC⁶-mainstream media consortium has laboured to elevate a fantasy about a non-crime - “collusion” between the Trump campaign and “Russia” - into grounds for invalidating the Presidential election of 2016. House and Senate Committees and a Special Counsel have embroiled the Trump administration from its inception in endless, absurd investigations into the inchoate conspiracy theory that Trump and “the Russians” somehow “colluded” to steal the election from Hillary Clinton.

The theory was concocted by Clinton herself, who (illegally) funnelled millions of dollars in campaign funds to a law firm that in turn hired an ex-British spy to create opposition research against Trump dressed up as a bogus “intelligence” dossier based on anonymous *Russian*

sources, which was then passed off to the FBI by John McCain and others. Hillary’s imaginary allegation of Trump-Russia collusion emanated from her *actual* collusion with Russians in concocting the Russian dossier! That is, the same Russians who supposedly wanted Trump to win the election worked with Hillary’s rented ex-spy to *prevent* Trump from winning the election and then to undermine his Presidency.

Based on this nonsensical claim, based in turn on the phony dossier that Clinton had paid for, corrupt FBI and DOJ officials, conniving with corrupt members of the “intelligence community,” launched an equally phony worldwide “counterintelligence” investigation into Trump and his associates. Then, without ever revealing that Hillary Clinton had paid for the dossier, the same corrupt officials used it to trick the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) into granting a Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Application (FISA) for a warrant to wiretap and otherwise spy on Trump associate Carter Page—and who knows who else—renewing that application three times based on the same fabricated evidence.

One of the FISA warrant applications was signed by none other than Assistant Attorney General Rod Rosenstein, who, after Jeff Sessions had recused⁷ himself, participated in this veritable coup attempt against the very President he was supposed to be



⁶ *Democratic National Committee.*

⁷ *Recused: excuse oneself from a case because of a potential conflict of interest or lack of impartiality.*

serving, at one point even proposing that he wear a wire in an effort to gather evidence that Trump is mentally unfit to serve as Chief Executive.

In his letter appointing Robert Mueller as Special Council on May 17, 2017, the same Rosenstein did not even bother to articulate a legally sufficient basis for the appointment of a Special Council—that being *only* the investigation of a reasonably suspected *federal crime*. Instead, he charged Mueller and his posse of Democrat activists with the task of investigating imaginary and amorphous “*links and/or coordination between the Russian government and individuals associated with the campaign of President Donald Trump*,” which would not be criminal even if they existed.

And, of course, there were never any such “links” or “coordination,” as Mueller has finally informed us after two years and \$25 million in pointless expenditures, telling us nothing more than what was perfectly obvious from the moment Clinton’s laughable conspiracy theory was first aired. Along the way, several bystanders were indicted for process crimes that did not exist until the investigation created them, or past crimes having nothing to do with the investigation, all in a failed attempt to suborn what would have been prejudicial testimony about Trump’s fantasized “*collusion with Russia*.” Numerous Russians who will never stand trial were also indicted, essentially for financing political social media content in the United States - a make-believe crime that Mueller knew would never be subjected to serious appellate review, which it would never have survived in the Supreme Court.

In sum, what we have endured since Trump’s election is the greatest hoax in the history of American politics, the history of American criminal jurisprudence, and indeed American history in general. But even in his report finding no evidence of “*collusion with Russia*,” Mueller keeps hope alive for the hoaxers by refusing to make a standard prosecutorial decision on the ludicrous contention that Trump “obstructed” his baseless investigation. Mueller declines to take a position on supposedly “complex” questions about whether Trump “obstructed justice” by actions that were all perfectly legal and within his rights and prerogatives as President, including the firing of the intolerable Comey the Righteous. Mueller cunningly punts the issue - really a non-issue - even though his investigation was never impeded in the least but on the contrary ran amuck for nearly two years while Trump was constrained to protesting the witch hunt via his Twitter account.

As Attorney General Barr notes in his letter summarizing Mueller’s report: “*The Special Counsel states that ‘while this report does not conclude that the President committed a crime [obstruction of justice], it also does not exonerate him.’*” So, Mueller, endlessly extolled by the media as man of unimpeachable integrity, has just done the most unethical and despicable thing a prosecutor can do: publicly declaring that while he found no crime, he will not exonerate the target of his investigation. Mueller thus left it to Barr and - is this a joke? - Rosenstein to exonerate Trump via Barr’s letter, which declares the obvious: that Trump obstructed nothing. And now the rabid Democrats can claim a “cover-up” by Barr and Rosenstein, as Muller surely knew they would. By the way, here Mueller has finally revealed that Trump was the target all

along, despite Rosenstein's and Comey's lying assurances, clearly designed to lower Trump's guard, that he was not a target.

What this entire farce has revealed is the endemic and irremediable corruption of the Department of Justice, the FBI, the "intelligence community" and, of course, the incessantly lying media. But these corruptions are only symptoms of a terminal breakdown of whatever is left of the moral fabric of this nation, in which state after state, in response to Trump's election and pro-life initiatives, is now explicitly enacting the legalization of outright infanticide, as if the already available abortion on demand throughout the nine months of pregnancy were insufficiently contemptuous of God and His Law.

That Trump, such as he is, seems to represent our last best chance, humanly speaking, to avoid the inescapable terminal abyss of post-Christian political modernity is the only reason he has been subjected, and will continue to be subjected, to investigatory harassment at virtually every level of government, national, state and local - a spectacle I daresay is without precedent in the entire history of Western polities.

Trump is truly at the centre of a looming American apocalypse.



ARCHBISHOP FULTON SHEEN AND A WEE CHINESE LASS

Archbishop Fulton Sheen was born in 1895 and died in 1979. He was a renowned communicator; and at one time he had his own TV show, *Life is Worth Living*, which was extraordinarily popular throughout America, drawing audiences of 30 million.

Famously, Archbishop Fulton Sheen used to spend an hour in adoration before the Blessed Sacrament every day of his life. What motivated him to do this is a fascinating and deeply moving story. It had nothing to do with reading the works of some great theologian, but everything to do with a little eleven year old Chinese girl whom he had never met, but had learned about from a Chinese priest.

The priest had been celebrating Mass in a Chinese "underground" church, when the Red Guard raided the church. The terrified congregation fled from every exit of the building. The Red Guard then set about vandalising the church: smashing the windows, breaking the statues, tearing down the Way of the Cross, raiding the tabernacle and scattering the Blessed Sacrament over the sanctuary. The priest held his ground and was arrested and placed under house arrest in his own presbytery, which was adjacent to the church. The Red Guard then boarded up the main entrance to the church and placed an armed guard on it.

The priest could see into his vandalised church through the broken windows from his presbytery. One can only imagine his surprise and concern when about six hours after

the attack he observed a little girl, whom he knew, cautiously climbing down from the choir loft. Obviously, when others had fled the church, this little eleven year old had hidden in the choir loft. She advanced on tiptoe to the sanctuary and knelt down before the scattered hosts. She then remained kneeling upright, with her hands clasped together in prayer for a whole hour.

After that she went down on her hands and knees and picked up one of the hosts with her lips and consumed it. Subsequently, she left the church by silently climbing through one of the broken windows. There were thirty-two consecrated host scattered on the sanctuary floor. The priest knew the exact number because hosts were difficult to obtain at times of persecution in Communist China.

The next day about the same time, to the priest astonishment, the little girl returned, climbing silently through the same broken window. Again, she spent an hour in prayer on her knees before the Blessed Sacrament. And again, she crawled on all fours to pick up one of the consecrated host off the floor with her lips and consumed it, before exiting the church as silently as she had entered.

This was repeated on thirty-two consecutive days until the last host was consumed. However, on this last occasion, the little girl slipped climbing out of the window, and dislodged some of the glass and cut herself. She let out a cry that alerted the guards. They rushed into the church and finding the frightened little girl, bludgeoned her to death with their rifle butts - thus demonstrating the Christ hatred of the left; the theme with which this edition of the Flock opened.

The little girl is now, I have no doubt, a saint enjoying the beatific vision for all eternity. And she is the reason why Archbishop Fulton Sheen would spent an hour on his knees before the Blessed Sacrament every morning of his life. And she is also the reason why you and I should also spend more time regularly on our knees before the Blessed Sacrament.

Remember this little eleven year old and the wonderful Chinese Catholics of the “underground” church in your prayers for they have recently been cruelly betrayed by Bergoglio, who has sold them out to the Christ-hating Communist Party. Furthermore, unlike Judas, who demanded thirty pieces of silver for his treachery, Bergoglio and his cohorts have been happy to sell out the Chinese faithful for gratis.

LIAR, LIAR, PANTS ON FIRE!

By Graham Moorhouse (with acknowledgement to Delingpole)

If one is a witness in a court one takes the following oath: *I swear that the evidence that I shall give, shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help me God.* This oath is actually three oaths if you analyse it. The mainstream media in general and the BBC in particular regularly violate all three elements of this oath. They don't merely not tell the truth, they never tell the whole truth and you can forget the *nothing but the truth* bit completely. In fact the skill with which the mainstream media today manipulates the truth to promote their metropolitan, left-wing, liberal agenda makes Joseph Goebbels look like a bumbling amateur. One of the incidental

plusses of the election of Trump is that more people are waking up to this “fake news”.

Catholics should not be surprised that the mainstream media lies. Most of the people running these various enterprises are atheists. If you believe that human being are just the result of blind forces tossing particles into the air that one day just landed in the form of a human being, what possible motive can you have for telling the truth? As Catholics we worship a God who said, “I am Truth”. As Catholics we are therefore called to suffer, and if necessary die, for the truth. And Catholics over the last two millennia have done just that in their tens of thousands. A classic and beautiful example would be St Thomas More cheerfully mounting the scaffold rather than sign an oath that embraced the lie that the king could be the head of the Church in England.

An example of the mainstream media violating the obligation to tell the whole truth was its coverage of the expulsion of the Bangladeshi Muslims from Burma. No one watching the news coverage could possibly have known that there was a reason why the Burmese people in general and the Burmese military in particular had run out of patience with the Rohingya. You could search the mainstream media until you were blue in the face and you would not have known that this was a belated response to half a century of indiscriminate slaughter of the indigenous people by Muslims; i.e. the slaughter of Buddhists, Buddhist monks and Buddhist policemen by Rohingya militants with the tactical support of the local Muslim population - indeed shortly before their expulsion, the Muslims had launched simultaneous armed dawn raids on *a hundred* police stations, yes, you read that right: *one hundred* police stations. One Buddhist monk summed it up well: “We are a peaceful, tolerant people, but one cannot sleep next to a man-eating tiger.”

A more recent example of deliberate avoidance of telling the whole truth would be the media’s coverage of the Christchurch mosque shootings. This appalling crime received wall to wall coverage from the mainstream media. Don’t get me wrong, if I had any say in the matter, the evil nut who carried out the murder of fifty Muslims would be facing the hangman’s noose.

However, predictably, the media from the kick-off labelled the perpetrator of this crime as “far right”, notwithstanding that on his social media pages he had stated that the country that came closest to his political ideal was Communist China! This echoes the media’s treatment of Anders Breivik, the Norwegian mass murderer; who, notwithstanding the fact that he was a radical socialist, who worshiped a pagan god, and claimed he was inspired by Islamic terrorism, was described by the mainstream media as a far-right [whatever that means] Christian fundamentalist!

However, from the mainstream media perspective the Christchurch shooting was a godsend: it enabled them once again to portray Muslims as a victim class who were regularly on the receiving end of such hate crimes. The truth, as usual, is entirely other, as the following table illustrates.


Terrorists attacks in 2019

Attacks on Muslims by non-Muslims	Attacks on non-Muslims (and on those deemed to be the wrong sorts of Muslims) by Muslims
<p>One attack</p> <p>carried out in Christchurch by an Australian, Brenton Tarrant, resulting in 50 dead and 50 injured.</p>	<p>958 attacks</p> <p>carried out in 43 countries resulting in 5598 dead and 5756 injured.</p> <p>This included eight suicide bomb attacks on churches and hotels on Easter morning, in Sri Lanka killing over two-hundred and fifty innocent people and injuring around 800.</p> <p>Give a couple more weeks and Muslims will have killed twice as many people in the first seven months of 2019 as the Spanish Inquisition executed in 300 years - but unlike the Spanish Inquisition, Muslims don't bother with a trial.</p>

After the downplaying (if that is not a gross understatement) of violence from the “Religion of Peace” the main focus of the mainstream media dishonest propaganda currently is “climate change”. The increasingly unwatchable and slavishly woke BBC plumbed new depths on the 17th April. It gave a prime time slot to a piece of environmental propaganda that was blatant, shameless, and utterly dishonest.

Even the programme’s title was a lie: *Climate Change: The Facts* was a total farrago of alarmist clichés, exaggerations, and barefaced lies which had long been debunked by reputable scientists on copious occasions.

It lied about the cause of wildfires; it lied about heat waves; it lied about storms and floods; it lied about polar melting; it lied about sea levels; it lied about coral reefs; it lied about droughts.



Sadly, many would have been taken in because the programme was presented with trembling-lip earnestness and apparent authority by the doyen of TV wildlife ‘experts’

Sir David Attenborough, and accompanied by the kind of dramatic footage and stirring music guaranteed to bypass the brain and appeal directly to the emotions - as all the most effective propaganda does (e.g.: watch *Triumph of the Will*, the Nazi propaganda film). In fact it is precisely this use of emotive trickery to help deceive the viewer into buying its phoney ideology, just like a Nazi propaganda film, that made it so deeply repugnant.

An example: on the subject of heat waves, we were shown heartbreaking footage of thousands of flying foxes (giant fruit bats) in Australia that had dropped dead out of the trees during an exceptionally hot spell last November in Queensland.

“*There was a deafening sound of babies crying,*” said the voiceover of a distraught Australian conservationist, over images of piles of dead bats, and an orphaned baby bat being hand fed some milk from a bottle. “*This is climate change in action,*” muttered an unnamed Australian. “*We need to wake up!*” intoned another. The viewer might easily have been conned into buying two erroneous conclusions from this:

First, that the bats really had been killed by “climate change”: they hadn’t. This was an extreme weather event of the kind which must have killed hundreds of thousands of flying foxes over numerous occasions throughout history - without, of course, the presence of TV cameras to record it.

Second, that the world divides into two kinds of people: those who love the planet and cute baby bats and who consequently believe in the urgency of combating climate change and those who couldn’t give a fig. This is another entirely false premise. It is quite possible to care about the natural world without buying the scams of green-ideology driven, anti-growth, anti-human, left-wing activists.

Paul Homewood⁸ has been through its various claims with a fine-tooth comb and found many to be misleading and inaccurate:

- Attenborough shows a surface temperature chart of dramatically rising temperatures but a) fails to mention that the more accurate satellite temperature data shows no increase since 1998; b) fails to explain why temperatures rose sharply in the early 20th century, long before CO² emissions began to rise significantly;



It is, of course, possible that the owner of this face is utterly sincere and earnest beyond measure, however, it is also feasible that what we see here is a metropolitan, left-leaning liberal putting on his best earnest face for the cameras? Either way: pass the sick bucket please.

⁸ *Paul Homewood is a journalist who has built a career on exposing this scam*

c) fails to mention that since the 19th century, the Earth has been emerging from the Little Ice Age - probably the coldest period since the end of the Ice Age.

- The extreme weather bogeyman. Various talking head ‘experts’ assure us that heat waves are getting more intense. But last summer’s heat wave in the UK - cited as evidence of this - was no hotter than the summer of 1976; nor was the recent one in Queensland when temperatures reached 42 degrees C - also cited - anywhere near as hot as the one in 1972 when temperatures reached 49.5 degrees C. In fact, Homewood notes, there is considerable evidence that heat waves are actually becoming *less* common.
- Michael Mann (quoted as an expert witness): “*You’re going to get more rainfall, more super storms, worse flooding. We’re seeing the effects of climate change now play out in real time*”. Homewood: Maybe one of the most dishonest parts of the programme. Even the IPCC can’t find any long term trends in tropical cyclone activity or flooding. And severe tornadoes have become much less common in the US.
- Attenborough: “Rising seas are already displacing hundreds of thousands of people from already vulnerable coastal areas.” Homewood: Pure hyperbole. Where is the evidence to support this claim?
- On polar ice caps, it is claimed that ice loss from Antarctica and Greenland is “worse than expected”. Homewood: In fact, according to NASA, the Antarctic is actually gaining ice. It is symptomatic of the whole programme, that Attenborough does not mention this inconvenient fact. As for Greenland, I’m not sure what the experts were “expecting”, has any relevance at all. What we do know though is that temperatures in Greenland are no higher now than they were in the 1930s.
- Attenborough: “In the last three years, repeated heat stress has caused a third of the world’s corals to first bleach and then die.” Homewood: There is absolutely no evidence for this, and I have not even seen that claimed about the Great Barrier Reef. And as we now know, the death of GBR corals was drastically overstated. Indeed, as scientists like Peter Ridd and local reef experts have long maintained, corals quickly recover from bleaching, which was just as bad in the 1800s.

In sum, while in reality there is increasing doubt among scientists on the issue of ‘climate change’, this fake news documentary pretended the opposite: that the weight of evidence points unquestionably to an imminent climate catastrophe which can only be averted if we take concerted global action now.


“It may sound frightening but the scientific evidence is that if we have not taken dramatic action in the next decade we could face irreversible damage to the natural world - and the collapse of our societies,” said Attenborough. Fact check: there is not a single piece of scientific evidence which suggests anything of the kind. Perhaps that’s why Attenborough covered his butt with the word “could.”

“What is happening now in the next few years will profoundly affect the next thousand years,” he declared elsewhere. [Fact check: no it won’t: the planet is 4.5 billion years old. It’s perfectly capable of taking care of itself, regardless of man’s ludicrous delusions.]

This isn’t science. This is the pure political activism - and it’s quite wicked that the BBC, theoretically committed by its charter obligations to fair and accurate broadcasting, should have allowed itself to be used as a platform for such blatant fear mongering, misinformation, and propaganda. The BBC, while funded by all of us, speaks on behalf of a clique of metropolitan, left-liberal types who pay lip service to the green religion because it’s such an easy way of virtue signalling. Those many of us - perhaps the majority of the population - who, with very good reason, are sceptical about the global warming scare are treated with utter contempt, as if we believed in a flat earth.

Anyone with an even rudimentary grasp of the climate debate knows, for example, that Michael Mann, James Hansen, and Naomi Oreskes are key members of the climate industrial complex with a long track record of aggressive political activism on behalf of the environmental movement. Yet here they were being presented by the BBC - and by Attenborough - as if they were dispassionate, wholly trustworthy scientists.

We clearly have a problem in Britain: we have bred a generation of infantilised hard left adults who take their orders from children and glue their body parts to public utilities whilst making demands that would cause mass death by starvation within five years. Even by the BBC’s abysmal standards, this programme was a disgrace: an insult to the intelligence - a shameless piece of propaganda on behalf of the watermelons⁹ who seek to destroy our civilisation.



⁹ Watermelons: people who are green (environmental activists) on the outside, but red (Communists) on the inside.

For a list of growing prominent people who do not support the global warming/climate change scam, go to this website: <https://www.desmogblog.com/global-warming-denier-database>.

THE RABBITS OF RAVENSBRÜCK
Young Catholic Heroines of WWII
By Tess Mullins (Edited and abridged by Graham Moorhouse)

Ravensbrück is a camp relatively unknown because it doesn't fit the left's Holocaust narrative, because the inmates were mostly Catholics, but with some Protestants and Jews. It was the largest women's-only concentration camp in the Third Reich. Many had lost husbands, children, family and friends. In this "Hitler's Hell for Women" where women, undernourished and sick, struggled to survive, the Catholic prisoners created Christmas parties for children, organized education classes, wrote plays, shared food and clothing and protected the sick.

Some 120,000 passed through Ravensbrück; four out of ten of whom died. When the women at Ravensbrück were due to be executed, they would do each other's hair, doing the best they could to make themselves beautiful for that last walk. They prayed fervently together and talked about whether they would be brave enough to shout "Long Live Poland". The guards would offer them a sedative drink moments before execution, but many refused it.

In the camp were sixty-three young Catholic Polish girls, the youngest fourteen, mostly high school and college students, who had been horribly maimed by Nazi experimental surgeries on their legs and who were now scheduled for execution. These young girls had been part of the Polish Catholic underground resistance. They were collectively known as "Rabbits" because of the way they hobbled about the camp on their wounded limbs.

They had been used by the Nazis for medical experiments, who used the limbs of these young women to recreate war wounds and infected them with aggressive bacteria, wood chips, and glass, trying to cause gangrene. They also experimented with removing and damaging nerves and muscles, and conducted bone transplantation from one person to another - they even amputated healthy young limbs.

The other camp inmates, women from over twenty nations, risked their lives to save the "Rabbits". Not only did this international group of women pull off one of the most amazing large-scale concentration camp rescues of the war, but, in so doing, they ensured that the young Polish students survived to testify at the Nuremberg Trials. It took the courage and cooperation of thousands of women of different countries, religions, and political beliefs, but in the end - and against all odds - the "Rabbits" of Ravensbrück survived.

To understand the motive behind these obscene, depraved experiments we must backtrack to 1942, Czechoslovakia, which lies on the southern border of Poland. High-ranking SS officer, Reinhard Heydrich, a friend of Hitler, was critically wounded in

Prague on 27 May 1942. His car had been ambushed by a team of Czech and Slovak agents trained by the British Special Operations Executive. One of the agents threw a grenade into Heydrich's open vehicle - Heydrich survived the initial blast, but sustained shrapnel wounds into which bits of the car's upholstery, his uniform and bomb fragments were imbedded. He died from infection of his wounds a week or so later.

Nazi intelligence falsely linked the assassins to the villages of Lidice and Ležáky. Both villages were razed; all men and boys over the age of 16 were shot, and all but a handful of the women and children were deported, and died in Nazi concentration camps. The real assassins were eventually cornered in a church, and after an heroic last stand, used their final bullet to kill themselves rather than fall into Nazi hands and risk betraying comrades under torture.



Dr. Karl Gebhardt was convicted of “crimes against humanity” and war crimes. He was sentenced to death and was hanged on June 2, 1948

Heydrich had been treated by Himmler's personal physician, Dr. Karl Gebhardt, who refused to use sulfa drugs when treating his shrapnel wounds. Hitler blamed Dr Gebhardt, insisting Heydrich should have tried sulfa drugs to save his friend. So Dr Gebhardt needed to demonstrate the ineffectiveness of sulfa for the treatment of battlefield wounds. He designed the experiments that were carried out on these

young Catholic girls to demonstrate that the sulfa drugs used by the military would not prevent death.

He was assisted at Ravensbrück by a female doctor, Herta Oberheuser. She helped perform many of the experiments and administered lethal injections. This focused young woman was an accomplished but impoverished doctor who had embraced the Nazi's creed, and seemingly never once thought to question her orders. One of the survivors describes her as “... a monster. We were just girls. But you have to forgive.”

At Ravensbrück the doctors would operate on ten girls at a time, observe them for a while and then use a different group. Five of the young women died during the experiments and six were executed immediately after, some of these last had to be carried to the shooting wall, because their legs were too badly damaged to walk. The sixty-three who survived, worked together to keep one another alive after the surgery - other inmates risked their lives, secretly bringing them food, water, and even medications.



Dr. Herta Oberheuser, who was desperate to be a surgeon, performed many of the brutal experiments. She was eventually sentenced to 20 years in the Nuremberg Trials, but only served five.

A secret organization, mostly made up of Catholic women, dedicated itself to helping other inmates, regardless of nationality or religion. Their motto was “*Persevere and help others survive!*”; their mission was inspired by the oath that they had taken when they had been Girl Scouts. They had taken the same oath our Scouts took up until recently – and they lived by it.

The “Rabbits” themselves, some of whom were former girl scouts, also courageously defied the Nazis, bravely protesting the illegal experiments and finding ingenious ways of smuggling out messages to the outside world describing the surgery taking place in the camp. One of the rabbits, Nina Ivanska, wrote invisible letters in urine. She wrote them between the lines of a normal-looking letter and mailed them out of the camp. In the letters she and two friends wrote home to their parents, they told the details of the operations, how they were being operated on against their will, and the names of the girls who had died from the experiments. These messages eventually made it to the Polish underground radio network in England, which broadcast the news of the experiments and mass murders at Ravensbrück - and warned specific camp leaders of their fate come the end of the war.

Yet despite all of these heroic efforts, it seemed that it may all be in vain; the Nazis were determined to eliminate evidence of their war crimes and planned to execute all the remaining “Rabbits”. Finally, on February 4, 1945, the crippled “Rabbits” learned While the “Rabbits” stayed of their death sentences; the SS were coming for the them in the morning, up overnight writing good-bye letters, the camp inmates were hatching a plan to hide the “Rabbits” in the predawn hours, during roll call – right under the noses of the SS. A group of Russian women shut down the electrical grid, plunging the camp into darkness. Some of the girls were sneaked into the typhus block where they slept among the dying inmates - the camp staff did not dare enter the typhus block for fear of contracting the disease.

One of the survivors recalls: “*Finally we dug holes under the blocks and squeezed ourselves under them. We could hear the dogs searching for us. It was terrifying.*” The Rabbits were successfully hidden that morning – and kept hidden for nearly three months – until liberation. Amazingly, not one of the “Rabbits” was betrayed. One surviving “Rabbit” stated, “*The entire camp helped us, hid us, protected us.*”

But the sisterhood of Ravensbrück survivors refused to forget the “Rabbits” even after the war. The women of the French resistance drew the attention of American activist and philanthropist, Caroline Ferriday, to the continued suffering of the Rabbits in Soviet-controlled Poland. Ferriday reached out to the American public for donations that enabled 35 of the Rabbits to go to the U.S. for reconstructive surgery and the treatment of lingering infections and other diseases caused by the experiments.

Through their Catholic Faith, the Rabbits were able to endure the excruciating mental and physical consequences of their time at Ravensbrück, and most found the strength to walk and laugh again, to love and to trust, and most amazingly... to forgive.

RABBITS REMEMBER

*“Poland immediately defended itself; it was not like we gave up, because we lost the war. There was a resistance movement from the very beginning. I brought guns, money and fliers for my chief - not just me, other girls too. I had my scouting power...The whole team, the team of scouts from school, joined the conspiracy. I made an oath... that I was ready to die for my country.” - Wanda Poławska, April 2017.**

“It was schoolchildren [who joined the Polish resistance], scouts before the war, very patriotic, very attached to their homeland and trying very hard to respond to the needs of their home-country - the home-country that was captured and taken away from them by the Germans. Then, after the Gestapo arrived, we were imprisoned and then deported, a large group of us, to the camp at Ravensbrück.

*I received the most love and care and help, in the form of food, because I was the youngest. They often gave up their food to give it to me, so that I would survive. That’s what it looked like: those who received [food], shared it with the ones who did not. It was not pity. Because someone could say that we did it out of pity. That’s not true. It was not pity. It was us working together, cooperating, fighting together to survive. Friendship has an amazing value. Believe me. ...I don’t hold a grudge at all. I forgive them completely.” - Stanisława Śledziejowska-Osiczko, “Stasia” **

* Stasia and Wanda were two of the young Polish women to be used as experimental subjects by Nazi doctors. Stasia was just 14 years old when she was chosen to undergo the experiments, one of the youngest to be operated on at Ravensbrück. Her leg was surgically opened and infected with tetanus and gangrene, broken glass and dirt.

FROM THE MAIL BOX

NB Because of the toxic atmosphere in which orthodox priests have to work in the modern Church, we never publish their real names. All priests are called Fr Ignobilis and reside in Stat Veritas for the purposes of this mailbox

“I enclose a contribution towards your costs and hope you can keep going for ever.”

Dear Mr Moorhouse - Thank you for the recent copies of the Flock. I have been reading this for years, since Daphne McLeod started it and have learned so much from it. I give copies to several friends and parishioners but have to be careful that they are orthodox Catholics as our parish, St Edmund’s, Godalming, is not as orthodox as I would like. Other parishes in the area are of a similar ilk.

I enclose a contribution towards your costs and hope you can keep going for ever. We need a lot more people and magazines like yours in the Church in this country.

Looking forward to the next edition and may God bless you and your endeavours.

Yours sincerely: Jill Clark (Godalming, Surrey)

“Thank you so very much ...”

Dear Graham - Thank you so very much once again for your wonderful apostolate
God bless: Patricia Shepherd, Co Kerry

“May Our Lady protect you always”

Dear Graham - Thank you for the copies of the Flock received today. All the data on the Muslims is very frightening in the extreme. I must say that I am in full agreement with you.

You are very brave to publish such information.

May Our Lady protect you always.

Yours sincerely: Lesley Cole (Co Wexford)

“Thank you for the Flock, which I look forward to receiving”

Dear Mr Moorhouse - Thank you for the Flock, which I look forward to receiving, and thank you for the article by Gerhard Cardinal Müller.

I have read it a few times and will keep on reading it; that is what we need in the Church today. Would that our priests would study it, what a transformation in the Church there would be. God bless Gerhard Cardinal Müller, and you for your faith and fearlessness in all the articles you publish.

Yours sincerely: Agnes McKenzie (Nottingham)

The Flock is published by: Pro Ecclesia et Pontifice
118 Shepherds Lane, DARTFORD, DA1 2NN
PEEP@cathud.com
0774-614-9815

Note: The Flock can be viewed, downloaded and printed out at

<http://www.proecc.com/the-flock>

PLEASE REMEMBER PEEP IN YOUR WILL

*Help us to carry on the fight against the enemy within the gates and
for the faith of our children*